



RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS OF DETAINED AND SENTENCED PERSONS IN THE INSTITUTION FOR EXECUTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS (ZIKS)

**REPORT OF THE NGO MONITORING TEAM
HUMAN RIGHTS ACTION
CENTER FOR ANTIDISCRIMINATION "EQUISTA"
CENTER FOR CIVIC EDUCATION
WOMEN'S SAFE HOUSE – SHELTER**



The project is funded by the European
Union through the Delegation of the
European Union to Montenegro



Ambasada
Savezne Republike Njemačke
Podgorica

Podgorica 15 June 2012

RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS OF DETAINED AND
SENTENCED PERSONS IN THE INSTITUTION FOR
EXECUTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS (ZIKS)

REPORT OF THE NGO MONITORING TEAM

HUMAN RIGHTS ACTION
CENTER FOR ANTIDISCRIMINATION "EQUISTA"
CENTER FOR CIVIC EDUCATION
WOMEN'S SAFE HOUSE – SHELTER

Podgorica, 15 June 2012

AUTHORS:

Danilo Ajković

Velibor Bošković

Nataša Gardašević

Jovana Hajduković

Daliborka Knežević

Maja Raičević

Nikoleta Strugar

Olivera Vulić

EDITOR:

Tea Gorjanc-Prelević

This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union and the Embassy of the Federal Republic of Germany in Podgorica. The contents of this document are sole responsibility of Human Rights Action and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union or the Embassy of the Federal Republic of Germany in Podgorica.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	8
About the project	
Visits and other sources of information	
History of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions (ZIKS)	
2. Prevention of and protection from ill-treatment.....	12
Prevention of ill-treatment by prison officers	
Complaints of prisoners	
Results of research among sentenced persons in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions in Podgorica	
Recording the use of means of restraint	
Fixation	
Recommendations	
Death cases in the past three years	
Prevention of ill-treatment of detained persons	
Cases of ill-treatment in detention	
Suspension of an officer suspected of ill-treatment	
Conditions of detention	
Recommendations	
Relations between prison staff and inmates	
Violence among inmates	
Recommendations	
3. Disciplinary sanctions and procedures.....	37
Violations and sanctions	
Solitary confinement	
Introduction to the rights and obligations	

Disciplinary procedure	
Isolation and transfer of sentenced persons	
Legal aid	
Recommendations	
4. Accommodation conditions.....	50
General remarks	
Insufficient capacity and overcrowding	
Accommodation and conditions of imprisonment for persons with disabilities	
Accommodation of minors	
Webpage	
Availability of prison bedding and laundry room	
Premises for conjugal visits	
Premises for religious practise	
Use of home appliances	
Smoking	
Recommendations	
Institution for sentenced prisoners (KPD)	
KPD Disciplinary unit	
Kitchen and dining room	
Unit A	
Unit B	
Unit C	
Unit D	
Unit F – Prison for juveniles, women and foreign prisoners	
Foreign and other prisoners	
Prison for short sentences	
Remand Prison (Podgorica Prison)	
Recommendations	

Bijelo Polje Prison

5.	Health-care service.....	78
	Psychiatric care	
	Prison hunger strike	
	Hepatitis C	
	Treatment of psychoactive substance abusers	
	Recommendations	
6.	Treatment.....	97
	Treatment of detained persons	
	Treatment of sentenced persons	
	Admission of sentenced persons	
	Classification groups	
	Education and training	
	Leisure activities	
	Post-penal treatment	
	Deciding on parole release	
	Recommendations	
7.	Contact with the outside world	122
	Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison	
	Remand Prison	
	Recommendations	
8.	Prison staff	131
	Staffing levels and service requirements	
	Employment conditions - salary and vacation	

Fixed-term employment contract and employment with no contract

Staff expertise and competency

Safety equipment and uniforms

Application of force

Bijelo Polje Prison staff

Recommendations

9.	CONCLUSION	140
10.	COMPARATIVE TABLE OF RECOMMENDATIONS..... (CPT / OMBUDSMAN / NGO)	143
11.	APPENDIX: Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates"	

1. INTRODUCTION

About the project

The project "Monitoring Respect for Human Rights in Closed Institutions in Montenegro", whose aim is to promote human rights of persons residing in these institutions, is implemented by NGOs Human Rights Action (HRA), as the project leader, Centre for Anti-discrimination "EQUISTA", Centre for Civic Education (CCE) and Women's Safe House (Shelter), in cooperation with the Belgrade Centre for Human rights and Latvian Centre for Human Rights, and funded by the European Union through the Delegation of the European Union to Montenegro and the German Embassy in Podgorica .

Under this project, on 25 October 2011, the project leader NGO "Human Rights Action", the Ministry of Justice and the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions (ZIKS) concluded the Agreement on Cooperation. The Agreement allowed the team of trained monitors to visit ZIKS facilities in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje with prior notice of minimum 24h; access to medical records and documentation regarding the disciplinary procedures of detained and sentenced persons; presentation of the report on visits and discussion on the report at the round table; development of a handbook on the rights of detained and sentenced persons and cooperation in a public campaign aimed at familiarizing the general public with the rights of detained and convicted persons.

The aim of the monitoring was the development of a report on the adoption of recommendations from the Report to the Government of Montenegro on the visit to Montenegro carried out by the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) from 15 to 22 September 2008 (hereinafter: CPT, Report on 2008 visit),¹ with additional observations and recommendations of NGOs participating in this project.

Visits and other sources of information

During the period from November 2011 to February 2012 the members of the NGOs monitoring team visited ZIKS facilities in Podgorica fourteen times and Bijelo Polje Prison two times. Visits were conducted by members of the monitoring team, who are legal experts and social workers by profession. The team also included a doctor - psychiatrist. All team members were trained on prison monitoring at the training held from 12 - 14 May 2011 in Podgorica.²

During the visits, special attention was paid to the treatment of persons deprived of liberty by prison staff, the conditions in which these persons live, and, in general, the way of

¹ Report available at: <http://www.cpt.coe.int/documents/mne/2010-03-inf-eng.htm>

² Training was conducted by experienced and reputable trainers from partner organizations - the Belgrade Center for Human Rights and the Latvian Center for Human Rights.

functioning of ZIKS. Attention was also paid to the conditions in which the prison staff perform their duties, inasmuch as it was possible.

Information on the work of institutions for execution of criminal sanctions was collected during the visits, through interviews with inmates, their families and loved ones, but also through following the reports of international bodies and organizations, state agencies, non-governmental organizations and the media.

Cooperation with ZIKS management, especially Director Milan Radović and Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners Uroš Bogavac, was generally satisfactory, bearing in mind that this project is the first more comprehensive and extensive monitoring of prisons in Montenegro by civil society organizations. ZIKS officials were friendly and tried to meet monitors' demands. Monitors were not allowed to talk with the employees or survey them about their working conditions, but, nevertheless, necessary information with regard to that was obtained from employees and the Ministry of Justice.

However, it should be noted that the monitors were not allowed to talk to sentenced persons without the presence of ZIKS officials, which jeopardized the credibility of information obtained in these interviews, also including the compliments to ZIKS Administration. On the other hand, thanks to the understanding and support of the Minister of Justice and Human Rights Duško Marković and Assistant Minister Slavica Rabrenović, this disadvantage was somewhat offset by conducting an anonymous survey among sentenced persons in Podgorica in March and April 2012. The questionnaire contained 64 combined type questions developed by members of the monitoring team. Of a total of 840 persons serving a prison sentence, 495 of them were surveyed, i.e. 58.9%, which is very satisfactory, if taken into account that at the time of the survey a large number of prisoners were at work, and a number refused to participate in the survey expressing doubts about its anonymous nature. Statistical analysis of the survey was carried out by Dr. Olivera Komar and Radmila Bogojević from the Faculty of Political Science. Members of the monitoring team interviewed or surveyed 11 persons who had been sentenced to imprisonment in ZIKS in the past two years.

Each scheduled visit was approved, and access to the premises was unlimited. Records concerning the status of persons deprived of liberty, which were not an official secret, if existed, were available.

Monitors paid several visits to persons in the Remand Prison, as well as random visits to all Remand Prison premises, by monitor's choice, which had been allowed by the President of the High Court in Podgorica Mušika Dujović.

During the preparation of this report, authors used international standards and recommendations, standards and reports of the CPT³ and the Protector of Human Rights and

³ The report of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) on a visit to Montenegro from 15 to 22 September 2008, available at: <http://www.cpt.coe.int/documents/mne/2010-03-inf-eng.htm>, and responses of the Government of Montenegro to the CPT report of March 2010 available at: <http://www.cpt.coe.int/documents/mne/2010-04-inf-mne.pdf>.

Freedoms (hereinafter: Ombudsman) of Montenegro⁴ and their recommendations, the European Prison Rules and other available international standards, experience and publications of partner organizations the Belgrade Centre for Human Rights⁵ and Latvian Centre for Human rights. Aforementioned sources, as well as this report, upon its publishing, are available on the project web page: http://www.hraction.org/?page_id=1069.

History of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions (ZIKS)

Monastery Ladnica can be considered the first Montenegrin state prison, whose first prisoner was the last governor of Montenegro Vuko Radonjić, named after him Guvernadurica. However, the first Penitentiary (so-called *Kažnjeni zatvor*) was established in 1893 on the territory of today's Podgorica, known as Jusovača. The building was erected during the Ottoman rule in the part of Old town, in Drač, by Juso Mučin Krnić, called Jusuf-beg, commander of the police station, after whom that building and the entire complex were later named Jusovača. Juso's descendants sold it to the Montenegrin authorities for 150 napoleons, who converted it into a Penal institution for political prisoners in 1893. Kingdom of Yugoslavia declared this prison as the Central Penitentiary for Montenegro.

Although there were three more prisons in Podgorica (Lamarin, Radio-station prison or Markoni, House of Rogošići prison and the notorious camp in Zabjelo), Jusovača played an important role first as the remand prison and then as a transit, oldest Podgorica prison. Jusovača was used as a prison after the Second World War, i.e. by the end of the 1960's and opening of the Institution for sentenced prisoners in the outskirts of Podgorica, in Spuž.

In the form in which it now operates, the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions (ZIKS) was established in 1994 under the Regulation of the Government of the Republic of Montenegro for the purpose of creating a single penalty system in Montenegro. It is located on the ninth kilometre from the centre of Podgorica, Podgorica-Danilovgrad old road, Velje brdo 81412 - Spuž.

ZIKS is divided into four organizational units, namely: Remand Prison, Institution for sentenced prisoners and Prison for short-term sentences located in Spuž, and Bijelo Polje Prison, located in the town of Bijelo Polje.

In the Institution for sentenced prisoners in Podgorica (KPD) inmates serve 40-year prison sentences, sentences longer than 6 months for sentenced males, juvenile imprisonment sentences imposed in criminal proceedings, as well as prison sentences imposed in criminal

In this report the authors refer to the CPT's recommendations on specific issues presented in the reports on visits to other European countries as well.

⁴ Special report of the Ombudsman on the human rights of prisoners and detainees, March 2011, available at: <http://www.ombudsman.co.me/izvještaji.php>

⁵ "The treatment of persons deprived of liberty", Report I, Belgrade Center for Human Rights, Belgrade 2010, and "Prohibition of torture and the rights of persons deprived of their liberty in Serbia", Report II, Belgrade Center for Human Rights, Belgrade 2011.

and misdemeanour proceedings for women. ZIKS is managed by the Director appointed by the Government for a period of four years and accountable to the Government.

At the time of drafting this report, the director of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions Milan Radović (former President of the High Court in Podgorica and Secretary General of the Parliament of Montenegro), appointed to the post on 30 July 2009 by the Government of Montenegro, was relieved of the duties of Director, at his own request, at the Government session held on 31 May 2012. At the same session, Miljan Perović was appointed the Acting Director, hitherto Chief of the Police Sector for the protection of persons and facilities.

Milan Radović's precursors are: Božidar Vuksanović (Acting Director of the Police Directorate and a former member of the Parliament of Montenegro and Director of the Customs of Montenegro) who held this post from 13 September 2005 to 23 July 2009, and Dragan Pajović (Director of the Centre for Children and Youth Ljubović) who held this post during a period from 5 December 2003 to 13 September 2005, when relieved of that duty by the decision of the Government of Montenegro.

Supervision of the work of ZIKS is primarily conducted by the authorized official of the Ministry of Justice (Assistant Minister in charge of the supervision of execution of criminal sanction, Slavica Rabrenović, appointed in 2011), while the Ombudsman as well as the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT) supervise the conditions of serving prison sentences and treatment of prisoners. As of December 2011, pursuant to the decision of the Government, ZIKS is a body within the Ministry of Justice and has a lower degree of autonomy than before.

Since Montenegro had become a member of the Council of Europe on 3 April 2003 while in the state union of Serbia and Montenegro, CPT visited Montenegro twice, in 2004 and 2008, and released two reports on the visits.

2. PREVENTION OF AND PROTECTION FROM ILL-TREATMENT

Any form of ill-treatment, i.e. torture, inhuman or degrading treatment of prisoners is prohibited by international standards and national regulations governing the operation of ZIKS.⁶

Ill-treatment can occur in various forms, most common of which are abuse of coercive measures by prison officers, poor living conditions, denial of necessary medical treatment, failure to take necessary measures to prevent ill-treatment among the prisoners. The state is obliged to take measures to prevent all forms of abuse.

This report discusses the measures taken to prevent ill-treatment in ZIKS by prison officials and to prevent ill-treatment by prisoners themselves. Separate sections of the report are devoted to other possible sources of ill-treatment.

Prevention of ill-treatment by prison officers

Although prison staff must periodically apply force to restrain prisoners who react violently, physical force and other coercive measures should never be used as punishment.⁷ Only the measures provided for in disciplinary action may be taken against a prisoner who expresses disobedience.⁸

According to the *Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions*, physical force may be used to overcome the resistance of an inmate, prevent escape, prevent assault on an officer or other person in custody, injury to another person, self-harm and material damage.⁹ Upon termination of the immediate reason for the use of coercive measures, security officer shall suspend their further use.¹⁰ However, ***the Rules should be***

⁶ International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966, Art. 7; Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 1984 and Optional Protocol, 2002; European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Art. 3; European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 1987; Standard minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (European Prison Rules), the Council of Europe, 2006; Constitution of Montenegro, Art. 28; Criminal Code, Art. 166a, Art. 167; Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 14b; Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in ZIKS, Art. 54.

⁷ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro in, paragraph 47, Report on 2006 visit to Armenia, p. 42.

⁸ CPT, Report on 2005 visit to Turkey, p. 41.

⁹ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 68/06, Art. 58, para 2.

¹⁰ *Ibid*, Art. 54.

specified so as to warn the officials more precisely that it is prohibited and punishable to continue to apply force after overcoming the resistance of a person in custody.

After an open discussion with several members of ZIKS security service, the monitors have found that such specifying is indeed necessary. It was understood that even more experienced members of the security service were not aware that they can not continue, for example, slapping a prisoner after already bringing him under control, regardless of what he had done before that.

This conversation convinced the monitors that the CPT recommendation that *"prison staff should be reminded that the force used to control violent and/or recalcitrant prisoners should be no more than necessary and that once prisoners have been brought under control, there can be no justification for their being struck"*, given after 2008 visit to ZIKS, has not been fulfilled.¹¹

CPT has stressed the importance of training staff in charge of law enforcement (police and prison officers). "There is arguably no better guarantee against the ill-treatment of a person deprived of his liberty than a properly trained police or prison officer. Skilled officers will be able to carry out successfully their duties without having recourse to ill-treatment and to cope with the presence of fundamental safeguards for detainees and prisoners."¹²

Based on interviews with ZIKS Security Service Chief and members, the monitoring team concluded that none of them had been trained on human rights to the absolute prohibition of abuse¹³, skills that allow minimal use of force in restraining aggressive prisoners¹⁴, approaching the phenomenon of self-injury as probable mental disorder, not a deliberate lack of discipline¹⁵, communication skills based on respect for human dignity, aimed at reducing tension, etc.

All members of the security service, who are already in contact with the inmates, should be provided specially tailored training program for the adoption of physical and psychological skills to maintain order while preventing abuse and reducing tension.

¹¹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 47.

¹² CPT standards, Extract from the 2nd General Report *CPT/Inf (92) 3*, p. 59.

¹³ See European Prison Rules, 81.4.

¹⁴ See European Prison Rules, 66.

¹⁵ CPT, Report on 2007 visit to Croatia, p. 95.

Complaints of prisoners

According to data provided by ZIKS administration regarding the number of complaints filed by persons deprived of liberty against the prison staff for ill-treatment during the past three years, not a single case was recorded in 2009, four cases of abuse of force were reported in 2010, and in 2011 one officer was reported and later fined in a disciplinary procedure.¹⁶ One case was initiated *ex officio* for exceeding authority due to negligent performance of duties in the Security Service, while ZIKS has no data on cases initiated by private criminal complaints.¹⁷

Unlike ZIKS administration, which did not record any complaints for exceeding the use of force during 2009, the Ombudsman received such a complaint from detainees Igor Milić and Dalibor Nikezić, found that the excessive force has been applied against them in the form of physical force and rubber truncheons, found infringement of rights and in 2010 made recommendation to remedy the infringement, which has been partially met.¹⁸ On the occasion of the above complaint, Deputy Ombudsman interviewed the complainants, without the presence of officials, while one of them still had visible injuries of the lower extremities and in the head area, especially around the eyes.¹⁹ Ombudsman has recommended that the prison administration initiate disciplinary procedure against all the guards involved in the event, but the procedure was initiated against three prison officers, although the Ombudsman found that five ZIKS employees participated in this event. Three officers were punished by reduced salary for several months and were removed from the Remand Prison while the complainants were there. Complainants have also filed criminal charges against ZIKS officers. Competent prosecutor's office dismissed the criminal charges, noting that the prison officials had used force against detainees "to the necessary extent". Following the prosecutor's decision not to initiate criminal prosecution, the injured parties undertook prosecution, but the complaint was dismissed, so the injured parties submitted an application to the European Court of Human Rights for abuse and ineffective investigations of abuse, and the procedure is pending.²⁰ In January 2011 the same persons reported new cases of ill-

¹⁶ ZIKS Administration response to the questions of monitors, 25 May 2012.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Recommendation of the Ombudsman of Montenegro to the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions of 29 March 2010, available at: <http://www.ombudsman.co.me>

¹⁹ From the Report of the Ombudsman, 2009, the case of I.M. and D.N.

²⁰ "XXIII Milić v. Montenegro (application no. 54999/10) XXIV Nikezić v. Montenegro (application no. 10609/11). The reason for filing the application is intervention of the officials of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions in Spuž on 27 October 2009 against the applicants, detained at the Institution, for enforcing disciplinary decisions on their transfer to the disciplinary section and searching the room, on a tip that there is a knife in it. The applicants believe that on that occasion they were the victims of torture, which would violate their rights under Article 3 of the Convention, prohibiting torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. The applicants also believe that there has been a violation of their right under Article 13 of the

treatment and filed new criminal charges against the guards in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in ZIKS, but, according to the information provided by victims' attorney, the state prosecutor has thus far failed to act on charges.

In 2010, Ombudsman received a total of 44 complaints from persons deprived of liberty, of which 12 related to abuse in ZIKS. In eight cases the Ombudsman found no violation of rights, and in one case he found an infringement of rights (the above case of two detainees D. Nikezić and I. Milić). In one case the violation was remedied during the procedure, and a procedure on one complaint has not been completed during the reporting year.²¹

During 2011, Ombudsman received 11 complaints related to the abuse. In one case the Ombudsman found no infringement, in two cases the violation was remedied during the procedure, so the procedure was terminated, and in two cases the Ombudsman suspended the inquiry procedure as complainants discontinued cooperation.²²

Until the completion of the report in June 2012, Ombudsman made two recommendations to ZIKS. In January 2012, ZIKS Administration was recommended to take steps, without delay, to remove and transfer all items confiscated from inmates as well as items whose possession or use is not permitted from the office of the Chief of the Security Service in the Prison for Short Sentences and other offices to a special room.²³ During the procedure it has been found that the Security Service Chief M.I. held items seized from convicted persons in his office, including two "maces", which he had used against prisoner R.B., and that for holding and touching prisoner R.B. in the crotch area officer M.I. had been disciplined.

In the second case, it has been found that on 7 November 2011 the prison officer in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, unit C, used physical force against convict N.D. by hitting him on the head with a bundle of keys and slapping his face, and that the commander nicknamed C slapped the convict. Convict told the Ombudsman that he was disciplined after the incident with commander C, placed in solitary confinement and bedridden for 12 hours. In this case, ZIKS Administration has never submitted video surveillance footage of events that took place in the hallway to the Ombudsman, explaining that the video surveillance memory installed in a particular department stores data for maximum seven days. Video surveillance footage was sought 16 days after the event took place, and the Administration

Convention, relating to the right to an effective legal remedy, because the Basic State Prosecutor in Podgorica failed to conduct legal, efficient and effective investigation." Report of the Representative of Montenegro before the European Court of Human Rights in 2011.

²¹ From the Report of the Ombudsman, 2010.

²² From the Report of the Ombudsman, 2011.

²³ Recommendations of the Ombudsman to the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions no. 513/11 of 16 January 2012.

responded to the Ombudsman that the footage does not exist. *In consideration of the aforesaid, it is necessary to ensure that video surveillance footage be stored much longer than 7 days, so that all cases of violence can be effectively investigated and sanctioned, in accordance with the opinion of the Ombudsman in this case.*²⁴

Eight of 11 former prisoners who have been interviewed by monitors or completed their questionnaire confirmed that ZIKS officers had used force against sentenced persons.²⁵ Former convict S.M. noted that he had not been abused, but was present during the abuse of other prisoners.²⁶ Another former convict Ž.Š. stated that prisoners in ZIKS rarely report cases of ill-treatment to the prosecution, the police and the Ombudsman, because they fear the consequences. He himself claims that he was abused while serving a prison sentence, but did not report it, and witnessed the abuse of other prisoners.²⁷

Former convicts interviewed by monitors explained that when inmates file complaints against prison officers to ZIKS Administration, officers file counter charges against them for assaulting an officer in the performance of official duties and those are recorded earlier than the complaints of sentenced persons, which is why the prisoners then withdraw their complaints, if they were ever recorded, due to the charges against them. For this reason, very few cases of abuse of sentenced persons by prison staff are reported to the prison Administration, and even rarer to the competent prosecutor's office. "Prison is a closed system where you feel helpless and know that your word is worth much less than an officer's word. You know you'll always get the short end."²⁸

Former female prisoner, who had experienced ill-treatment while in custody in 2008²⁹, said that special attention should be paid to individual ZIKS officers. Certain female commanders treated female convicts "as unimportant", while some female convicts who gained their sympathy had greater privileges than others. "After receiving a beating, I was sent straight to solitary confinement, so that my mother could not see me beaten up."³⁰

²⁴ Recommendation of the Ombudsman to the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions of 20 February 2012.

²⁵ Interviews with former prisoners, Podgorica, February-March 2012.

²⁶ Interview with former prisoner, March 2012.

²⁷ Interview with former prisoner, March 2012.

²⁸ Interview with former prisoner, Podgorica, February 2012.

²⁹ See CPT Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 46.

³⁰ Interview with former female prisoner V.K., Podgorica, April 2012.

Results of research among sentenced persons in ZIKS Podgorica

A quarter (25.9%) of sentenced persons in Podgorica³¹ said that prison officials used force against them.³²

When asked if they had witnessed the use of force against another prisoner, more than a third (36.3%) of respondents said yes.³³

Almost half of respondents (48.6%) confirmed that there are hidden places in ZIKS units that are known or suspected to serve the application or concealing of the excessive use of force. In the Prison for short sentences, this percentage was lower (22.2%). When asked about places potentially used for applying force, respondents most often identified "places in unit A next to the admission section, special rooms in unit A, specific places with no cameras, space behind the commander's office, toilet in the commander's office, walking path in the disciplinary department and solitary confinement". Respondents also stated that force had been applied when "the power goes out."³⁴

Recording the use of means of restraint

To prevent the vulnerability and helplessness of persons in closed prison systems, an obligation is being introduced to inform the authorities outside the prison on the use of force, in order to ensure independent investigation. The CPT recommended that Montenegro maintain "a record of every instance of resort to means of force against prisoners, with an indication of the precise time and duration of their use."³⁵ According to the regulations in force, there is an obligation to record any use of means of restraint.³⁶

³¹ Research included 58.9% of all prisoners in ZIKS Podgorica.

³² When asked whether the prison officials have ever used force against a specific respondent during his stay in prison, of 478 answers, 124 were affirmative, 354 negative, while 17 inmates did not answer. In the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, when asked the same question, of 85 respondents, 15 answers were affirmative, 69 negative, while one convicted person did not answer.

³³ Of 471 responses, 171 were affirmative, 300 negative, while 24 convicts provided no response. In the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, of 83 responses, 11 were affirmative, 72 negative, while two prisoners gave no response, providing for 13.3% affirmative and 86.7% negative responses.

³⁴ From the research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", conducted in March and April 2012 in Podgorica.

³⁵ CPT Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 47, item 1; CPT standards, item 53.

³⁶ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 57, para 1, *Sl. list CG*, 68/2006 of 10 November 2006.

Prisoner Igor Milić, with whom the monitors spoke in the presence of prison officers, had objected to the actions of security officers. During the interview he noted that the security officer had stopped him from self-harming, but that such action was particularly humiliating for him because he got more slaps after stopping with self-harm.³⁷ After checking the records of application of means of restraint in relation to the particular inmate, it was found that *no means of restraint have ever been applied* against this person. Monitoring team verified the events related to self-harm described by prisoner Igor Milić with a number of sources who confirmed his allegations, even regarding the received slaps. The absence of written records concerning such use of force implies that **not every case of resorting to means of restraint is recorded**, which is unacceptable.

It can be concluded that the CPT recommendation has not been implemented and that a record should be kept of every instance of resort to means of restraint against prisoners.

Additionally, the CPT considers that acts of self-injury can often indicate a problem of psychological or psychiatric nature, and that these problems should primarily be addressed therapeutically, rather than through imposing disciplinary punishment.³⁸

Under the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, the means of restraint are: physical force, fixation, seclusion, rubber truncheons, water hoses, specially trained dogs, chemicals and firearms.³⁹

According to the Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in ZIKS, directors shall inform the Ministry of Justice about the use of physical force only in case of serious bodily injury to a person against whom physical force was used.⁴⁰ For example, in Serbia the Ministry is informed of every event of the use force, with the medical report of injuries and the statement of a person against whom the force was applied.⁴¹

Current Rules should be amended so as to provide for medical examination in each case of application of force, and submission of a report to the relevant ministry and state prosecutor, bearing in mind that the criminal offence Abuse does not involve causing serious

³⁷ Interview with a convict during the visit, Podgorica, 2011.

³⁸ CPT, Report on the visit to Armenia, 2007, p. 95.

³⁹ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 61.

⁴⁰ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 57.

⁴¹ Art. 130 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. glasnik RS*, 85/2005, 72/2009 and 31/2011.

bodily injury.⁴² In its report to the Government of Montenegro published in 2009, the CPT emphasized that it is important to ensure *that prosecutors are systematically notified of any use of means of force by prison staff*, and that they are particularly vigilant when examining such cases.⁴³ This applies both to detainees and the prisoners. In an interview with the representatives of ZIKS Administration it was noted that the police is informed about every case of more serious bodily injury caused by the use of restraint measures by prison officers against a convicted person, and that the police further informs the competent prosecutor's office. However, ZIKS Administration could not provide more precise information on this.⁴⁴

Records on the use of means of restraint that the monitors had access to include: reports on the use of coercive measures, official notes of one or more persons, statements of one or more witnesses and statements of persons against whom the measures of restraint had been used. However, the Rules do not provide for mandatory taking of statements of persons against whom the force was applied, so they must be amended.⁴⁵ Although the monitoring team has timely sought access to records from 2009 and 2011 as well, only the records from 2010 were available for insight. Due to such circumstances it was not possible to determine whether the records were kept properly, i.e. whether the CPT recommendation on the necessity of recording every instance of resort to means of restraint has been fully adopted.

CPT recommendation that "any relevant statements by the prisoner and the doctor's conclusions should be formally recorded and made available to the prisoner"⁴⁶ has not been fully met, because not all the statements are recorded in writing. Monitoring team members came to this conclusion during an interview with the prison Administration, when it was noted that "oral hearings are carried out sometimes".⁴⁷ *Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions and Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions should be amended to expressly lay down this obligation.*

⁴² The Criminal Code, *Sl. list RCG*, 70/2003, 13/2004, 47/2006 and *Sl. list CG*, 40/2008 and 25/2010, Art. 166a: (1) Whoever abuses another person or treats him/her in a manner offensive to human dignity, shall be punished by imprisonment up to one year.

(2) If the offense referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article is committed by an official in the discharge of duties, he/she shall be punished by imprisonment of three months to three years.

⁴³ CPT, Report on the visit to Montenegro, 2009, p. 47.

⁴⁴ Interview with the Head of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, Podgorica, 27 December 2011.

⁴⁵ "Security officer shall immediately prepare a written report on the use of means of restraint, containing information relating to: the person against whom the means of restraint were used, reasons and methods of use and consequences...", Art. 57, para 1 of the Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

⁴⁶ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 47.

⁴⁷ Interview with ZIKS Administration, Podgorica, 29 November 2011.

In 2009 the Government of Montenegro adopted the Action Plan for the Prevention of Torture⁴⁸ in order to improve the system of record keeping by establishing and maintaining specific records, i.e. registers in all prison units for recording injuries as well as traumatic injuries to persons deprived of their liberty. Action Plan also envisaged establishing and maintaining of specific records in all prison units through registers for recording of the use of means of restraint. In both cases, it was noted that the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions had implemented the planned activities and set up specific records and registers. However, after seeking access to registers, certain registers were not made available to the monitoring team members, others were available only for a specific year, while the same type of register for another year did not exist, or, as stated by the prison Chief, the access required a specific period of time and approval by ZIKS Administration. The above implies that specific registers for each prison unit have not yet been established, and that those established are not updated regularly.

When examining documentation from 2010, it was noted that one case included a statement of a person against whom force had been used, assessed to be reasonable, and the case concerned a prisoner assaulting another prisoner. However, other cases concerning the use of force by prison officers because a convict had not responded after being order multiple times, included no statements, leading to the conclusion that a written statement is provided in case of violence among sentenced persons, while this is not always the case when the prison official assaults a convicted person. According to the sentenced persons in Podgorica in respect of whom the officers applied force, of 120 cases, only 25 people stated that they had provided a written statement about such cases, 95 people stated that they had not provided a statement, while 4 persons did not answer the question. In the Prison for short sentences, of 15 respondents against whom the force was applied, 2 had provided a written statement, 10 had not, and 3 prisoners provided no response. Thus, **written statements, according to the respondents, were taken only from one in five inmates against whom the force was applied (20.8%: 79.2%).**⁴⁹

Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions (Art. 57, para 1) should be amended so as to explicitly specify that when verifying allegations about the use of force, in order to compile a written report, the Security Service Chief shall be *obliged to also take the statement of a convicted person against whom the force had been used*, and only then submit the report with the findings of fact and assessment of the correct use of means of restraint to the director.

Use of means of restraint and the records about their application are kept in a rather unique manner in prisons in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje. Director of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions is obliged to notify the court president on the use of means

⁴⁸ Action Plan for the Prevention of Torture, the Government of Montenegro, 12 February 2009.

⁴⁹ Research "Respect for human rights in ZIKS – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

of restraint against a detainee.⁵⁰ ZIKS Administration representatives claim that restraint is used rarely, only in exceptional and necessary circumstances, such as in case of self-injury or when taking inmates to court or elsewhere, while the means of restraint are not used against minors.⁵¹ On the other hand, **the survey conducted among the sentenced persons in Podgorica⁵² has shown that the force had been applied in relation to at least one in four prisoners (25.9% of respondents), which cannot be considered a rare use of force.**⁵³

Fixation

As a measure of restraint, fixation is used in a considerable number of cases, as stated in an interview with staff at Podgorica prison, while it has also been noted that the enforcement of this measure requires approval of the prison doctor, who sometimes gives his approval over a phone, since he is the only physician in the prison and therefore quite "burdened".⁵⁴ Still, the first assessment of the need to apply fixation is provided by prison authorities.⁵⁵ The Rules regulate the application of this measure not nearly in accordance with the CPT recommendation, which requires that this measure be used exceptionally and with the utmost restraint. In the case in which the Ombudsman decided in 2012, the injured party stated that he had previously been punished by, amongst other things, spending 12 hours bedridden in a solitary confinement.⁵⁶ **Fixating even violent or recalcitrant prisoners to furniture "until they calm down" is absolutely unacceptable, and fixation should never and under no circumstances be used as a punishment.**⁵⁷

In the Report on Respect for Human Rights in Psychiatric Institutions,⁵⁸ paragraph 6.1 describes a case of F.S., mentally ill person, who spent 18 days confined to a bed in October 2010 after being admitted to the Remand Prison, without psychiatric examination. The Report

⁵⁰ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 68/06, Art. 57, para 5.

⁵¹ Interview with the Remand Prison Administration, Podgorica, 2012.

⁵² Research included 58.9% of all prisoners in ZIKS Podgorica.

⁵³ When asked if the prison officials had sometimes used force against a specific respondent during his stay in prison, of 478 answers, 124 were affirmative, 354 negative, while 17 inmates did not respond. In the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, of 85 respondents, 15 answered the same question with yes, 69 with no, and one person did not answer.

⁵⁴ Interview with ZIKS Administration, Podgorica, 27 December 2011.

⁵⁵ Interview with ZIKS Administration, Podgorica, 27 December 2011.

⁵⁶ Opinion and recommendation of the Ombudsman, no. 531/11 of 20 February 2012.

⁵⁷ CPT, Report on the visit to the United Kingdom, 1997, 107; Report on the visit to Croatia, 2003, 74.

⁵⁸ Report available at: http://www.hraction.org/wp-content/uploads/Postovanje_ljudskih_prava_u_psihijatrijskim_ustanovama_nov2011.pdf.

contains recommendations to the Police Directorate, Ministry of Justice and the courts to ensure that in every case of suspected mental condition of an offender or criminal offender, that person be examined by a psychiatrist and/or referred to an appropriate psychiatric institution for expert opinion, while finding unacceptable the continuous practice of confinement to bed.

However, despite the recommendation, on 23 February 2012 daily newspapers published information that detainee A.Ž. stated before the investigating judge that during his first two months in the Remand Prison he had been "tied down".⁵⁹ Expert witness gave an opinion that A.Ž. has a chronic mental illness - schizophrenia, and that at the time of the murder he was unable to understand the importance of his act".

The monitoring team expresses its concern that the practice of prolonged "fixation", i.e. mechanical restriction of freedom of movement of mentally ill persons in ZIKS is repeating. Decision criterion remains unknown, since the Prison does not have a register for recording the circumstances that led to the implementation of this measure.

Prolonged fixation (18 days in the first case and even two months in the second case) is inadmissible. Duration of fixation should be as short as possible and that time should be measured in minutes, rather than hours.⁶⁰ Agitated patients should be treated in a different environment, preferably hospital, and their freedom of movement in prison conditions should not be hindered.⁶¹

*Furthermore, a special register should be introduced for accurately recording all cases of resorting to measures of physical (mechanical) restricting of freedom of movement.*⁶²

After examining available records on the use of means of restraint in Podgorica Prison, monitors have found that in several cases rubber truncheons had been used as means of coercion, and in one case solitary confinement, approved by the doctor's statement

⁵⁹ Daily *Vijesti*, 19 April 2012.

⁶⁰ The duration of fixation should be for the shortest possible time (usually minutes rather than hours). The exceptional prolongation of restraint should warrant a further review by a doctor. Restraint for periods of days at a time cannot have any justification and would amount to ill-treatment. CPT, Visit to Denmark, 2008, p. 71.

⁶¹ Regarding its appropriate use, immobilisation should only be used as a last resort to prevent the risk of harm to the individual or others and only when all other reasonable options would fail satisfactorily to contain those risks; it should never be used as a punishment or to compensate for shortages of trained staff; it should not be used in a non-medical setting when hospitalisation would be a more appropriate intervention. CPT, Visit to Denmark, 2008, p. 71.

⁶² A special register should be kept to record all cases in which recourse is had to means of restraint; the entry should include the times at which the measure began and ended, the circumstances of the case, the reasons for resorting to the measure, the name of the doctor who ordered or approved it, and an account of any injuries sustained by the person or staff. CPT, Visit to Liechtenstein, 2007, p. 47.

"capable of isolation"⁶³. *Prison doctor should not provide an opinion on whether or not someone is capable of isolation, or the application of any other means of coercion*, but visit an inmate on a daily basis during the isolation, and if that is not possible, a nurse should visit him and report on his condition.⁶⁴ This is particularly important as a preventive measure, as the possibility of ill-treatment increases when a person is isolated or placed in a solitary confinement cell.

The recording of injuries resulting from the application of means of restraint in ZIKS, prisoners' statements about acquiring injuries and the absence of a specific register on this issue is described in more detail in section Health-care services.

Staff at Bijelo Polje Prison reported that during the past years they have not resorted to coercive measures, because there was no need to. Fixation is used only in case of inmates being taken to a court or medical treatment. All problems that arise are resolved through conversation, without the use of means of restraint, and these are mostly minor problems that are overcome quickly.⁶⁵ What the members of the monitoring team have immediately noticed in Bijelo Polje Prison is a different, more relaxed atmosphere compared to the prison in Podgorica. None of the sentenced persons complained about the treatment in any way, so one gets the impression that there is no violence in that prison facility. CPT did not receive complaints about physical abuse from prisoners in Bijelo Polje in 2008 either.⁶⁶

Recommendations

- *Specify the Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions by including a warning that, when the resistance is suppressed, it is forbidden and punishable to continue to use force, i.e. use force as a punishment.*
- *Provide specifically tailored training to all members of the security service who already have contact with the inmates with the aim of adopting physical and psychological skills to maintain order while preventing abuse and reducing tension.*
- *Ensure the keeping of records of each application of coercive measures against the detainees and prisoners, without exception.*
- *Amend the Law on the Execution of Criminal Sanctions to prescribe in detail the procedure of using coercive measures, and particularly to ensure doctor's*

⁶³ Insight into the records during the visit, Podgorica, 27 December 2011.

⁶⁴ For more detail see Health-care services.

⁶⁵ Interview with staff, Bijelo Polje, 9 December 2011.

⁶⁶ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 45.

examination in each case of application of force, documenting of the statement of a person against whom the force was applied and bringing the case to the attention of the relevant ministry and state prosecutor.

- *Amend regulations to ensure that the inmate against whom the force was applied is granted access to all his relevant statements, as well as to the doctor's conclusions.*
- *Ensure that ZIKS officers do not use improvised means of restraint and destroy all such means found with the prisoners, in accordance with the recommendation of the Ombudsman of 16 January 2012.*
- *Amend the Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions by specifying the manner of the use of means of coercion in accordance with the CPT standards, to prevent the abuse and especially punishment by using means of coercion.*
- *Ensure that video surveillance footage be stored much longer than 7 days, in accordance with the opinion of the Ombudsman and his recommendation.*
- *Prevent the recurrence of prolonged fixation of mentally ill persons in ZIKS, as it represents an example of abuse.*

Death cases in the past three years

Every death in prison must be thoroughly investigated and the cause of death must be determined in order to provide the relatives of the deceased with relevant information concerning the circumstances of death, prevent similar cases in the future and, if necessary, establish responsibility for the death.⁶⁷

From 2009 until 1 June 2012, there were a total of six deaths in ZIKS, four of them in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica and two in the Remand Prison Podgorica. In their responses, ZIKS Administration failed to specify the cause of deaths.⁶⁸

Based on the media reports, it can be concluded that in 3 cases it was a suicide and natural death in 2 cases. In one case it has not yet been clearly determined whether the death was the result of a suicide or murder.⁶⁹

⁶⁷ CPT, Report on the visit to Macedonia, 2006, p. 107.

⁶⁸ Responses of the Prison Administration to the questionnaire of the monitoring team of 28 February 2012 imply that 6 people died in ZIKS from 2009 to 2011, while the media reported 5 deaths in ZIKS during the same period.

⁶⁹ Although in the case of death of Mihailo Terzić from November 2011, the prosecution did not accept the opinion of doctor Miodrag Šoć that Terzić had been murdered, and that death was due to strangulation, because

On 12 June 2011 prisoner R.J. (64) from Bijelo Polje, transferred from ZIKS to a hospital a day earlier, died at the Clinical Centre in Podgorica. Autopsy findings confirmed that the death was "violent and due to Xanax poisoning", and caused by suicide.⁷⁰ The family of the deceased stated that the attitude of ZIKS Administration towards R.J.'s poisoning and death indicates "a clear suspicion that the truth about the cause of poisoning and how the deceased managed to come into possession of such a large amount of pills is deliberately concealed", which is why the wife filed a criminal complaint with the prosecutor against ZIKS officer N.N. for a criminal offense Negligent performance of duty.⁷¹ She said that her husband had fallen seriously ill and requested a stay of the sentence in order to be adequately treated, but that his request has not even been answered. ZIKS officer, who transferred R.J. to the hospital, said that the deceased took 60 Xanax pills and left a suicide note, while the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners informed the public about the existence of the note and its referral to graphology expert, having previously informed the family of the deceased about it. However, the family claims that the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners did not show them the letter.⁷² The public is not aware of the existence of the opinion of graphology expert as regards R.J.'s letter. No new information has been released on the results of the investigation.

On 7 February 2011, in the prison in Spuž, B.J. (71) from Podgorica took his own life, despite the fact that he had been under constant supervision and control of the Remand Prison security service, as well as under medical care. He hanged himself on the window bars while other detainees in the room were sleeping. A suicide note was found in his pocket. On this occasion ZIKS Administration stated: "On several occasions, additional examinations and analyses were carried out at the Clinical Centre of Montenegro, and he received his therapy regularly. Due to such health condition and the need for constant examination and treatment, the detainee was placed in a separate inpatient room in order to provide him with more intensive medical care. In addition to enhanced medical care, he was under constant supervision and control of the security service of the Remand Prison."⁷³

The public was also upset by the death of Alen Harović (26), who died in October 2009 in ZIKS of heroin overdose. Despite the fact that he was ordered the measure of treatment of substance abuse and had to be placed in the Special Prison Hospital, Harović was serving a sentence in the Semi-open unit in ZIKS with five other prisoners in the room,

such findings did not coincide with the findings of other experts: doctors Dragana Čukić and Mihailo Kuliš and special commissions from Ljubljana, it is not known whether the investigation in this case has been officially suspended and Terzić's death declared a suicide. More details: "Prosecution confirms that Terzić committed suicide", *Vijesti*, 10 May 2012.

⁷⁰ "Prison of suspicious deaths", *Vijesti*, 20 November 2011.

⁷¹ "Why is there no suicide note?", *Vijesti*, 23 August 2011.

⁷² "ZIKS officials claim to have treated Radojko Jurišić professionally," *Vijesti*, 14 August 2011.

⁷³ "Killed girlfriend because of love, then himself," *Vijesti*, 8 February 2011.

including Dragan Mihailović. On 28 October 2009 around 8 pm Harović and Mihailović fell ill due to severe heroin poisoning. Mihailović was saved because he was taken to the Clinical Centre in Podgorica, and Harović was left in the cell.⁷⁴ In connection to this case, on 5 October 2010 the High Court in Podgorica imposed prison sentences from two and a half to six years on four persons for smuggling heroin into ZIKS.⁷⁵ Some ZIKS officials received disciplinary punishment for this case, but were not prosecuted.

In November 2011 Dr Mladen Ivanišević (50) from Tivat died in a prison room of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Spuž. ZIKS Administration announced that Ivanišević had fallen ill around 4:10 pm while in his room, when the prisoners placed in the same room informed the prison officers. At 4:20 pm Ivanišević was transferred to the Clinical Centre but showed no signs of life, and his death was declared soon after. It has not yet been officially announced what is the cause of Ivanišević's death, although unofficially it has been stated that he had died of a heart attack.⁷⁶ Family has expressed doubts about the cause of Ivanišević's death.⁷⁷

The case of the death of detainee Milivoje Terzić, who was found hanged in his cell in November 2011 attracted much attention of the media and public. Of four expert findings on the cause of death, findings of Dr. Miodrag Šoć pointed to possible murder, while according to the three findings (Dr. Dragana Čukić, Dr. Mihailo Kuliš and expert committee from Slovenia who conducted superexpert examination) the cause of death is suicide. The prosecution concluded that it was a suicide, based not only on expert findings but also the content of a video surveillance footage from ZIKS premises, which proves that there were no unlawful activities of any person, inside or outside, prior to the discovery of Terzić's body, while the authenticity of the footage has been established in the Wiesbaden institute, which concluded that the footage contained no manipulative content or gaps.⁷⁸

Detainee Spasoje Đekić (72) was found dead in Remand Prison on 17 April 2012. Autopsy report prepared at the Clinical Centre of Montenegro shows that Đekić died of natural causes.⁷⁹

⁷⁴ "Judge informed 15 hours later", *Dan*, 30 October 2009.

⁷⁵ "A total of 15 years in prison", *Pobjeda*, 6 October 2010.

⁷⁶ "Doctor died, complaints of severe maltreatment left", *Vijesti*, 3 November 2011.

⁷⁷ "Cell hiding a secret", *Dan*, 4 November 2011.

⁷⁸ "Prosecution confirmed that Terzić had committed suicide", *Vijesti*, 10 May 2012.

⁷⁹ "Died a natural death", *Dan*, 7 May 2012.

Prevention of ill-treatment of detained persons

Monitoring of detention enforcement

Detainee has the right to file a complaint at any time concerning the conduct of prison officials in case of violation of his/her rights or other irregularities. The prison Chief is obliged to inform on that the president of the court supervising the detainees without delay.⁸⁰

Of the total number of complaints, it is unknown how many of them refer to detention, because different organizational units do not keep records on a regular basis, although the CPT recommended establishing of a system for recording complaints and their timely processing.⁸¹

Prison authorities are required to visit detainees at least once a week to check the conditions of detention and treatment of detainees. In an interview with the prison Administration it was stated that the prisoners are visited several times a week.⁸²

Monitoring of the enforcement of detention is exercised by the court president competent for ordering detention, i.e. a judge appointed by the court president. Court president is obliged to visit detainees at least twice a year and can visit them at any time of the day or night and receive their complaints. The president or a judge designated by him must take the necessary measures to rectify irregularities noticed during the visit and prepare a report on the visit to be submitted to the President of the Supreme Court and the ministry in charge of legal affairs. President of the court and investigative judge may at any time visit all detainees, talk to them and receive their complaints.⁸³

The President of the High Court in Podgorica regularly visit detained persons, according to ZIKS Administration data, however, reports of these visits were not made Public. The President of the High Court in Podgorica rejected a request for access to his reports on the visits to detainees in detention unit at the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions in Podgorica, stating in the explanation, inter alia, that "under the provision of Art. 185, para 2 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the president of the court is obliged to compile a report on his visit which is to be submitted only to the President of the Supreme Court and the ministry in charge of the judiciary."⁸⁴

⁸⁰ House Rules for the execution of detention order, Art. 62, para 2.

⁸¹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 81.

⁸² Interview with the Head of the prison in Podgorica, 2012.

⁸³ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 185.

⁸⁴ Decision of the High Court in Podgorica VIII Su.br.3584/11 of 5 November 2011.

The President of the High Court in Bijelo Polje replied to the same request that the High Court does not keep records of the visits to detainees and issued passes, nor is it bound by the provisions of the applicable Court Rules.⁸⁵ According to information obtained from the Chief of the Bijelo Polje Prison, the President of the High Court in Bijelo Polje visited detainees three times in 2010, and in 2011 not even once, nor did he authorize any other person to do so.⁸⁶

Cases of ill-treatment in detention

In connection with the Remand Prison in Podgorica, monitoring team has received several allegations of physical ill-treatment of persons who are now serving a prison sentence, but the abuse by stuff occurred during their stay in detention in 2008. According to now sentenced persons, the abuse included kicks, punches, slaps and hits with a truncheon, sometimes even after handcuffing a detainee.⁸⁷ In the CPT report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, the ill-treatment of sentenced persons in the Remand Prison in Podgorica was described in the same manner.⁸⁸ Convicts claim that the prison authorities knew about the majority of the cases of abuse in detention, but that no prison officer was suspended, nor have the police or the prosecution been informed. They came to this conclusion because no person ever asked them anything about it or required them to provide a statement.⁸⁹

On 3 May 2012, detainee in Podgorica Remand Prison M. Đurković was beaten in the detention room for allegedly being late for the count. He was beaten in the presence of other inmates by the security sector officials of Podgorica Remand Prison. Doctors confirmed the injuries, and the Basic State Prosecutor ordered an investigation into the case.⁹⁰ Monitoring team representative visited M.Đ. The Administration of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions confirmed that their officer "used coercive means against Đurković who did not comply with the house rules". For overstepping their authority, the officer and the shift Head have been suspended.⁹¹ However, M.Đ claims that several persons participated in his ill-treatment.

⁸⁵ Notice of the High Court in Bijelo Polje, Su.V br.627/11 of 24 October 2011.

⁸⁶ Interview with the Head of Bijelo Polje Prison, 9 December 2011.

⁸⁷ Interviews with the prisoners during visits, Podgorica, 2011.

⁸⁸ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 45.

⁸⁹ Interviews with the prisoners during visits, Podgorica, 2011.

⁹⁰ Daily *Dan* of 7 May 2012.

⁹¹ Daily *Vijesti* of 7 May 2012.

Monitoring team wishes to compare this situation, as the only case of ill-treatment in 2012, with the situation of the beaten female detainee Vladana Kljajić, mentioned in the CPT report,⁹² when the prison officers were not suspended, and the prison authorities denied the whole incident, but in a final verdict the court found that the detainee had been abused and sentenced the officers to probation.

As proved by the case of M. Đurković, as well as the case of I. Milić and D. Nikezić, even when confronted with indisputable evidence of ill-treatment, ZIKS Administration hesitates to sanction all persons responsible and demonstrate uncompromising position on the prohibition on torture.

Suspension of an officer suspected of ill-treatment

In the conclusions of its 2008 Report on Montenegro, the Committee against Torture (CAT) emphasized that in cases where there is serious doubt as to the torture and abuse, the defendant must, as a rule, be suspended for the duration of the procedure.⁹³ This recommendation is also in accordance with the Labour Law of Montenegro, which stipulates that a person prosecuted for a criminal offense related to the work must be suspended until the end of criminal proceedings.⁹⁴ It cannot be confirmed whether this practice is applied consistently, because the monitoring team could not access this type of documentation.

Conditions of detention

Criminal Procedure Code contains specific provisions on the treatment of persons in detention.⁹⁵ Personality and dignity of the detainee shall not be offended in the course of detention, while the only restrictions that may be imposed against detainees are those needed to prevent their flight and ensure smooth conduct of the criminal proceedings.⁹⁶ However, neither the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions nor prison regulations contain a clear provision stating that these imply minimum required force and only for the duration of the risk, so these should be amended accordingly, i.e. specified.

It is particularly important that the regime applicable to the persons in detention is not affected by the assessment of the possibility of being convicted of a crime.⁹⁷

⁹² CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 46

⁹³ CAT, Concluding observations on Montenegro, 2008

⁹⁴ Labour Law, *Sl. list RCG*, 43/03 and 25/06.

⁹⁵ Criminal Procedure Code, *Sl. list CG*, 49/2010, Art. 181-186

⁹⁶ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 181, para 2.

⁹⁷ European Prison Rules, 95. 1

In an interview with the Chief and officers of the Security Service in the Remand Prison (Podgorica Prison), it was concluded that they attach great importance to whether a person have been detained more than once, i.e. whether he is a "multiple recidivist" or not. Also, there is an impression that all staff members have knowledge of acts committed by all the detainees. The question is whether it is necessary that staff members be burdened with this type of information, since they are not in charge of any kind of social and rehabilitation treatment. It is enough that the prison Chief or Security Service Chief has this information to assess the security risk, and not all the other guards in the Remand Prison.

Monitoring team was unable to verify the allegations of the privileged status of certain detainees. Remand Prison Chief explained that it is a true challenge to place the defendants in same cases in different rooms, in order to prevent their communication, as well as persons who are known to be in direct conflict, etc. Hence, it is difficult to verify whether some persons are alone in a room, or placed in double rooms because they have a "connection" or because different placement is really not possible at the time. However, bearing in mind that multiple former convicts suggested the existence of this type of discrimination, it would be necessary to carry out additional checks to that end.

In the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica, many prisoners expressed a favourable view of prison officials saying that "it is better now than before".⁹⁸ Complaints as regards abuse and torture relate mainly to their stay in the Remand Prison. However, it should be noted that one or two prison officials were always present at interviews the monitoring team members had with the prisoners.

In accordance with the CPT recommendation, prison staff should be reminded that the force used to control violent and/or recalcitrant prisoners should be no more than necessary and that once prisoners have been brought under control, there can be no justification for their being struck.⁹⁹ Therefore, the force cannot be completely excluded from the practice, but it is necessary to strive for its proper use, in situations and to the extent prescribed by regulations.

Statutory provisions relating to the prohibition of ill-treatment, torture and degrading treatment should be further specified and harmonize with European standards. However, the implementation of the existing provisions on the prohibition of torture in practice is still not satisfactory, as not all the cases of the use of force or excessive use of force are recorded. This practice should be changed and each case of violation of the rights of prisoners or detainees should be recorded. Any abuse of powers must lead to the initiation of criminal or disciplinary proceedings, without hesitation or exceptions. Otherwise, the responsible officials, the Security Service Chief, the prison Chief or the Director himself should therefore bear the consequences in accordance with the law. The CPT recommended that the attention

⁹⁸ Interview with the prisoners during visits, Podgorica, 2011.

⁹⁹ CPT, Report on the visit to Montenegro, p. 47.

of prosecutors, judges, prison directors and other competent authorities be drawn to the need to exercise extra vigilance and adopt a more proactive approach in order to ensure that no case of ill-treatment goes unnoticed and unpunished.¹⁰⁰

Recommendation

- *Any case of exceeding or abusing one's authority must be recorded and must lead to initiation of the procedure of determining criminal or disciplinary liability, without hesitation and exceptions. Otherwise, the responsible chiefs, heads and director of ZIKS should therefore bear the consequences in accordance with the Criminal Code, which prescribes liability for abuse of official position, negligent performance of duties, concealment of a criminal offense and offender, etc.*

Relations between prison staff and inmates

After its visit to Montenegro, the CPT particularly recommended that a firm message be delivered to staff of the Remand Prison in Podgorica that physical ill-treatment and verbal abuse of prisoners are not acceptable and will be dealt with severely.¹⁰¹ In some other countries, it was explained that this means that the director and chiefs need to regularly visit the facility, observe the behaviour of staff towards prisoners, talk to prisoners and deal with their complaints.¹⁰²

Threats and insults

Answering the question whether they have ever been seriously threatened by the prison officer, a quarter of the interviewed prisoners (25.1%) responded affirmatively. In connection with this question, the prisoners were offered to state what they have been threatened with. Their responses were classified into two categories – those who reported direct threats (e.g. "you'll be sent to solitary confinement", "you won't see the light of the day", "I'll break your bones", "we'll do everything to hinder you", "I'll make your stay here harder", "I am both the director and minister here – you'll walk when I want and how I want"...) and those who feared stating the threats because of the possible consequences.¹⁰³

¹⁰⁰ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, <http://www.hraction.org/wp-content/uploads/Standardi-CPT-a-15-37.pdf>

¹⁰¹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 45.

¹⁰² See "Prohibition of abuse - a manual for police and prison staff", Ivan Janković, Belgrade Centre for Human Rights, Belgrade, 2010, p. 165, quoting the CPT Reports to Georgia, 2007, p. 35, and Hungary, 2009, p. 60.

¹⁰³ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

When asked whether prison officials insult prisoners, i.e. use derogatory words when addressing them, nearly half (43.6%) of respondents in Podgorica provided affirmative answer to this question. In the Prison for short sentences, in response to the same question, a quarter (26.4%) of convicts responded affirmatively.¹⁰⁴ Examples of these insults include "garbage", "mutt", "bastard", "monkey" and insults on a national basis.

It has been observed that the relations between staff and persons serving sentences in the Prison for short sentences and Semi-open unit are better than in the Prison for long sentences and Remand Prison. When visiting the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, units B and D, **it was noted that relations between the Security Service Chief and inmates in some rooms were tense.** Such atmosphere, for example, was not observed in the prison in Bijelo Polje.

Obvious **positive atmosphere present among the officers and inmates at the prison in Bijelo Polje** is a sign of fair relationship between the prison staff and persons serving sentences. For example, the inmates noted that prison officials wake them up in the morning quietly, without fuss, which has a positive effect on them and creates a positive opinion about the employees at this facility.¹⁰⁵

One part of the survey of views of sentenced persons regarding the respect for their human rights at the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, carried out by the monitoring team, concerned the relations between prisoners and prison authorities during a massive **hunger strike among ZIKS prisoners in February 2012.**¹⁰⁶ The table below presents the survey results on this topic. Our recommendation, featured in the Health-care section, is to *develop a protocol regarding the actions of ZIKS Administration in case of a hunger strike, which would also include measures for respect for the human rights of strikers.*

Were you on a hunger strike during the past month?	Yes: 67.7%	No: 32.3%	No answer: 19.59%	Reasons: probation, solidarity, overcrowded rooms, transfer to Bijelo Polje Prison, improper treatment by commanders and administration.
--	------------	-----------	-------------------	--

¹⁰⁴ Ibid.

¹⁰⁵ Interviews with the prisoners during visits, Bijelo Polje, 2011.

¹⁰⁶ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

Did you voluntarily agree to the hunger strike?	Yes: 98.8%	No: 1.22%	No answer: 2.44%	
Were you examined by a doctor during the hunger strike?	Yes: 8.8%	No: 91.2%	No answer: 2.44%	
Did someone pressure you to end the hunger strike? If so, who did?	Yes: 74.1%	No: 25.9%	No answer: 5.30%	Prisoners noted the names and functions of ZIKS officers who had pressured them. These data were submitted to ZIKS Administration and the Ministry of Justice.
Were you deprived of any rights during the strike?	Yes: 69.1%	No: 30.9%	No answer: 8.97%	Denial of phone calls, showers, family visits, walks, medical care etc.

The European Prison Rules stipulate that it shall be possible for prisoners to contact staff at all times, including during the night.¹⁰⁷ In Podgorica, however, the number of persons serving a sentence and a small number of prison employees in direct contact with them affect the quality of the work.¹⁰⁸ Persons serving sentences pointed to insufficient contact with prison employees they "need", who often respond to their specific requests late.¹⁰⁹

Regarding the CPT recommendation that, if considered necessary for prison officers to carry truncheons, the truncheons be hidden from view,¹¹⁰ it should be noted that half of the respondents - sentenced persons in Podgorica (54.1%) pointed out that officers usually carry truncheons, therefore, do not hide them from view, *so more attention need to be paid to the CPT recommendation*. (However, the situation in the Prison for short sentences is reverse, where the overwhelming majority of 95.9% of respondents said that this was not the case).

¹⁰⁷ European Prison Rules, 52.2 and 52.4.

¹⁰⁸ Interview with ZIKS staff, Podgorica, 2011.

¹⁰⁹ Interview with persons serving sentences, Podgorica, 2011.

¹¹⁰ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 48.

Violence among inmates

The obligation of staff at the facility for the execution of criminal sanctions is not only to refrain from any form of ill-treatment of prisoners, but also to prevent any violence among them. If violence does occur, prison staff must respond to it promptly and adequately in order to protect those in danger.

"During the 2008 visit, the CPT's delegation heard several allegations of inter-prisoner violence. The prison authorities admitted that there were occasional instances of inter-prisoner violence and indicated that they were striving to take the necessary preventive measures (including segregation of the possible perpetrators or victims). **The CPT invites the Montenegrin authorities to develop a strategy aimed at preventing inter-prisoner violence.**"¹¹¹ Such strategy has not yet been developed, although it could be very useful.

The Rules stipulate that a security officer shall constantly monitor the movement of persons deprived of liberty and at all times be aware of the whereabouts of a particular person entrusted to him for safekeeping, keep notes on personal observations regarding the behaviour, movement, stay, work and mutual relationships of persons deprived of liberty, and also conduct appropriate supervision over prisoners during the walks, rest or sleep.¹¹² Performance of security service in the prison shall be organized in each organizational unit, continuously, in shifts.¹¹³ However, effective prevention of violence entails monitoring which requires sufficient number of members of the security service. *The monitoring team noted that at the time of the visits, during the day, a number of officers in shifts was insufficient.*¹¹⁴

Monitoring team also proposes *urgent introduction of alarm and video surveillance systems in rooms with a large number of prisoners in unit A in Podgorica and in Bijelo Polje Prison* in order to reduce the risk of violence among inmates. However, it should be borne in mind that, according to the CPT, electronic equipment can not completely replace the physical presence of prison staff, their contact with prisoners and encouragement of good relations and mutual respect.¹¹⁵

¹¹¹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 50.

¹¹² Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 68/2006, Art. 27.

¹¹³ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, Weapons and Equipment of Security Officers in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 68/2006, Art. 5.

¹¹⁴ For more detail see section Prison staff.

¹¹⁵ CPT, Report on 2007 visit to the Netherlands (Antilles), p. 46.

Prison authorities stated that they are trying to take all necessary preventive measures, including segregation of the possible perpetrators or victims to different units.¹¹⁶ Careful assessment, classification and transfer of each prisoner is a key measure for preventing inter-prisoner violence,¹¹⁷ but not the only one. There is vast international experience to be considered and compared with national experience, and development of a strategy is the right opportunity to do so.¹¹⁸

Anonymous survey conducted among the prisoners in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners and Prison for short sentences in Podgorica showed that a relatively small percentage of respondents (12.3%) said that another inmate had applied force against them. Within the Prison for short sentences, 2 prisoners responded to this question affirmatively, and 81 negatively. When asked whether the prison officials reacted in such a case, if aware of the event and able to react, 30 answers were affirmative, 22 negative, while 6 respondents did not answer. In the Prison for short sentences, both respondents responded negatively. Thus, **42.3% of respondents held that the officers did not react when another inmate applied force against them, even though they knew about the event and were able to act in response.**

According to the prison officers in Podgorica, inter-prisoner violence occurs in a small number of cases. If it is a minor offense, prison authorities employ regular procedure and possible punishment of those responsible. However, in case of serious offenses accompanied by serious physical injuries, prison authorities inform the competent authorities and the procedure of determining criminal responsibility is initiated.¹¹⁹

It has been observed, especially in Bijelo Polje Prison, that staff mediates in improving relations between inmates who are often in some sort of conflict. This is particularly important for prison staff as well, because with a small number of staff members, especially in the security service, and a large number of sentenced persons this is necessary for the overall safety and prevention of adverse situations. Such treatment is in accordance with the European Prison Rules, which recommend that prison authorities *use mechanisms of restoration and mediation whenever possible* to resolve disputes with and among prisoners.¹²⁰

The fact that during a two-month period only, from mid-March to mid-May 2012, several physical attacks took place in prison in Podgorica, seriously jeopardizing the safety of

¹¹⁶ Interview with the prison administration, Podgorica, 2011.

¹¹⁷ CPT, Report on 2007 visit to Bosnia and Herzegovina, p. 50.

¹¹⁸ Pay special attention to a chapter "Intentional abuse among prisoners" in the book "Prohibition of abuse - a manual for police and prison staff", Ivan Janković, Belgrade Center for Human Rights, Belgrade, 2010, p. 166.

¹¹⁹ Interview with the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, Podgorica, December 2011.

¹²⁰ European Prison Rules, 56. 2.

sentenced persons, deserves situation analysis and adoption of a strategy on the prevention of violence among inmates.

According to the media reports, with the intention to attack another convict, convict I.V. "assaulted three prison officers and caused them light bodily injury, which was reported to the Police Directorate and prosecutor's office. After the incident, security officers beat prisoner I.V. and the events were allegedly recorded on video surveillance footage".¹²¹ After this incident, the Basic State Prosecutor in Podgorica submitted indicting proposals to the Basic Court in Danilovgrad against the sentenced persons for criminal acts Assaulting an Officer in the Performance of Official Duties and Ill-treatment of Prisoners.

ZIKS officials prevented the incident between members of the so-called "Zagorič clan" and the group of prisoners. On several occasions, verbal conflicts and nearly physical fights occurred among detainees in the Remand Prison, as well as in the Semi-open unit. As a result, ZIKS Administration raised the security alert to the highest possible level. Prison officials had to intervene to prevent a conflict between feuding inmates.¹²²

On 10 May 2012, there was a fight among detainees placed in the same room, one of whom sustained a serious bodily injury.¹²³

Recommendations

- *Since more than half of prisoners claim that officers carry truncheons, it is necessary to make additional efforts to hide them from view.*
- *Develop a strategy on the prevention of violence among inmates. Include experts from various fields in its development, as well as staff members in contact with prisoners on a daily basis.*
- *Increase the number of employees in the Security Sector.*
- *Ensure the application of conciliation and mediation procedures to the greatest possible extent to resolve disputes among inmates.*
- *Install video cameras and alarm systems in rooms with a large number of prisoners.*

¹²¹ Daily Dan of 4 April 2012.

¹²² Daily Dan of 5 May 2012.

¹²³ Daily Vijesti of 11 May 2012.

3. DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS AND PROCEDURES

It is important to accurately prescribe disciplinary sanctions and disciplinary procedure in which they may be imposed on sentenced persons, which must meet the minimum guarantees of fairness. It is absolutely forbidden to sanction convicts by resorting to corporal punishment, fixation and other kinds of inhuman and degrading punishment¹²⁴, depriving them of water, food or walks,¹²⁵ or to punish them collectively¹²⁶. The existence of a formal disciplinary procedure protects prisoners from informal system of punishment, which allow for legal uncertainty and abuse of authority.¹²⁷

Violations and sanctions

Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions prescribes sanctions that may be imposed on convicted persons for violation of house rules, and a procedure in which these sanctions may be imposed on them.¹²⁸ The specific list of minor and serious disciplinary violations is prescribed by the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences.¹²⁹

For minor disciplinary violations, convicted person might receive a reprimand or be denied the receipt of deliveries in duration of 3 months.¹³⁰ For serious disciplinary violations, convict may be sentenced to solitary confinement for up to 30 days.¹³¹ It is also possible to impose suspended sentence. Disciplinary sanctions may cause the loss of the right to award¹³² for a period of four months to a year.¹³³

¹²⁴ European Prison Rules, p. 60.3 and 60.6

¹²⁵ CPT, Report on the visit to Armenia, 2006, p. 51.

¹²⁶ CPT, Report on the visit to the Netherlands (Aruba), 2007, p. 88.

¹²⁷ CPT, Report on the visit to Finland, 2003, p. 88.

¹²⁸ Art. 55, 55a, 56, 57, 58, 59, 59a and 60 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 25/94, 29/94, 69/2003 and 65/2004 and *Sl. list CG*, 32/2011.

¹²⁹ Art. 136, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

¹³⁰ Art. 50, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions. Art. 137, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

¹³¹ Ibid.

¹³² For their good behaviour and commitment to work, as well as for other rehabilitation reasons, prisoners can be awarded: 1) extended right to receive deliveries and visits, 2) unsupervised visits, 3) visits outside the premises of the organization, 4) free visit to town, 5) weekend with the family, 6) seven-day leave during a year, 7) partial or complete annual leave outside the premises of the organization (Art. 52 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions).

¹³³ Art. 137, para 8 of the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

However, contrary to the law, which explicitly states which sanctions may be imposed in the event of disciplinary violations, the Rules adds one more – "limiting" visits to a person sent to solitary confinement.¹³⁴ However, the CPT stressed that the fact that a prisoner is in solitary confinement must not serve as an excuse to discontinue or limit his contact with family and other close persons, and that the solitary confinement should never involve a total prohibition on visits.¹³⁵ Visits could be prohibited only if a disciplinary offense for which the person has been sanctioned is in direct connection with the earlier visits.¹³⁶ Anonymous survey conducted in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners shows that the prohibition on family contact is used as a disciplinary measure, and applied when a person is sentenced to solitary confinement.¹³⁷ *It is necessary to ensure that persons serving a sentence of solitary confinement have the right to visits from family members and other close persons.* This guarantee is particularly important for the detection and prevention of ill-treatment, since one of the well known techniques of concealing injuries implies isolation of an injured person in solitary confinement for up to a month.

In the case of a serious disciplinary violation of self-injury, prisoner shall be imposed a sanction of solitary confinement. However, self-injury is often a symptom of psychiatric or psychological disorder, and should therefore be approached from the therapeutic perspective, rather than disciplinary.¹³⁸ Prisoner should be examined by a medical specialist immediately after inflicting self-harm, and, if needed, undergo medical treatment, or, if not, be imposed the prescribed sanction. Isolation of persons who inflict self-harm due to mental disorder can lead to deterioration of their condition.¹³⁹ *Legislation should be specified in view of that, as the CPT recommended the Croatian authorities in 2007.*¹⁴⁰ Although the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions (Art. 56, para 1) states that, "if necessary", a medical opinion shall be obtained prior to the imposition of disciplinary sanctions, *it should be specified that in the case of self-injury, it is obligatory to obtain a medical opinion prior to initiating disciplinary procedure.*

¹³⁴ Art. 96, para 2 of the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

¹³⁵ CPT, Report on the visit to Cyprus, 2004, p. 86.

¹³⁶ European Prison Rules, p. 60.4: "Punishment shall not include a total prohibition on family contact." Also, CPT, Report on the visit to Austria, 2009, p. 103 and Hungary, 2009, p. 113.

¹³⁷ When asked: *Is the prohibition of contact with family applied as a disciplinary measure?*, 120 convicts said yes (64.2%), 67 said no (35.8%), 308 did not reply. In the Prison for short sentences, 11 said yes (47.8%), 12 said no (52.2%), 62 did not reply. When asked: *If YES (prohibition of contact with family), is this measure applied only when a prisoner is sent to solitary confinement?*, 94 convicts said yes (83.2%), 19 said no (16.8%), 7 did not reply. In the Prison for short sentences, 6 said yes (60%), 4 said no (40%), 1 convict did not reply.

¹³⁸ CPT, Report on the visit to Croatia, 2007, p. 95.

¹³⁹ CPT, Report on the visit to Latvia, 2007, p. 92.

¹⁴⁰ CPT, Report on the visit to Croatia, 2007, p. 95.

Solitary confinement

European Prison Rules allow solitary confinement "only in exceptional cases" and "for a specified period of time which shall be as short as possible" (p. 60.5). In Montenegro, a convicted person may be sentenced to solitary confinement for up to 30 days. In the case of conditional sentencing and revocation of that sanction for new violations, a person may be sentenced to a maximum of 45 days.¹⁴¹ The CPT has recommended that the maximum sentence of 30 or 45 days in solitary confinement should be lowered, treatment of an inmate in solitary confinement improved and that contact with family during the sentence should not be prohibited.¹⁴² The CPT informed the Montenegrin authorities that 30 days of continuous solitary confinement is too long a period and that "under no circumstances should such a period of placement in a disciplinary cell be prolonged without there being an interruption", recommending appropriate regulatory amendments.¹⁴³ For instance, the maximum duration of solitary confinement in Serbia is 15 days, and it can be extended for up to 30 days in case of the consolidation of sentences. In Croatia, the maximum duration of stay in solitary confinement is 21 days.¹⁴⁴ Although, as observed in practice, persons sent to solitary confinement in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners often serve half their sentence in a solitary confinement cell and return to regular regime, it is certainly *necessary to meet the CPT recommendation and lower the maximum duration of solitary confinement*.

Regarding the imposition of the most rigorous disciplinary measure of solitary confinement, the Rules require the prison doctor to issue a statement on health condition of a prisoner with regard to solitary confinement.¹⁴⁵ This provision is not in accordance with the CPT standards and the European Prison Rules, according to which the prison doctor, who acts as the patient's personal physician, should not issue such a statement. Consequently, in the interests of safeguarding the doctor/patient relationship, he should not be asked to certify that a prisoner is fit to undergo punishment or carry out any body searches or examinations requested by an authority, except in an emergency when no other doctor can be called in.¹⁴⁶ Meanwhile, in ZIKS Podgorica two more doctors were engaged, so that each covers a part of the prison system. *It is advisable to ensure that the doctor who normally looks after the health of a prisoner against whom the disciplinary proceedings have been initiated does not decide on his ability to undergo the punishment of solitary confinement.*

¹⁴¹ Art. 55, para 5, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁴² CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 80.

¹⁴³ Ibid, p. 76.

¹⁴⁴ Art. 146 of the Law on the Execution of Prison Sentence of the Republic of Croatia (NN 190/03).

¹⁴⁵ Art. 56, para 1, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions; Art. 146, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences: "Prior to the execution of disciplinary sanction of solitary confinement, doctor is obliged to provide accurate and reasoned written opinion on whether that person is capable of serving this disciplinary sentence."

¹⁴⁶ CPT standards, p. 73

Monitors have been informed by the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners that at times the doctor would evaluate one's aptitude for solitary confinement over the telephone, which is unacceptable. According to a female inmate sent to solitary confinement, doctor gave an opinion on her ability to withstand this type of punishment two days subsequent to the enforcement of her sentence.

In the case of sentencing a person to solitary confinement, ensure his/her direct contact with the doctor prior to solitary confinement.

After examining the registers of decisions on solitary confinement in Spuž and Bijelo Polje from December 2011, it was concluded that the records include the precise time of entering and exiting solitary confinement cell, as well as information that sanctioned persons regularly go out for walks.

When asked in the survey *While in solitary confinement, how many hours per day have you spent "walking"?*, 12.8% or 16 persons serving time in Podgorica Prison said more than an hour, 35.2% or 44 said one hour, 24.8% or 31 respondents said less than an hour, and as many as 27.2% or 34 respondents said they have not been "on a walk" at all, while 14 respondents gave no response. *It is necessary to ensure that all persons sent to solitary confinement have the right to stay in the fresh air for a minimum period of one hour per day, in accordance with the law.*

Official records contain the names of prisoners and number of a solitary confinement cell they have been sent to. In addition to decisions on solitary confinement, other documents relating to the recording of the exact time of entering and exiting solitary confinement cell are properly maintained as well. Signatures in the records suggest that inmates in isolation are visited on a daily basis by a doctor/nurse, educator, or Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, in accordance with legal obligations (Art. 57 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions).

Introduction to the rights and obligations

According to the European Prison Rules (30.1), at admission, and as often as necessary afterwards, all prisoners shall be informed in writing and orally in a language they understand of the regulations governing prison discipline and of their rights and duties in prison.

When leaving ZIKS admissions department, each sentenced person shall sign a statement, in accordance with the law, that they are familiar with the House Rules, which requires everyone to be aware of their rights and obligations upon prison admission.¹⁴⁷

However, the monitoring team received complaints of prisoners that the House Rules had actually been unavailable to them, and that the signing of the above statement upon

¹⁴⁷ Art. 32b of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

leaving the admission department had only been formal. Some inmates complain about having asked ZIKS officers to provide them with the House Rules, while they either refused to do so, or met their request only after persistent insisting. During several visits to prisons in Spuž and Bijelo Polje, monitors found no copies of the House Rules in the living rooms, or on information boards. In Bijelo Polje the prisons Chief said that prisoners used to have a copy of the Rules in their rooms, but have destroyed the copies themselves.

Anonymous survey conducted among the sentenced persons in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica¹⁴⁸ showed that a considerable majority of 54.2% of respondents stated that they had not read the House Rules at the admission. Also, an upsetting majority of 66.5% replied that after leaving the admissions department the Rules had not been made available to them.¹⁴⁹

It is necessary to ensure that all prisoners be timely and continuously aware of their rights and obligations. Ideally, provide House Rules in the form of a brochure that would be delivered to every convicted person at admission. In the meantime, prison authorities should make sure that the prison library has enough copies of the Rules that can be handed out to convicted persons upon request.

For the needs of foreigners, it is necessary to provide a translation of the House Rules in several languages.

Disciplinary procedure

According to the European Prison Rules (p. 59), prisoners charged with disciplinary offences shall: a. be informed promptly, in a language which they understand and in detail, of the nature of the accusations against them; b. have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of their defence; c. be allowed to defend themselves in person or through legal assistance when the interests of justice so require; d. be allowed to request the attendance of witnesses and to examine them or to have them examined on their behalf; and e. have the free assistance of an interpreter if they cannot understand or speak the language used at the hearing. In its practice, the CPT amended these guarantees by adding the recommendation that inmates be formally guaranteed the right to be informed in writing of the charges against them, to remain seated during adjudications and have facilities to take notes, and to receive a written copy of the decision on punishment, which shall include an explanation and instruction on remedy.¹⁵⁰

¹⁴⁸ The survey conducted through March and April 2012 by the monitoring team of NGOs Human Rights Action, Centre for Anti-discrimination EQUISTA, Centre for Civic Education (CCE) and Shelter.

¹⁴⁹ When asked *Do you have access to the House Rules?*, 150 respondents said yes, 298 said no, 47 did not answer.

¹⁵⁰ CPT, Report on the visit to Ireland, 1998, p. 81 and Italy, 2004, p. 126.

The regulations in force in Montenegro do not provide all of these guarantees. It has not been prescribed that inmates shall: 1) be notified in writing of the charges against them, 2) have adequate time and facilities to prepare their defence, 3) be allowed to request the attendance of witnesses and to examine them or to have them examined on their behalf, 4) have the right to remain seated during adjudications and have facilities to take notes, 5) have the free assistance of an interpreter if they cannot understand or speak the language used at the hearing. *These guarantees of fairness of the procedure should be provided for in amendments to the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.*

In practice, a convict who committed a disciplinary offense which involves violation of the House Rules first attends the so-called disciplinary report, and then a disciplinary hearing.

In the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, the Chief showed the monitoring team documentation relating to the conduct of disciplinary procedures.¹⁵¹ Once an official files charges of an alleged disciplinary offense and prison authorities take statements from all the participants in the event, a prisoner attends the so-called disciplinary report before the Disciplinary Commission composed of the prison Chief, Security Service Chief, a professor from the Treatment Sector and a jurist who takes the minutes.¹⁵² In case of a serious disciplinary violation,¹⁵³ convicted person may hire an attorney at his own expense. If the convict fails to provide defence lawyer, he may either defend himself or require that ZIKS officer authorized to provide legal assistance represent him at the disciplinary proceedings.¹⁵⁴

After the prisoner provided his statement regarding the charges against him, a hearing is conducted during which the prison Chief takes into account the views of the Security Service Chief and the professor from the Treatment Sector. A jurist is required to ensure that the rights of the convict are not violated in the course of the procedure.

No later than 48 hours after conducting the procedure, the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners shall adopt a decision on punishment or release of the convicted person, as well as the severity of the punishment, according to the severity of established disciplinary offense. The decision shall be delivered to the convict and posted on the notice board.¹⁵⁵ Sentenced person has the right to lodge an appeal against the decision on punishment to ZIKS Director within 3 days. The Director may confirm, cancel or change the decision of the

¹⁵¹ Visits conducted on 16 and 27 December 2011.

¹⁵² Art. 141, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences.

¹⁵³ Art. 55a, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁵⁴ Art. 139, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

¹⁵⁵ Art. 142, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011.

Chief.¹⁵⁶ Convicted person may initiate administrative proceedings against the decision of ZIKS Director within three days of receipt of the decision.¹⁵⁷

Prescribed 3-day deadlines for lodging an appeal and initiating an administrative dispute are extremely restrictive and unjustifiably limit the right to judicial protection. Unlike the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Law on Administrative Procedure provides for a general 30-day deadline for initiating administrative dispute from the date of receipt of the decision against which such dispute may be initiated. *It is advisable to extend the prescribed deadline for initiating an administrative procedure, given that prisoners are in a more difficult position to conduct court proceedings in relation to free persons, who are granted a much longer period (30 days).*

After examining the documentation, it was noted that in most cases the delivery had been confirmed by the prisoner's signature, but there were a few cases that lack the signature, making it impossible to determine whether that person had received a copy of the decision. In one case a prisoner refused to accept the decision, as noted on the copy of the decision. Authorities in Bijelo Polje Prison, too, provided access to documents relating to disciplinary proceedings. It is commendable that the documentation is in general kept orderly, but there were several cases lacking the signature of the sentenced person, i.e. confirmation of receipt of the decision.

*All documentation relating to disciplinary procedure must be kept orderly, while the charges and the decision must be delivered to sentenced persons with a note on legal remedy. It is necessary to provide proof of timely delivery, which is essential for the exercise of the right to a remedy. The same recommendation was given by the CPT after its visit carried out in 2008.*¹⁵⁸

In an anonymous survey conducted among persons who stated that they had been in solitary confinement, when asked *Were you sentenced to solitary confinement on the basis of the decision on solitary confinement?*, 71.8% (94 persons) responded affirmatively, 28.2% (37 persons) negatively, while 8 persons did not respond to this question. *Decision on solitary confinement* was delivered to sentenced persons in 91.3% of cases (84 persons), while 8.7%

¹⁵⁶ Art. 56, para 3, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁵⁷ Art. 64d, para 1, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁵⁸ Report to the Government of Montenegro on the visit to Montenegro carried out by the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CPT) from 15 to 22 September 2008, p. 77: "The CPT recommends that steps be taken to ensure that the documentation and registers concerning disciplinary sanctions are properly maintained, accurately record the times of beginning and ending of the measure, and reflect all other aspects of custody (in particular, the precise location where a prisoner has been held). The Committee also recommends that prisoners upon whom a disciplinary sanction is imposed always be given a copy of the disciplinary decision, informing them about the reasons for the decision and the avenues for lodging an appeal."

of respondents (8 persons) did not receive it. The decision was delivered to 26.8% of prisoners (19 persons) after several days in solitary confinement, and to 43.7% (31 persons) after 1-2 days. Only 14.1% of respondents (10 people) received the decision prior to being taken to solitary confinement, and 15.5% (11 persons) received the decision while being taken to solitary confinement. There were 13 respondents who did not answer. *Delivering the decision after a person has been sent to solitary confinement, especially after several days, significantly threatens the effectiveness of the right to appeal and for that reason it is necessary to ensure that only exceptionally inmates are sent to solitary confinement prior to receiving a decision about it.*

If a disciplinary offense has elements of a criminal act, the competent police department shall be notified.

The most frequent disciplinary offenses include the attempts to smuggle mobile phones into prison.

In Bijelo Polje Prison the monitoring team visited a person who had been in solitary confinement and had a copy of the decision on disciplinary punishment with him.

Monthly average of the so-called disciplinary reports in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners is 22-23.¹⁵⁹ There is a practice of imposing most severe disciplinary measure of *solitary confinement* against persons who commit three minor violations of the House Rules. Although this practice is in accordance with the Rules¹⁶⁰, based on interviews with prisoners serving this sentence and those who had served the sentence, the monitoring team concluded that this may be subject to abuse and pressure on prisoners, as it leaves the possibility of arbitrary interpretation of minor violations of the *House Rules* by the Security Service, which should be taken into consideration by the Chief when deciding on punishment.

During interviews with certain prisoners, monitoring team learned that although they do not have major objections as regards disciplinary procedure itself, some of them do not use the right of appeal in disciplinary action, claiming that the Administration is not objective and that doing so would only worsen their situation.¹⁶¹ In an anonymous survey, 52.8% of respondents who had been in solitary confinement said they had appealed to the decision, while 47.2% had not. Bijelo Polje Prison Chief informed the monitors that the prisoners are often faced with irrefutable evidence of their responsibility in the form of video surveillance footage, rendering their appeal frivolous. Also, after examining available documentation it

¹⁵⁹ Interview with Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, Podgorica, 16 December 2011.

¹⁶⁰ Art. 136 of the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, August 2011, serious disciplinary offense, item 9.

¹⁶¹ Interview with the convicts, Podgorica, 2011.

has been noticed that in some cases the appeals of prisoners lodged with ZIKS Director had partially been adopted and imposed sentences reduced.

According to the European Prison Rules (56.1), disciplinary procedure should be a mechanism of last resort. Whenever possible, prison authorities should use mechanisms of restoration and mediation to resolve disputes with and among prisoners (56.2).

Good practice has been noticed in Bijelo Polje, where, in case of potential violence among inmates, the prison authorities, including the Chief and Professor, resort to mediation. *It is necessary to encourage the practice of conciliation (mediation) in all ZIKS units.*

Isolation and transfer of sentenced persons

CPT recognizes that other procedures often exist alongside the formal disciplinary procedure, under which a prisoner may be involuntarily separated from other inmates for discipline-related/security reasons (e.g. in the interests of "good order" within an establishment). These are the cases of isolation and transfer of prisoners from one prison to another, usually to a geographical distant location. However, these procedures should also be accompanied by effective safeguards in order to protect the rights of prisoners, and against possible abuses. "The prisoner should be informed of the reasons for the measure taken against him, unless security requirements dictate otherwise, be given an opportunity to present his views on the matter, and be able to contest the measure before an appropriate authority."¹⁶²

According to the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, the convict who "persistently interferes with regular activities and life in the organization, poses a serious threat to the safety of other inmates and in relation to whom regular disciplinary punishments have remained ineffective, may be imposed the measure of **isolation** during leisure time by the head of organizations, which may last from one month to one year. The execution of this measure shall cease after a medical specialist determines that the physical and mental health of the convicted person do not allow further isolation or upon the termination of the reasons for isolation."¹⁶³

Also, "a convicted person can be **transferred** from one organizational unit to another when necessary for the implementation of the prescribed treatment for health reasons, safety reasons and the maintenance of order and discipline. The decision on transfer shall be issued by the head of the organization."¹⁶⁴

¹⁶² CPT standards, Extract from the 2nd General Report *CPT/Inf (92)3*, p. 55.

¹⁶³ Art. 59, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁶⁴ Art. 59a, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

However, the problem is that none of these two Articles contain explicitly prescribed principle that solitary confinement or transfer shall be for as short a period as possible and reviewed at regular intervals.¹⁶⁵ The right of appeal (complaint) against these decisions has not been provided for either, as opposed to the specifically prescribed right to complaint against the decision rendered in a disciplinary procedure.¹⁶⁶ In the above cases too it must be presumed that this right exists under the general right to appeal (Art. 34) or the right to complaint against the decision of the organizational unit chief limiting certain right of the convicted person (Art. 64b, para 4). In the latter case, narrow definition of the rights whose limitation is the reason for prescribing this general right to complaint may pose a problem, so for the purpose of legal certainty *it should be clearly stipulated that inmates shall have the right to appeal against the decision on isolation and transfer, i.e. to initiate administrative action in the event that the appeal does not lead to change of the decision*. For the purpose of legal certainty, *it is necessary to specify a deadline for adopting a decision, e.g. immediately or, exceptionally, if security reasons demand so, no later than 24 hours from the start of the isolation or transfer. Also, stipulate that in cases when a decision is not issued within the prescribed deadline, an administrative procedure may be initiated immediately, or no later than 30 days after the beginning of the measure*.

Ombudsman has established that after an interview with him on 8 February 2012, six inmates had been transferred from the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica to continue serving their prison sentences in Bijelo Polje, received decisions on transfer adopted by the Director, but the decisions lacked any reasoning for the transfer and instruction on legal remedy.¹⁶⁷

During a monitoring visit to Bijelo Polje, the team examined documentation relating to the transfer of a prisoner from Podgorica Prison to Bijelo Polje Prison. Prison administration informed the monitors that this particular person had received a copy of the decision on transfer, but there was no signature of the transferred inmate to ascertain this.

With regard to this problem, in 2008 the CPT determined that persons who had been transferred had no access to documentation regarding the transfer, and gave recommendation to the Montenegrin authorities in accordance with the above-quoted standard, which is still not respected in each case.¹⁶⁸

¹⁶⁵ CPT recommended it be reviewed at least every 3 months. CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 70.

¹⁶⁶ Art. 56, para 3, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

¹⁶⁷ Notice from the session of the Committee for Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro held on 2 March 2012, available at: <http://www.skupstina.me/index.php?strana=saopstenja&id=4166>.

¹⁶⁸ CPT, Report on the visit to Montenegro, 2009, p. 78: "A prisoner who is transferred from one establishment to another and placed under conditions of disciplinary confinement is informed in writing of the reasons for that measure (it being understood that the reasons given could exclude information which security requirements reasonably justify withholding from the prisoner); a prisoner in respect of whom such a measure is envisaged is given an opportunity to express his views on the matter".

Ensure the implementation of the CPT recommendation in relation to the right to appeal with regard to transfer by specifying regulations and in practice. Decisions on transfer must include basis and reasons for the transfer of the convicted person and instruction on legal remedy.

Legal aid

There is no independent and free legal assistance in ZIKS. If prisoners want to complain about the violation of their rights, they mainly address the professor (who is not a legal expert) for help or one of the legal experts who are prison staff members and for that reason cannot be considered impartial.¹⁶⁹ Legal aid provision is not in their job description, however, the monitors have been informed that they are "meeting the needs" of sentenced persons.

Convicted persons may engage an attorney in disciplinary proceedings against them conducted in ZIKS, regarding serious offense punishable by solitary confinement, but a number of them is in a difficult financial situation and therefore not in a position to pay for attorney's services. On the other hand, the Law on Free Legal Aid does not stipulate that prisoners are entitled to free legal advice regarding disciplinary proceedings in ZIKS.

Of persons who had complained against the decision on solitary confinement, according to the survey results, the majority responded that in the procedure they had no legal assistance (61.7%), while 38.3% said they had. Of 18 respondents who said they had received legal assistance, 14 received the help from jurists employed at ZIKS. Of them, 7 were satisfied with the assistance provided, 6 dissatisfied, and one respondent did not answer the question.

In accordance with the European Prison Rules¹⁷⁰, inmates should be informed about the existing system of legal aid, i.e. about the possibilities available to them under the Law on Free Legal Aid.¹⁷¹ On the other hand, the law should be amended so that *the persons of lower socioeconomic status serving their sentences have the right to access to free legal assistance with regard to disciplinary action brought against them, which may result in their referral to solitary confinement.*

¹⁶⁹ In this regard, see CPT Report on the visit to Ukraine, September 2009, p. 29, where the Committee recalls that particular attention be paid to the issue of impartiality of *ex officio* lawyers and their independence from the law enforcement structures and the prosecuting/investigating authorities.

¹⁷⁰ European Prison Rules, p. 23.3.

¹⁷¹ Law on Free Legal Aid, *Sl. list CG*, 20/2011 of 15 April 2011.

Recommendations

- *Ensure that persons serving a sentence of solitary confinement have the right to visits from family members and other close persons.*
- *Ensure that all persons held in solitary confinement have the right to stay in the fresh air for a minimum period of one hour per day, in accordance with the law.*
- *Ensure that all prisoners be timely and continuously informed of their rights and obligations.*
- *All inmates must be familiar with the contents of the House Rules and ZIKS Administration must make it available to prisoners. Ideally provide House Rules in the form of a brochure that would be delivered to every convicted person at admission. In the meantime, ensure that the prison library has enough copies of the Rules that can be handed out to convicted persons upon request.*
- *For the needs of foreigners who do not understand the language, provide for translation of the Rules into several languages.*
- *Amend the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions to provide for all guarantees of procedural fairness contained in the European Prison Rules.*
- *All paperwork relating to disciplinary procedure must be documented orderly, while the charges and the decision must be delivered to prisoners with an instruction on legal remedy. Provide proof of orderly delivery.*
- *Ensure that the decision on solitary confinement be delivered to all inmates before they are sent there, and that only exceptionally inmates are sent to solitary confinement prior to receiving the decision.*
- *It is recommended that the deadline of 3 days for initiation of an administrative dispute be extended to at least 7 days, since persons deprived of their liberty are in a more difficult position to conduct trials as compared to free individuals, who are entitled to a considerably longer deadline (30 days).*
- *When imposing a disciplinary measure of solitary confinement, after a convicted person has committed three minor violations of the House Rules, the prison Chief should be particularly vigilant and not allow this measure to be the subject of abuse and type of pressure on the prisoners, as it leaves the possibility of arbitrary interpretation of minor violations of the House Rules by the Security Service.*
- *In case of self-injury, examine mental condition of a convicted person and subject the person to a proper medical treatment, if necessary. Accordingly, legal provisions that treat self-injury solely as a disciplinary offense subject to penalties should be amended. Also, the Law on the Enforcement of Criminal Sanctions should specify that*

in case of self-injury a medical opinion must be obtained prior to initiation of a disciplinary procedure.

- *Amend legal provisions and reduce the period of stay of inmates in solitary confinement to a maximum of 21 days.*
- *Ensure that in each case a person sent to solitary confinement has direct contact with the doctor before being sent to solitary confinement.*
- *Ensure that the doctor who normally looks after the health of a prisoner against whom the disciplinary proceedings have been initiated does not decide on his/her ability to undergo the punishment of solitary confinement.*
- *Prescribe the principle that solitary confinement and transfer shall be for the shortest possible time and that decisions on isolation and transfer be reviewed e.g. every month or at least every three months, as recommended by the CPT.*
- *Ensure the implementation of the CPT recommendation in relation to the right to appeal with regard to transfer by specifying regulations and in practice. Decisions on transfer must include basis and reasons for transfer of the convicted person and instruction on legal remedy.*
- *Amend the law to specify that convicted persons have the right to a complaint against the decision on isolation and transfer, or the right to an administrative dispute in case the complaint does not result in changing the decision. For the purpose of legal certainty, specify the deadline for the adoption of this decision – immediately or, exceptionally, if the safety reasons require so, no later than 24 hours after the transfer or isolation has started. If the decision is not adopted within the prescribed period, provide for the possibility of immediate initiation of an administrative procedure, or no later than 30 days from the beginning of implementation of a measure.*
- *Inform prisoners about the conditions for access to free legal aid pursuant to the Law on Free Legal Aid. Amend this law to enable the prisoners of lower socioeconomic status to have access to free and impartial legal assistance in disciplinary procedures against them.*
- *Encourage peaceful resolution of disputes among inmates in all ZIKS units.*

4. ACCOMMODATION CONDITIONS

General Remarks

On the territory of Montenegro there is one Institution for the Execution of Criminal Sanctions (ZIKS), with facilities in Podgorica (Spuz) and Bijelo Polje (near the town centre). In both Podgorica and Bijelo Polje, within ZIKS facilities there are remand prisons where detainees are placed (Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison). In Podgorica, inmates serve both short sentences (up to 6 months) and long sentences (over 6 months), while in Bijelo Polje prisoners serve only short-term sentences, and only men; women are referred to Podgorica.

The following prison units are located in Podgorica:

- **Podgorica Prison** (Remand Prison)
- **Institution for Sentenced Prisoners** (KPD)
- **Prison for short sentences.**

Insufficient capacity and overcrowding

With an overall accommodation capacity of 1100 in November 2011, the prisons in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje were at that time accommodating a total of 1369 inmates,¹⁷² with most overcrowded facilities within ZIKS being the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje Prison.

On 11 June 2012, the ratio of the total number of inmates and ZIKS capacity was 1189:1100.¹⁷³ Remand prisons in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje were not overcrowded and the number of detainees was well below capacity (Podgorica 283:370, Bijelo Polje 32:50). Facilities for sentenced prisoners are overcrowded.

At the end of 2011, a total of 1197 persons were waiting for space in ZIKS to free up in order to begin serving their sentence,¹⁷⁴ which indicates that Montenegro needs at least one more prison complex of larger capacity than the existing one.

Due to the lack of capacity and overcrowding issues, ZIKS authorities were forced to send back a number of persons sentenced to imprisonment by the courts. A particular problem that the Ministry of Justice has repeatedly pointed to in 2011 are the high-risk

¹ ZIKS, Z-KD-br 355-11, 15 December 2011, Podgorica.

¹⁷³ ZIKS, Z-MS-br. 40-1/12, 13 June 2012, Podgorica.

¹⁷⁴ The Government of Montenegro and Ministry of Justice, Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011.

categories of convicted perpetrators of criminal offenses who are at large due to the lack of capacity.¹⁷⁵

Remand Prison in Podgorica is no longer overcrowded, as opposed to the dramatic situation found there by the CPT delegation during their visit in September 2008.¹⁷⁶ Similarly, in 2009 the Remand Prison was holding as many as 600 people for an official capacity of 320.¹⁷⁷ In the meantime, the capacity was increased to 370 and the number of detainees reduced.¹⁷⁸ On the day of the monitoring visit, on 30 January 2012, Podgorica Remand Prison was accommodating 303 inmates, and on 8 May 2012, four months later, 285 inmates¹⁷⁹, which indicates the tendency of more rational ordering of detention by the courts.

On the other hand, in November 2011 with a capacity of 50, Bijelo Polje Remand Prison was accommodating 63 inmates, but by the end of the year this figure was reduced to 43.¹⁸⁰

During the monitoring visits in 2011 and 2012¹⁸¹ it was observed that both remand and sentenced prisoners had their own bed.

The Rules on the requirements for premises used by inmates stipulate that "sleeping rooms must be spacious, so that every prisoner has at least 8 m² or 20 m³ of space."¹⁸² While

¹⁷⁵ In this context, it was concluded that the "it is unacceptable for the Director of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions to state that the stay of the execution of sentence of imprisonment has been imposed as a measure to overcome the problems of overpopulation and proved to be a very effective and practical tool." Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 36.

¹⁷⁶ In September 2008, when the CPT visited The Remand Prison in Podgorica, the establishment was holding 512 prisoners for an official capacity of 320 (CPT Report on the visit to Montenegro, March 2009, p. 55)

¹⁷⁷ Report on the situation and work in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions in 2009.

¹⁷⁸ Official letter from ZIKS, Zkd-br 355-11, 15 December 2011, Podgorica.

¹⁷⁹ Visits conducted on 30 January 2012 and 9 May 2012.

¹⁸⁰ In November 2011 the number of detainees in Bijelo Polje was 63, ZIKS, Z-KD-br. 355/11, 15 December 2011.

¹⁸¹ Visits conducted in Podgorica in 2011: 3 November, 8 November, 18 November, 29 November, 16 December, 23 December, 27 December, 29 December; in 2012: 20 February, 13 March, 14 March, 9 April, 9 May and 11 May. Visits conducted in Bijelo Polje: 9 December 2011 and 16 January 2012.

¹⁸² Rules on the Requirements for Premises Used by Inmates, Section II, Art. 4, Podgorica 2006.

the prescribed standard is twice as good as the minimum European standard of 4 m²¹⁸³ for group rooms established by the CPT, the situation in practice is different because the standard of 4 m² per prisoner has still not been met in all ZIKS facilities. The worst situation is in Bijelo Polje Prison and unit A of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica. In all other buildings there are still overcrowded cells, holding 1-2 inmates over the planned capacity.

In 2008 the CPT was informed about the Government's plans to build new prison facilities in Bijelo Polje (capacity of 200) and Kotor (capacity of 150) and open them by the end of 2009. This, however, did not happen.¹⁸⁴ In the meantime, the Master Plan of the Government from January 2011 provided for the construction of a prison for long sentences and prison hospital in Spuž, as well as prison in Bijelo Polje.¹⁸⁵ Construction of a prison building in Kotor is no longer in plan.¹⁸⁶ During the monitoring visits, through June 2012, construction of facilities envisaged in the Master Plan has not yet been started.

From 2008 to June 2012, new accommodation block for women had been constructed within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica, transformation of unit F into a high-security prison for maximum sentences had been in progress, rooms and a bathroom in the Prison for short sentences had been renovated, as well as the rooms in Podgorica Remand Prison. In Bijelo Polje Remand Prison rooms had been adapted for dental office and a bathroom, and during monitoring visits in 2012 construction of a watchtower and work on expanding the capacity of the Remand Prison were in progress. Outside exercise area in Bijelo Polje Remand Prison was in the process of renovation, as well as the visiting rooms, an office for the escort service, cafeteria for the staff, workshop room, entrances - to adapt them for wheelchair users, renovation of watchtowers was to start soon. The work on adaptation and expansion of office space in all ZIKS organizational units is in progress.¹⁸⁷ A new administration building in ZIKS Podgorica has been constructed, as well as official premises in Bijelo Polje Prison.¹⁸⁸

¹⁸³ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 58: "Significantly reduce the occupancy level in the cells at the Remand Prison in Podgorica, the objective being to comply with the standard of 4m² of living space per prisoner".

¹⁸⁴ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 58.

¹⁸⁵ Proposal Action Plan for Improvement of the Prison System, Podgorica, August 2011.

¹⁸⁶ Interview with ZIKS Administration during the monitoring visits in 2011 and 2012.

¹⁸⁷ Official letter from ZIKS, ZKD-br 355-11, of 15 December 2011, p. 7, paragraph 8.

¹⁸⁸ Monitoring team visits conducted on 3 November 2011 in Podgorica and on 9 December 2012 and 16 January 2012 in Bijelo Polje.

However, the two facilities the CPT pointed to four years ago as particularly urgent - unit A in Institution for Sentenced Prisoners Podgorica and Bijelo Polje Prison, have not yet been renovated or constructed.¹⁸⁹

Accommodation and conditions of imprisonment for persons with disabilities

Accommodation conditions and treatment of persons with disabilities serving a sentence are not specifically prescribed by the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions or bylaws. In ZIKS there are only two rooms adapted for people with disabilities, measuring about 15 m², within the Prison for short sentences and the new accommodation unit for women.¹⁹⁰ However, the room in the Prison for short sentences has not been entirely adapted to a wheelchair user because the bathroom area is not large enough.¹⁹¹

In the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners no rooms have been adapted for persons with disabilities, which may lead to a violation of the Anti-Discrimination Law in case of such person being sent to serve a sentence in this part of the prison.¹⁹² Prison conditions that violate human rights can not be justified by lack of resources.¹⁹³

Institution for Sentenced Prisoners is not accessible to wheelchair users, with the exception of the entrance to the Disciplinary unit. In an informal interview with ZIKS officers, the monitors were informed that the cases of imprisonment of persons with disabilities are rare and that, if such a case occurs, wheelchair user would be physically carried to the room.

Bijelo Polje Prison Administration informed us that in the course of 2012 steps will be taken to adapt rooms for disabled persons; during a monitoring visit, it was noticed that the entrance was being adapted for wheelchair users.

In the Remand Prison in Podgorica, on the ground floor there is a room adapted for wheelchair users, but still not to the extent necessary, as the toilets and bathrooms have not been adapted and the entry door is too narrow.

¹⁸⁹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 54 and 59.

¹⁹⁰ Monitoring team visits conducted on 29 December 2011 and 11 May 2012.

¹⁹¹ Monitoring team visits conducted on 29 December 2011 and 9 April 2012 in Podgorica.

¹⁹² "Failure to take specific measures to eliminate restrictions, i.e. unequal position of persons with disabilities constitutes discrimination against persons with disabilities", Art. 18 of the Anti-Discrimination Law, *Sl. list CG*, 39-2011.

¹⁹³ European Prison Rules, Part I – basic principles, 4.

In Bijelo Polje Remand Prison there are no specially adapted rooms for detainees who are wheelchair users.

Unlike other ZIKS visitors, wheelchair users are searched outdoors because no rooms have been adapted for searching persons with disabilities. Also, it is impossible for a wheelchair user to pass through the scanner at the door. During the visit on 30 December 2011, the monitors noticed that there is no shelter from inclement weather for searches carried out outdoors.

Accommodation of minors

Where children are detained in a prison they shall be kept in a part of the prison that is separate from that used by adults unless it is considered that this is against the best interests of the child.¹⁹⁴ Where exceptionally children under the age of 18 years are detained in a prison for adults the authorities shall ensure that, in addition to the services available to all prisoners, prisoners who are children have access to the social, psychological and educational services, religious care and recreational programmes or equivalents to them that are available to children in the community.¹⁹⁵

Number of juveniles detained in ZIKS:¹⁹⁶

in 2009 – 10;

in 2010 – 8;

in 2011 – 7.

At the time of the visit two juveniles were accommodated in the Remand Prison. The monitoring team did not get the impression that juveniles in detention are provided all the programs in accordance with the European Prison Rules. As regards juvenile remand prisoners, the CPT recommends *that their contacts with the outside world be actively promoted, as many of them may have behavioural problems related to emotional deprivation or lack of social skills.*¹⁹⁷

In the CPT's view, if, exceptionally, juveniles are held in an institution for adults, they must always be accommodated separately from adults, in a distinct unit specifically designed for persons of this age, offering regimes tailored to their needs and staffed by persons trained in dealing with the young. The Committee believes that the risks inherent in juvenile

¹⁹⁴ European Prison Rules, p. 35.4.

¹⁹⁵ European Prison Rules, p. 35.1.

¹⁹⁶ Written reply from ZIKS of 28 February 2012.

¹⁹⁷ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 71.

prisoners sharing accommodation with adult prisoners are such that this should not occur.¹⁹⁸ According to the House Rules (Art. 153) juvenile detainees are placed separately from other inmates.¹⁹⁹ Juveniles serving a prison sentence in ZIKS are placed in unit F. However, given the ongoing reconstruction of this unit, it is uncertain where the juvenile inmates will be accommodated in future. In the period from 2009 through June 2012, no juveniles were sentenced to imprisonment in ZIKS.²⁰⁰ According to the Director of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, there is no need for construction of the Prison for juveniles, because only a small number of minors are imposed a prison sentence.

As reported by the Director of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, in the case of there being only one juvenile prisoner of the respective sex, to avoid isolation, he/she is placed with an adult prisoner, taking into account the psychological and physical characteristics of the adult inmates.

For the purpose of avoiding isolation of juvenile prisoners, the CPT recommends that they be offered opportunities to participate in out-of-cell activities with adults, under appropriate supervision by staff.²⁰¹ Ombudsman pointed out that there are no appropriate conditions for working with juvenile offenders, due to the lack of institutional framework for adequate provision of care for juveniles and the process of their resocialization and reintegration.²⁰² *It is necessary to provide the conditions for consistent application of Art. 153 of the House Rules, and prevent isolation of minors by allowing their participation in various types of activities under Art. 154²⁰³ and 155²⁰⁴ of the said Rules.*

In accordance with the European Prison Rules²⁰⁵, additional assistance should be provided to children who are released from prison.

¹⁹⁸ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 44.

¹⁹⁹ Art. 153, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Podgorica, August 2011.

²⁰⁰ Written reply from ZIKS of 28 February 2012.

²⁰¹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 44.

²⁰² "Special Report on Juveniles in Conflict with the Law", Podgorica, December 2006.

²⁰³ Working treatment of juveniles is conducted in the workshops together with other persons deprived of liberty, provided that, if possible, minors be assigned to separate working units, in order to be more separated from other prisoners.

²⁰⁴ Juveniles deprived of liberty may participate together with other prisoners in sports, arts, cultural and recreational activities with the approval of the Chief of the organizational unit, under the supervision of a special educator and a member of the Security Service.

²⁰⁵ European Prison Rules, p. 35.3.

Webpage

ZIKS has a website that is not updated regularly (on 10 June 2012, last published news dated from 24 February) and does not contain updated information on the prison population or other current events related to this Institution, such as the taken or planned activities for expanding facilities to accommodate inmates, etc.²⁰⁶ In the first half of 2012, laws and certain bylaws governing the work in ZIKS were published on the website, but not all. *It is advisable that ZIKS publish on its website all bylaws governing its operations, as well as updated information on the prison population figures, development projects and current events.*

Availability of prison bedding and laundry room

Every prisoner shall be provided with a separate bed and separate and appropriate bedding, which shall be kept in good order and changed often enough to ensure its cleanliness.²⁰⁷ During its 2008 visit, the CPT recommended that the Montenegrin authorities take steps to ensure that *every prisoner has a bed and appropriate bedding*.²⁰⁸

According to the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, "every prisoner shall be provided with a separate bed and bedding. Bedding consists of: mattress, pillow, pillow case, two sheets and a blanket. Number of blankets shall be determined depending on weather conditions, climate and a season (three in the winter, two in the summer)".²⁰⁹ "Bedding should be changed at least once a fortnight."²¹⁰

Based on a survey conducted in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners and Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, 86.1% of respondents said that they had not been provided with prison bedding. Also, most prisoners within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners interviewed orally noted that they were not aware of their rights to use laundry service or prison bedding. These findings were confirmed by the results of the survey, according to which half of respondents (50.5%) stated that they had not been able to regularly wash their bedding in the laundry room.

While visiting ZIKS on 11 May 2012, monitoring team visited the laundry room, where three female convicts were employed. The room had no air conditioning and was very stuffy, due to evaporation of the washing machines, creating an unhealthy atmosphere. Also, the capacity of the laundry room (two large washing machines and a roller ironing press)

²⁰⁶ www.ziks.me

²⁰⁷ European Prison Rules, p. 21.

²⁰⁸ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 58.

²⁰⁹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, Art. 36, para 1 and 2, August 2011, Podgorica.

²¹⁰ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, Art 39, para 2, August 2011, Podgorica.

does not correspond to the number of prisoners in ZIKS Podgorica, which is around 1200,²¹¹ so it *should be increased*, especially after all inmates are informed about the right to use the laundry service.

Premises for conjugal visits

There are altogether three rooms for conjugal visits in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners and the Prison for short sentences. These premises are in poor condition, poorly ventilated, and the walls are not painted. The rooms have double beds.

It is advisable to increase the number of premises for conjugal visits and refurbish them.

Premises for religious practise

ZIKS does not have a specially designed room for religious practices. If necessary, living rooms or hallways are adapted for this purpose. *It is advisable to adapt a room for religious practice, as previously announced.*

Use of home appliances

Although not specified by the House Rules, prisoners are allowed to use electrical appliances for cooking, heating and cooling in living rooms and sometimes in their cells. This should be regulated by the Rules for user safety and fire protection.

Smoking

During the visits to the Remand Prison and all units within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners and Prison for short sentences, it has been noticed that inmates smoke in their rooms and living rooms. According to the survey, nearly half of respondents (43.5%) said that they were bothered by smoking in the rooms. Also, under the Law on Restriction of the Use of Tobacco Products (*Sl. list RCG*, 52/04 of 2 August 2004, *Sl. list CG*, 32/11 of 1 July 2011), smoking in public places is prohibited, including facilities for accommodation of persons serving prison sentences (Art. 4, para 2, item 7).

Recommendations - general part

Take measures to address the problem of overcrowding and achieve compliance with European standards. Prescribe a minimum standard of 4 m² of free space per convict, in accordance with the international standard and comply with this standard in practice.

²¹¹ In November 2011 the prison population totalled 1193. Official letter Z-KD-br 355-11 of 15 December 2011.

It is necessary to:

- *Urgently renovate and extend unit A within the Institution for sentenced prisoners (e.g. add new floor).*
- *Bring down inadequate shacks within the so-called "Economy" (unit E within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners) and build new facilities to accommodate inmates.*
- *Expand farms and build a greenhouse for growing vegetables and flowers.*
- *Construct a new building for Podgorica Remand Prison.*
- *Provide more premises for conjugal visits and refurbish them.*
- *Provide a special prison unit for juvenile inmates.*
- *Adapt all facilities for persons with disabilities.*
- *Set up multiple shelters from inclement weather in all the yards.*
- *Adapt certain premises for practicing of religion.*
- *Adapt special rooms for solitary confinement in Podgorica Remand Prison.*
- *In accordance with the plan, construct a new building for Bijelo Polje Prison.*
- *In accordance with the plan, construct a new prison for long sentences in Podgorica.*
- *In accordance with the plan, construct the Special Hospital.*
- *Regularly update ZIKS website, publish all by-laws governing the operation of this institution, as well as updated information on the prison population figures, development projects and current events.*
- *Encourage the use of alternative sanctions, particularly work in common interest, in order to reduce the number of convicted persons serving their sentence in the prison.*
- *Adopt a Rulebook on the treatment of persons with disabilities in ZIKS.*
- *Adapt a special room for searching persons with disabilities, in order to appropriately carry out the procedural authority to search a person. Ensure that all entrances, doorways and rooms for accommodation of prisoners and detainees with disabilities be adapted for wheelchair users.*
- *Provide appropriate accommodation for prisoners and detainees who are wheelchair users.*
- *As a rule, provide separate accommodation for minors in detention and juvenile prison from that of adults, either by constructing special facilities or adapting premises in the existing facilities. Provide special treatment for minors and actively promote their contact with the outside world.*
- *Develop brochures on the placement of juveniles in ZIKS. Make transparent all information relating to the regime that will in future be carried out in relation to*

juvenile prisoners or detainees.

- *Install air conditioning in the laundry room and ensure that its capacity is sufficient for the entire ZIKS.*
- *Inform all detained and imprisoned persons of their right to prison bedding, as well as the right to have their personal or prison bedding regularly washed in the laundry room.*
- *Considering that inmates are allowed to use various household appliances including electronic devices, devices for heating, cooking, gas bottles, etc., their use should be regulated by the House Rules, for the purpose of safe use and fire safety.*
- *Prohibit smoking, except in designated areas.*

Institution for Sentenced Prisoners Podgorica (KPD)

The Institution for Sentenced Prisoners (hereinafter: KPD) in Podgorica accommodates persons deprived of liberty serving a sentence for criminal offenses punishable by incarceration for more than 6 months, the so-called long sentences.²¹²

KPD encompasses units A, B, C, D and the Disciplinary unit within the so-called "Circle" inside the walls, and the Semi-open unit, which includes the building of the Semi-open unit, shacks, so-called "Economy" or unit E, barns and unit F, which is currently being reconstructed into the unit for prisoners serving sentences over 10 years. All unit buildings have been renovated, except for units A and E.

Although the total capacity of KPD is 470, at the end of 2011 it accommodated a total of 730 prisoners,²¹³ while in March 2012 this number was reduced to 690.²¹⁴ Total number of rooms is 143.

ZIKS Administration failed to provide an answer to the question raised by the CPT in its 2008 Report on Montenegro concerning the purpose of the two units which had been under construction at that time.²¹⁵

²¹² Handbook for prisoners, section-where prison sentences are served, from the website www.ziks.me.

²¹³ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 73.

²¹⁴ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates" conducted by the monitoring team, March/April 2012, Podgorica.

²¹⁵ ZIKS Administration did not know what the facilities in question are.

All the windows in the units within the "Circle" have plexiglass panels, which, in the view of the CPT, allow a sufficient supply of fresh air and natural light. During the monitoring visits in 2012, staff has explained that plexiglass panels had been installed for security reasons, so that the prisoners would not be shouting to each other and to supervise other prisoners in the yard. Only the windows in unit A have metal bars.

The open-door (room) regime is implemented in all KPD units, except in unit C and Disciplinary unit, which has been assessed by the CPT as good practice.²¹⁶

Within KPD there are workshops that are somewhat refurbished and equipped with tools, but have not been expanded to allow engaging of up to 80% of inmates in work activities, as the CPT was informed in 2008.²¹⁷ There is a greenhouse, of smaller capacity. There is also an outdoor gym. However, *a greenhouse for growing vegetables has not yet been built*, although the CPT delegation was informed of plans to build a greenhouse for growing vegetables, set up a computer room and construct a new gym.²¹⁸ Computer room was in the meantime set up in the Semi-open unit building. During the visit, 4 computers were found, one of which was broken. However, *none of the units within the so-called "Circle" of KPD has a computer room, so the monitoring team recommends that it be set up*, especially bearing in mind particular interest of inmates showed in the survey.²¹⁹

Set up the computer room in units within the "Circle" of KPD.

KPD Disciplinary unit

Disciplinary unit is a separate newly built section with the capacity of 8 solitary confinement cells.²²⁰ Monitors have been informed by the Chief KPD that this number is insufficient compared to the number of prisoners, which creates problems in practice.²²¹ Taking into consideration the fact that at times persons in solitary confinement are referred back to their rooms so that other punished inmates could serve their sentences, it is necessary to increase the number of disciplinary cells in KPD.

²¹⁶ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 52: "As regards activities, a positive point is that sentenced prisoners benefited from an open-door regime during the day."

²¹⁷ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 52.

²¹⁸ Ibid.

²¹⁹ For more detail see section Treatment.

²²⁰ Official letter Z-br.335-11, 15 December 2011, table from the section Prisoners, KPD.

²²¹ Interview with the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, Podgorica, 27 December 2011.

Three disciplinary cells are under video surveillance. These cells measure about 9 m² and have separate toilets. They have no built-in call bells, even though in March 2009 the CPT recommended that call bells be installed in the disciplinary cells.²²² The cells are painted and equipped with a bed and a floor-fixed table and chair. Staff room is separated from disciplinary cells by the length of the hallway. Prisoners are taken from isolation to take a shower to a partitioned sanitary annexe. Prison bedding found in solitary confinement cells included only a mattress and a blanket, but no inmates were held in the cells at the time of the visit.²²³ The monitoring team has not visited a cell while a prisoner was in it.

Exercise yards within the Disciplinary unit are not equipped with a shelter against inclement weather, which was recorded as a problem during the CPT's visit to Montenegro in 2008.²²⁴ Instead of a shelter, wires have been set. In an interview with ZIKS officers, on 13 March 2012, the monitors were informed that the wire mesh prevents possible escape, for example with a helicopter, which still does not explain why there is no shelter along with the wire mesh. According to a survey conducted in March and April 2012, 87% of prisoners thought that more shelters from inclement weather should be set up in the yards in KPD and the Prison for short sentences.²²⁵

Install call bells in all disciplinary cells.

Install video surveillance in all other cells and in yards intended for walks.

Set up benches, sports equipment and shelter from inclement weather in the yards intended for walks.

Yard in KPD is spacious and surrounded by concrete walls. Part of the yard is equipped with gym devices, partially financed by inmates. The sports equipment is covered by a shelter. However, there is no possibility of cover from adverse weather conditions here or in the rest of the yard, as pointed out by the CPT in the 2009 report.²²⁶ There is also a sports court for basketball and mini football.

With the yard there are units A, B, C, D and Disciplinary unit. In front of the units A, B and D there are no benches, and behind these units 11 benches have been set up.

²²² CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 79.

²²³ Monitoring visit conducted on 19 November 2011, Podgorica.

²²⁴ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 52: "However, the yards were not equipped with a shelter against inclement weather."

²²⁵ HRA research conducted in ZIKS, March/April 2012, Podgorica.

²²⁶ CPT standards, 15-37-2: "outdoor exercise facilities should be reasonably spacious and whenever possible offer shelter from inclement weather."

The conditions for sports and recreation could be much better, with fewer financial investments (e.g. purchase table tennis equipment, set up shelter from inclement weather, mark jogging tracks etc).

Plant more greenery in the yard.

Set up benches in the yard area, in front of the units A, B and D.

Kitchen and dining room

Kitchen in KPD has enough utensils and equipment for preparing food, while as regards cutlery only the spoons are used. The dining room has tables and benches. Both rooms are clean and tidy. Trash bins have been set for inmates to dispose of leftovers. Given that the monitors had a chance to have lunch with inmates, according to their estimate, that day the quality of food was satisfactory (beans)²²⁷ It is also true that the visit had been announced, so it is possible, as suggested by the prisoners, that on this day especially good food had been prepared. Monitoring of the food quality should hereafter be carried out by representatives of the Ministry of Justice or the Office of the Protector of Human Rights and Freedoms, who can pay unannounced visits to ZIKS.

Menus are prepared differently. During the visit and oral interview with prisoners, monitors were told that in general the kitchen staff respects religious lents and practices of prisoners. Also, according to the survey conducted in March and April 2012, 68% of prisoners said that the religious customs related to food are taken into account. According to ZIKS officials, no complaints regarding the quality of food have been filed in practice. However, two thirds of respondents (69.6%) believe that there is no possibility of pointing out an objection as regards the food, while 82.7% of them believe that such objections are not taken into account. In the open form, inmates stated that complaints had no purpose. According to a survey conducted in KPD and the Prison for short sentences, more than ¾ of the respondents (78.1%) were not satisfied with the quality of food in ZIKS kitchen.

Rules on nutrition tables prescribe nutritional value of food and the method of verifying the quality of food on a daily basis by a doctor in ZIKS.²²⁸

There is also a dining room for inmates at the Prison for short sentences, and the food is delivered from the kitchen in KPD. The cafeteria can accommodate around 80 people, it is equipped with new furniture and cooling display cases. It is covered by video surveillance.

²²⁷ Visit conducted on 19 November 2011, Podgorica.

²²⁸ Rules on nutrition tables of convicts and a minimum nutrition value of one meal and methods of verifying the quality of food, 2006.

Natural and artificial light is adequate. Prisoners are provided at least 3 meals a day, and if a prisoner is employed, he is entitled to an additional fourth meal.²²⁹

Groceries can be purchased at the prison store, at the expense of convicts. At the meeting in February 2012, ZIKS Director informed the monitors that items at the store are sold at wholesale prices and are therefore very affordable. Bearing in mind the remarks of convicts who argued that prices are higher than in stores outside ZIKS, we have compared the price list in ZIKS with price lists of large supermarkets in Podgorica and concluded that most items (92%) were cheaper than in the markets (of total of 116 items, 107 were 0.01 to 2.50 Euros cheaper in ZIKS, 6 items were slightly more expensive – 0.01 to 0.36 Euros, while 3 items had the same price).

Make additional efforts to inform each prisoner about the possibility of filing a complaint regarding the quality or variety of food.

Examine the quality of food, given the results of a survey among prisoners.

Consider the possibility of opening a bakery, where inmates would be able to train and work.

Unit A

Unit A is an old building, which has not yet been renovated, although the CPT specifically recommend its renovation after the visit to Montenegro in 2008.²³⁰

A total of 108 persons served a sentence in the unit A, designed for a capacity of 80 people, which means that 28 prisoners were over capacity.²³¹ Unit A has 11 rooms; it has ground floor and first floor, with two wings on each floor. Each wing has its rooms and living rooms. Open-door regime is implemented in unit A. This unit accommodates inmates who are not in mutual conflict and do not show violent behaviour, as assessed by the staff. During the visit, monitoring team noticed that the sanitary facilities were dilapidated (broken tiles, flooded floors, dirty walls, broken taps, lack of shower heads), and sanitary conditions were not satisfactory.²³² All premises had mould, which presents a potential risk to the health

²²⁹ Rules on nutrition tables of convicts and a minimum nutrition value of one meal and methods of verifying the quality of food, 2006, Art. 6.

²³⁰ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 54.

²³¹ Official letter from ZIKS, Dopis Z-br335-11, 15 December 2011.

²³² According to the European Prison Rules "all parts of every prison shall be properly maintained and kept clean at all times" (p. 19). The same has been prescribed by the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences.

of inmates.²³³ Unit A provides heating by using mobile radiators. Only one room has an air conditioner with a heating and cooling system, and the officials have pointed out that this is an exception because of the health condition of an inmate who resides there.²³⁴ Next to each bed there are night stands for personal items.²³⁵ As for the living room on the top floor - it is a room of about 20 m², with only one small table, four chairs, a TV and appliances for cooking, as opposed to the living room on the ground floor which is well furnished.

According to a survey conducted among prisoners in March and April 2012 in KPD and the Prison for short sentences, only half of respondents (50.2%) said they always have hot water and heating. Those who responded to this question negatively are located mainly in the unit A, but also in units B, D, F and Semi-open unit.

For example, a room with bunk beds accommodating 28 prisoners has no more than 50 m², which is half the minimum standard of 4 m² per prisoner.²³⁶ The furniture is worn. Unit A has no video surveillance. There is no separate room for smokers²³⁷, prisoners smoke in their rooms.²³⁸ The plan is to renovate the unit A, but the start date of renovation is unknown, because, according to the Administration, the problem is the capacity for accommodating the prisoners presently residing in this unit.²³⁹ On the unit A top floor there is an office for professors and educators, measuring some 15 m², clean, equipped with new office furniture and without video surveillance. Several prisoners have pointed to this office as a place for unsupervised use of force.

The so-called "**quarantine**" in the unit A right wing, on the top floor, is an admissions office for sentenced persons where the so-called psychosocial diagnosis is carried out.²⁴⁰ Quarantine is divided into a living room and two bedrooms, which are in very poor condition. One has 11 bunk beds (22 sleeping places), with 25 m² room area. The second bedroom, at the

²³³ Handbook for prisoners, Section Maintenance of common hygiene premises, p. 50.

²³⁴ Monitoring visit conducted on 26 December 2011.

²³⁵ Monitoring visit to ZIKS conducted on 9 December 2011.

²³⁶ According to the European Prison Rules, rule no. 18 "the accommodation provided for prisoners, and in particular all sleeping accommodation, shall respect human dignity and, as far as possible, privacy, and meet the requirements of health and hygiene, due regard being paid to climatic conditions and especially to floor space, cubic content of air, lighting, heating and ventilation".

²³⁷ See Croatian Rules on standards of accommodation and nutrition of prisoners, NN 092-2002, **Art.**, para 5 and 6 of 10 August 2002, <http://cadial.hidra.hr/searchdoc>.

²³⁸ European Prison Rules, Part II - Conditions of imprisonment, p. 18.1, p. 19.1 and 19.3.

²³⁹ European Prison Rules, p. 4: "Prison conditions that infringe prisoners' human rights are not justified by lack of resources".

²⁴⁰ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, *Sl. list RCG*, 25-94, Art. 32

time of the visit on 9 December 2011, accommodated 6 persons who complained of the lack of pillows, which has also been observed by the monitors, since not one bed had a pillow. Prisoners complained of the cold and poor lighting. Mobile radiators have been provided for heating, but were not enough to warm up the room. The room had old and worn military beds and dirty walls. Natural light is weak, because the windows are smaller; artificial lighting is satisfactory. There is no separate room for smokers, inmates smoke in all rooms. Bathrooms and compartmentalized toilets are in extremely poor condition. The walls and floors were flooded and dirty, tiles broken and all sanitary equipment dirty and dilapidated.

Provide the required bedding, including pillows and pillow cases, which should be available to every convict. Information on the possibilities of using prison bedding must be made available to all convicts and detainees.

If the prisoners choose to clean their clothes or bedding themselves, provide for the possibility of drying the clothes in a separate room, so that it is not done in the rooms they sleep in.

It is necessary to reconstruct the unit A (e.g. add new floor) to address the issue of overcrowding. Meanwhile, paint the walls and provide new furniture.

Provide adequate heating and cooling.

Pay more attention to the hygiene of sanitary facilities and provide new sanitary equipment.

Install video surveillance in the office for educators.

Designate a separate room for smokers and set smoking ban signs in all the hallways and bedrooms.

Unit B

The capacity of this unit is 92, and the population in December 2011 was 132 persons. Number of rooms is 24.²⁴¹ The rooms are neat and equipped with 6 beds (prisoners keep them in order), warm, but also stuffy, contrary to Art. 34, para 1 of the House Rules: "Premises where the convicted persons reside or work shall be ventilated and heated." Almost all rooms have TVs, radio transistors, DVD players, heaters, mini-stoves, mini-ovens and drawers for clothes. The bathrooms had hot water. Both living rooms have furniture (tables, benches and one refrigerator). Artificial and natural light is sufficient. All rooms are

²⁴¹ Official letter from ZIKS Administration, 9 November 2011, Podgorica.

approximately 20 m² in size and accommodate 4-6 persons, which meets the minimum spatial standard, except in the case of 6 bedded rooms. Inmates successfully take care of the hygiene themselves.²⁴² In an informal interview, the convicts emphasized the need for more dishes, sinks and refrigerators. There is no separate room designated for smokers. The room for staff in this unit did not have central heating installed.

Install central heating in staff premises.

Unit C

Unit C accommodates persons who committed multiple disciplinary offences. On 29 November 2011 this unit held 21 prisoners, and the planned accommodation capacity is 24. This unit has 9 bedrooms and a living room. The monitors could not determine whether the area size of group rooms adheres to standards, because they were not allowed to measure the rooms. There are 5 double rooms to the left side of the corridor and 4 group rooms to the right side of the corridor, with 5 or 6 beds. Double room has an area of about 15 m², and includes a bunk bed, refrigerator, stove, table and chairs. Hygiene maintenance is at very high level. Doubles rooms clearly meet the standard of 4 m². However, 6 bedded rooms of approximately 20 m² have bunk beds. This room also has a table and chairs, but seems overcrowded, since it is too small for 6 inmates. The standard of 4 m² per prisoner has not been met.

Each room has windows covered with opaque plexiglass panels, to block prisoners' view of the yard, which, according to the CPT, allowed adequate access to fresh air and natural light.²⁴³ However, in certain rooms inmates burned holes in the panels with cigarettes to have a view of the yard. According to prison officials, such behaviour is tolerated, i.e. not sanctioned, though not in accordance with the rules. This practice questions the existence of such panels, which, in our view, block the view and limit natural light.²⁴⁴ Smokers smoke in their rooms, the living room and outdoors. Closed-door regime is implemented in this unit. Heating system is central. Living room, measuring some 20 m², is equipped with tables and benches. Staff room is equipped with office furniture and video surveillance. Video surveillance covers the hallway. There is a phone booth in the hallway. The yard for walking is located behind unit C and separated by a fence from the yard used by inmates from other units. This part of the yard has no protection from adverse weather conditions or sports equipment.

²⁴² Monitoring visits to ZIKS, 2011 and 2012.

²⁴³ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 51.

²⁴⁴ See CPT standard "Access to natural light and fresh air", p. 30: "The CPT frequently encounters devices, such as metal shutters, slats, or plates fitted to cell windows... Imposition of measures of this kind should be the exception rather than the rule..."

The bathroom is warm, it has hot water but no shower heads,²⁴⁵ which is justified by frequent rude behaviour of convicts. According to an official letter, "the lack of shower heads is common due to prisoners' rough handling of its part that regulates the flow of water."²⁴⁶ The CPT has recommended that urgent steps be taken to "improve toilet and shower arrangements for sentenced prisoners."²⁴⁷ Inmates have access to showers and bathrooms. As the Rules on the Performance of Security Service in Art. 12 stipulate that "security officer shall ... prevent damage to facilities, installations, machinery, tools and other assets", *it is necessary to reduce the destruction of prison equipment, by informing inmates about the responsibilities for such behaviour laid down in the House Rules.*

Set up a shelter from inclement weather in the unit C yard and purchase sports equipment.

Provide new shower heads and prevent their destruction.

Unit D

Planned capacity of this unit is 100, but it accommodated 141 convicts.²⁴⁸ It has 36 rooms. Almost all the rooms visited by monitors were 4 or 5 bedded, but there are also 6 bedded rooms in this unit.²⁴⁹ Most rooms do not comply with the minimum international standard of 4 m² (5 people in 20 m²). All smokers smoke in their rooms, and there are rooms that accommodate non-smokers.²⁵⁰ The rooms are warm, but poorly ventilated. Artificial lighting is satisfactory. This unit has central heating. The bathrooms have hot water. Living rooms are equipped with furniture, home appliances. Orally interviewed inmates were mostly complaining about the lack of prison bedding, i.e. pillows and the inability to use the laundry room.

Enable the use of prison bedding.

Make available information on the use of the laundry room.

Address the problem of overcrowded rooms.

²⁴⁵ European Prison Rules, p. 19.3: "Prisoners shall have ready access to sanitary facilities that are hygienic and respect privacy", and p. 19.6: "The prison authorities shall provide them with the means for doing so including toiletries and general cleaning implements and materials".

²⁴⁶ Official letter from ZIKS, ZKD br. 63/12, of 19 March 2012, Podgorica.

²⁴⁷ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 59.

²⁴⁸ Official letter from ZIKS of 15 December 2011.

²⁴⁹ Monitoring visit conducted on 29 November 2011, Podgorica.

²⁵⁰ Six rooms accommodated smokers, two accommodated non-smokers.

Semi-open unit is a prison section with reduced supervision from security officers, accommodating sentenced persons employed at the prison or external work sites.²⁵¹ Semi-open unit comprises the Semi-open unit building, shacks, the so-called "economy" and farms (unit E). The total capacity of the Semi-open unit is 470, accommodating 644 prisoners.²⁵²

The Semi-open unit building is new. Rooms are mainly 4-bedded. However, there are also 8-bedded and 6-bedded rooms. The open-door regime is implemented in this unit. Prisoners have the possibility to use sports courts behind the building, while there is also a yard for walking in front of the building. Prison yard has a wire fence, not concrete one, behind which lie private properties, so it is difficult to monitor the transfer of prohibited items over the fence, especially in bad weather conditions.²⁵³ Although officers regularly visit and monitor yards, every so often they find prohibited items thrown over the fence. Usually these are mobile phones, mobile phone cards, etc.²⁵⁴

In the Semi-open unit building all seemed to be in accordance with the regulations and standards in terms of the size of cells, living rooms, toilets, natural and artificial lighting, furniture, heating and ventilation. However, most rooms do not comply with space standard of 4 m². Monitoring team members noticed that bathrooms lacked shower heads, but were informed that this issue has been addressed in the meantime.²⁵⁵ This unit also has a library, including older classic and religious books.

Semi-open sector, the so-called "Economy" includes farms and dilapidated wooden shacks which are in very poor condition and at risk of mould and fire. In such accommodation prisoners smoke, use heaters and mini stoves, which increases the risk of fire. There are 8 shacks, which accommodated 40 prisoners on the day of the monitoring visit.²⁵⁶

In December 2011 there were 3 cows, about 100 pigs and 1,500 chickens on the farm. Earlier, there used to be up to 12,000 chickens on the farm, but now there are fewer animals because ZIKS administration estimated that larger scale animal farming is not profitable.²⁵⁷ Also, there is only one dilapidated greenhouse, although meadows within ZIKS allow for building of greenhouses of much greater capacity. See section Treatment for more detail on

²⁵¹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, Art. 18.

²⁵² Official letter Zkd-br 355-11, 15 December 2011.

²⁵³ ZIKS Administration, 23 December 2011, Podgorica.

²⁵⁴ Interview with security officials, 23 December 2011.

²⁵⁵ Letter from ZIKS Director, Milan Radović, of 19 March 2012, ZKD br. 63/12, Podgorica.

²⁵⁶ Visit conducted on 29 November 2011, Podgorica.

²⁵⁷ Visit conducted on 29 December 2011, Podgorica.

the proposal to expand farms and greenhouses and develop a business plan for expansion of production, to provide for employment of all interested prisoners.

Provide laser equipment for video surveillance.

Bring down dilapidated shacks and build new ones, in accordance with the standards, which would have more beds and better living conditions.

Expand the greenhouse and farms.

Unit F – Prison for juveniles, women and foreign prisoners

Accommodation of juveniles, see above.

Accommodation of women

At the time of monitoring visits, from November 2011 through June 2012, female prisoners were temporarily residing in the unit F left wing, on the first floor, separated from men, expecting renovation of a separate women's prison building. On 14 May 2011, 28 female prisoners resided in the female section. Rooms visited by the monitoring team were tidy, with private photographs of female inmates.

However, the size of the rooms of maximum 20 m² was not enough to accommodate 5 female convicts residing there at the time of the visit (equipped with six beds). Women too complained of overcrowded rooms. The living room was worm, but also stuffy (cigarette smoke and stale air). Inmates smoke in their rooms. There was no specially adapted room for visitors in this part of the prison; visits took place in a small office, equipped with a table and two chairs. Construction of a new prison unit for women is nearing end.

In December 2011 the monitors were told that female convicts will be relocated to a new facility in a month, but that deadline was not met, not even until 11 May 2012, when ZIKS administration informed us that the relocation is to be completed in 7 days. Monitors revisited ZIKS on the date of planned relocation and noted that the work was coming to an end. The new single-storey building has 8 cells and 2 solitary confinement cells. Also, there is a separate room for visits, measuring about 20 m². Solitary confinement cells are under video surveillance. All rooms and both solitary confinement cells have their own bathroom. Rooms were not yet furnished because of ongoing works, but monitors were informed that each room, with a surface area of 20 m², was designed for 4 persons. Each room has central heating and windows. There is a yard for walks with set up benches.

There is no special unit for women serving short sentences, so they serve their sentence together with other women. It is particularly alarming that Bijelo Polje Prison, intended for prisoners serving short sentences, does not accommodate women, so women

who serve short sentences or live in the northern part of Montenegro serve their sentence in Podgorica, which causes difficulties to their visitors.²⁵⁸

Yard within unit F has no shelter from adverse weather conditions, which has been justified by the lack of financial resources.²⁵⁹

Foreign and other prisoners

Foreigners are placed together with other inmates in the unit F.

Rooms accommodating male and foreign prisoners have four to six beds, and most do not comply with the standard of 4 m² per prisoner. During the monitoring visits, there was no specially adapted room for visitors - official premises were used for that purpose. Bathrooms had hot water, but the water pressure was very low.

Prison for short sentences is a separate prison unit, divided into the semi-open and closed unit. Prison for short sentences accommodates persons convicted to a prison sentence of up to 6 months, prisoners who have maximum 6 months left on their sentences after deducting detention time from a sentence of imprisonment, as well as persons sentenced in misdemeanour proceedings.²⁶⁰ Reconstruction of the semi-open unit is in progress. Its capacity is 150. On 12 April 2012 this prison unit accommodated 150 people. Cells have five to ten beds, with the exception of a room for foreign prisoners equipped with 7 triple-deck bunk beds (21 sleeping places). Most cells have an area of about 28 m², but there are also smaller cells. Cells are equipped with a sufficient number of lockers for personal items, beds, as well as personal belongings, household appliances, including TVs. Bathrooms with showers cabins²⁶¹ were poorly maintained by convicts²⁶². Walls were dirty, sinks flooded, shower curtains worn. During the monitoring period, a new bathroom with shower cabins was built. Also, according to ZIKS officials, old bathroom is being transformed into another cell for inmates. There are a total of 10 renovated rooms, each measuring about 27 m², intended to accommodate 5 to 6 prisoners; their renovation is nearing completion. There are 10 official premises. There are 24 cells now, as accommodation has been extended. There are

²⁵⁸ European Prison Rules, p. 17. 1: "Prisoners shall be allocated, as far as possible, to prisons close to their homes or places of social rehabilitation".

²⁵⁹ Information obtained in direct interview with Administration official.

²⁶⁰ Art. 165, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, 12 August 2011, no. 272/11.

²⁶¹ European Prison Rules, appendix to the recommendation, Rec 2006-2, Part II, 19.1: "All parts of every prison shall be properly maintained and kept clean at all times". House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, Art. 85: "A convicted person is required to maintain general and personal hygiene on a daily basis".

²⁶² <http://www.bicent.com/novosti/drustvo/u-zatvoru-za-kratke-kazne-120-osudenika-strajkuje-gladu>.

4 telephone booths. There is no separate room for drying laundry or room for smokers. Personal hygiene items are provided on a weekly basis. This facility also has 3 disciplinary cells equipped with a bed, table and floor-fixed chair. Disciplinary cells have compartmentalized toilet. Orally interviewed²⁶³ prisoners did not complain about the lack of prison bedding, although they mostly used their own bedding and clothing. In the Prison for short sentences 94.7% of prisoners replied negatively when asked if they had access to prison bedding, while 97.3% said that prison laundry service had not been made available to them.²⁶⁴ They wash and dry their bedding and clothes themselves in their cells or their families clean them.

Room for foreign prisoners is located on the ground floor, in the closed prison unit, measuring some 20 m².²⁶⁵ During the monitoring visit **there were 10 prisoners in the cell, and the cell has 21 beds.** Despite the expansion of cells and bathroom reconstruction, we believe that this will not be enough to unburden the Prison for short sentences to a necessary extent.

Exercise yard has wire fencing. Within the yard prisoners can use sports equipment, play football or practice some other form of recreation. However, the yard does not have a shelter from inclement weather. Total of 82.6% of respondents from the Prison for short sentences considered it necessary to set up more shelters from inclement weather.²⁶⁶

Constructing additional premises of about 50 m² next to the existing facilities would considerably help in avoiding overcrowding and thus complying with international standards regarding the placement and conditions of stay of sentenced persons.

Provide a library.

Take out excess beds from the room for foreign inmates in order to make more space.

Set up a shelter from inclement weather in the yard.

²⁶³ During the visit a prison official introduced the monitors to inmates in each room, giving them an opportunity to complain about the accommodation, conditions, etc., and speak with monitors in private. However, of all the prisoners, only 2 have complained about the conditions and accommodation, stating the toilets as the biggest problem.

²⁶⁴ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

²⁶⁵ Monitors were not allowed to measure the area of rooms and this is their approximate impression.

²⁶⁶ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

Remand Prison (Podgorica Prison)

Accommodation conditions in the Remand Prison appear to be extremely poor and of significantly lower quality than in most other ZIKS units, given that the cells were last painted long ago, the standard of 4 m² per inmate is not always adhered to and detainees spend 23 hours a day in their rooms.²⁶⁷ After the CPT's visit in 2008, partial reconstruction of the Remand Prison building has been conducted and its capacity expanded to accommodate 50 more people, which is currently at 370.²⁶⁸ Section the women has been completely reconstructed. It has been ensured that each prisoner has his own bed and several cells have been renovated. Positive tendencies in Montenegrin jurisprudence have reduced the number of detainees by half, so the Remand Prison population is around 300.²⁶⁹ However, other recommendations contained in the CPT report on its 2008 visit (p. 58) have not been met. **Not all rooms adhere to the 4 m² standard, most of them have not yet been renovated, and the regime for persons under investigation has not been revised. Yard is not equipped with a shelter from inclement weather, benches are broken and there is no sports equipment. The purpose of all rooms intended for disciplinary punishment is unclear.**

Under the permission of the President of the High Court in Podgorica, the monitoring team was allowed to visit all the cells of choice. Using this opportunity, on 20 February 2011, based on a review of daily schedule, monitors visited rooms on the first and second floor, which housed highest number of detainees, from 7 to 9, previously accommodating up to 21 inmates.²⁷⁰ After being allowed to measure the cells, monitors found that there are rooms that do not comply with the standard of 4 m² per prisoner, although prison capacity was not fully used at the time. The smallest cell measures 2.5 x 3 m² and it accommodated one detainee, which corresponds to the minimum standard of 6 m² per person, but not the desirable standard of 9 m².²⁷¹ Most detainees were placed on the ground floor in the room measuring 28 m², with 9 beds. Total number of rooms in this building is 66 or 67.²⁷² Natural light is weak, because the windows in the rooms are small, set rather high and have bars. Rooms generally look dilapidated and untidy, mostly because the walls have not been painted in a while, because they are equipped with military beds and the common space is

²⁶⁷ Monitoring visits on 3 November 2011, 30 January 2012, 20 February 2012 in Podgorica.

²⁶⁸ Official letter ZIKS, Zkd-br 355-11, 15 December 2011, Podgorica.

²⁶⁹ Total number of detainees on 15 December 2011 was 338, 303 in January 2012, and 285 on 9 May 2012.

²⁷⁰ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 55.

²⁷¹ Visit conducted on 20 February 2012. The Chief noted these dimensions. For CPT standard of 6 m² for single bedded room see CPT, Report on the visit to Albania 1997, p. 127, and for desirable standard of 9 m² see CPT, Report on the visit to Slovakia, 2000, p. 62.

²⁷² Interview with the prison Chief during the visit, 30 January 2012, Podgorica.

limited by bars in the form of a cage, to prevent access to the window. These bars are used to dry washed clothes.

Rooms for detained persons on all floors mostly measure 28 m² and generally accommodate 6, 7, 8 or 9 detainees. There are also single and double rooms. Monitors were informed that some rooms have been renovated, painted and that it is in plan to paint all of them. Mould is present in all premises (in hallways, cells, even in the staff room). All rooms have bunk beds, with the possibility of setting up a third bunk. Insects have been spotted in the rooms, although, according to officials, pest control and disinfection of the premises is conducted on a regular basis. Smokers smoke in their rooms. There is no specifically designated room for smokers.

In 2012 the Remand Prison accommodated 2 minors, which were placed together with an adult detainee. On each floor there is a staff room. The ground floor has a central room and room for surveillance.

Rooms on the first floor accommodate 4 to 7 detainees.²⁷³ In the hallway there is a mailbox for letters to the Ombudsman. Each room has a TV that detainees brought from their homes. With the permission of the investigative judge, detainees can also bring home appliances, so the monitoring team noticed that the rooms had mini stoves, TVs, etc. Some of the toilets were not fully built.

As for the disciplinary cells, there are supposedly no rooms specially designed for this purpose. However, each floor has 2 to 3 cells that serve this purpose, but, according to officials, they are used to accommodate other detainees due to the lack of accommodation facilities. If necessary, detainees placed in these rooms who have not been disciplined are transferred to other rooms, to allow the stay of a detainee punished by solitary confinement. However, it is not clear why this is necessary, since at the time of the visit the prison was nowhere near its maximum capacity use.

Room on the first floor in the left wing, which served the purpose of disciplinary punishment, was in an extremely poor condition. The wall was broken off in certain places; there was a bed with an old worn out mattress, a table and chair; the toilet was in very poor condition. Artificial lighting comes from a light bulb connected to electricity cables, which pose considerable risk of electric shock for a detainee. Also, these cables can be abused. This room, according to officials,²⁷⁴ is no longer used. It was noticed that the room has not been renovated.²⁷⁵

²⁷³ Information provided by prison officials, 3 November 2011.

²⁷⁴ Monitoring visit to the Remand Prison conducted on 3 November 2011.

²⁷⁵ Monitoring visits conducted on 3 November 2011, 30 January 2012 and 20 February 2012.

Yard is surrounded by high concrete wall. There was no sports equipment, and wooden benches were broken. Also, the yard has no shelter from inclement weather.

Visiting room is still a booth-type facility, which was criticized by the CPT in 2008, and there is a separate room for lawyers' visits. There is no separate room for practising religion or for any other activity. Except for walks, all inmates spend their time locked in their cells.

Capacity of the **female part** of the Remand Prison is 40, and at the time of the visit 13 women were accommodated in 3 rooms. This part has been renovated. The first room that monitors visited had no beds. According to prison officials, the room was in the process of adaptation to accommodate female detainees. Some of the rooms were furnished, but empty and cold.²⁷⁶ Monitors noticed 3 detainees who were obviously freezing, although in warm jackets. After addressing remarks with regard to this issue in November 2011, satisfactory heating has been provided, as noticed during the visit to the Remand Prison in January 2012. Solitary confinement cell was empty, the space was large enough and bright. Bathroom was clean. There was hot water. The bathroom was equipped with a washing machine. Shower stalls had no doors or curtains.

Female part of the Remand Prison has a separate part of the yard for walking, including several benches.

Recommendations

Construct a new building of the Remand Prison, which would have rooms with fewer beds, complying with the standard of 4 m², and provide a living area with computers and other options for activities outside the cell.

Until the new prison building is constructed, renovate the existing accommodation capacities and paint all the rooms.

Also, renovate rooms that are not currently used.

Provide non-transparent shower curtains in bathrooms in the female part of the Remand Prison to ensure privacy of female detainees.

Bijelo Polje Prison

Bijelo Polje Prison building was built in 1949 and is located in the urban area of the town, close to the centre. The prison is divided into a part for sentenced prisoners and Remand Prison (Bijelo Polje Prison). Total capacity of the entire prison is 110, of which 50

²⁷⁶ Monitoring visits conducted on 3 November 2011, 30 January 2012 and 20 February 2012.

in detention (or 45), and 60 in the prison.²⁷⁷ At the end of 2011 there were a total of 164 inmates in Bijelo Polje, so 54 inmates were over capacity.²⁷⁸

During the visit on 12 September 2011, it was observed that all prisoners are placed in two existing dormitories. One dormitory measures about 40 m² and the other about 30 m² (measuring the rooms was not allowed). The rooms are equipped with old military bunk beds. During the visit, a total of 80 sentenced persons were accommodated in these two rooms. Minimal standard of 4 m² per person was obviously not met. Rooms have central heating. Natural light is less intense, because the windows in rooms are small and positioned rather high. Accommodation does not meet the standards. Two existing toilets are poorly maintained and therefore in bad condition. There was hot water. However, there was mould in the walls, floors were flooded, hygiene level was very low and air felt stale because there was no ventilation. Toilets have only artificial lighting.

Persons accommodated in this building are "convicted persons sentenced to imprisonment for up to 6 months or prisoners who have maximum 6 months left on their sentences after deducting detention time from a sentence of imprisonment, as well as persons sentenced in misdemeanour proceedings".²⁷⁹ There are exceptions of persons serving longer sentences, who are either transferred from Podgorica Prison for security reasons or persons of extremely low socioeconomic status who live in the northern part of Montenegro, transferred closer to their home town at their personal request; there are also other cases considered individually.²⁸⁰

Visiting rooms have been renovated, as well as rooms for children's visits and offices, which have also been equipped with new furniture, doctor's office, dental office, etc. As regards the construction – expansion of the prison, its administration is currently negotiating with the Municipality of Bijelo Polje to support the construction.²⁸¹ Works have not started and there is no reliable information when they might be completed, although the CPT delegation had been informed that the new prison will be constructed until the end of 2009.

²⁷⁷ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011 contains contradictory data on the capacity in the Remand Prison, on p. 72 it is noted that the capacity is 50, and on p. 102 - 45.

²⁷⁸ The same Report, p. 72.

²⁷⁹ Art. 165, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences, 12 August 2011, Podgorica.

²⁸⁰ Bijelo Polje Prison Chief stated these reasons in the visit conducted on 16 January 2012, Bijelo Polje.

²⁸¹ Daily *Pobjeda*, 19 October 2011, "Conditions for serving prison sentences will be better - Representative of the European Union and ZIKS Administration visited the prison in Bijelo Polje", available at: <http://www.pobjeda.me/arhiva/?datum=2011-10-19&id=221514>. Visiting this unit, Bertolini stated that the EU supports the reform of the system for execution of criminal sanctions in Montenegro and helps the promotion of alternative sanctions, as well as the improvement of accommodation conditions. Preparation of construction documents for the construction of new buildings within ZIKS complex also has the support of the EU Delegation.

Meanwhile, the CPT recommendations regarding heating, bedding and hygiene items have been met, and the recommendation regarding the condition of toilets and bathrooms only partially. These premises in the part for sentenced prisoners still do not comply with the standards.

In comparison to 2008, significant progress has been achieved. Work on the adaption of entrances for people who are wheelchair users is in progress. Activities undertaken in the reconstruction of Bijelo Polje Prison, including the Remand Prison, are completed or nearing the end, as noticed during the monitoring visit.²⁸² Conditions in bathrooms have been improved – bathroom with 7 shower stalls in the Remand Prison has been renovated and, in our opinion, meets all the standards. The prison has adequate heating, including dormitories in the Remand Prison. Phone booths have been set. Personal hygiene items and blankets are available to prisoners and detainees, as noticed during the visit. Personal hygiene items are delivered every Monday and there is always enough stock. If hygiene maintenance requires more items in relation to the planned consumption, they are provided. However, there is still no living room for prisoners. There are six disciplinary cells. The cells have windows, central heating and compartmentalized toilet. Mostly only one disciplined person is held in solitary confinement cell, however, sometimes up to two persons stay in the cell, since the lack of space is an obvious problem. Also, these cells are located in the Remand Prison.

Yard for convicts practically does not exist, there is only a very small outdoor space (maximum 20 m²), which is unacceptable. According to the prison Chief, the plan is to arrange outdoor area around the prison for inmates to be able to take walks, exercise and do sports.

The prison has a library with around 150 books. On the ground floor of the Remand Prison there is a kitchen and a special room with freezers for storing beef, pork and other meat, to ensure the respect for religious customs and habits of all prisoners and detainees (freezers are labelled with respect to different meat). There is also a store in the prison, with a wide variety of products. According to the Administration²⁸³, items are sold at the same prices as in Podgorica Prison (Spuž).²⁸⁴

The atmosphere in both parts of the prison in Bijelo Polje leaves particularly positive impression, because all the inmates greet prison officers with a smile and talk to them in a friendly manner. The atmosphere is much better than in prisons in Podgorica. Prison in

²⁸² Visit conducted on 16 January 2012, Bijelo Polje.

²⁸³ Visit conducted on 16 January 2012, Bijelo Polje.

²⁸⁴ For comparing the prices in ZIKS with prices in markets.

Bijelo Polje applies the CPT standard which encourages positive relations with prisoners, without excessive formality and rigidity in behaviour.²⁸⁵

Remand Prison in Bijelo Polje

Part of the prison in Bijelo Polje accommodating remand inmates is separated from the part accommodating sentenced persons and located in the right wing of the prison building. Detention capacity is 45-50.²⁸⁶ At the end of 2011, 43 people were in detention, but only a month earlier, on 3 November 2011, there were 63 detained persons - 13 over the planned capacity.²⁸⁷

The Remand Prison (Bijelo Polje Prison) has 8 rooms on the ground floor and 11 rooms on the first floor. Rooms have 4 to 12 beds. Area size is adequate, the rooms are fairly large and unburdened in terms of the number of beds compared to the Remand Prison in Podgorica. Monitors were not allowed to measure the rooms. The rooms are equipped with tables and chairs. Detainees are allowed to bring TVs. Rooms have specially enclosed toilets that are in decent condition.²⁸⁸ Heating is central, and the rooms that monitors have visited were warm, as well as solitary confinement cells.

Unlike the CPT remark from 2008 relating to the poor condition of disciplinary cells,²⁸⁹ the cells are now in satisfactory condition, have adequate heating, as well as windows, table and chairs, as observed during the visit²⁹⁰. Also, these cells have toilets, and inmates take showers in the new bathroom.²⁹¹ The plan is to install call bells in disciplinary cells. However, the monitoring team observed that disciplinary cells within the Remand Prison are used for both detainees and prisoners, and that the existing number of cells is insufficient.

Visiting room meets the needs of visitors – it has been renovated and equipped with new furniture. However, this room is used by both detainees and prisoners. Physical contact

²⁸⁵ CPT Standards, p. 26: Staff-prisoner relations.

²⁸⁶ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011 contains contradictory data on the capacity in the Remand Prison, on p. 72 it is noted that the capacity is 50, and on p. 102 - 45.

²⁸⁷ Official letter from ZIKS, ZKPD br. 355-11, 15 December 2011.

²⁸⁹ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 79, item 3.

²⁹⁰ Monitoring visit conducted on 16 January 2012, Bijelo Polje.

²⁹¹ CPT Standards 15(37)-1, p. 50.

between prisoners and visitors has been prevented by setting up transparent panels. Also, there are 5 telephones. Visiting room has benches and separate entrances for visitors and prisoners. The room has two cameras for separate monitoring of inmates and visitors during the visits. The room for children's visits is equipped with new furniture, toys, children posters and has appropriate heating. There is also a part for walks.

Until a new prison building in Bijelo Polje is constructed, it is of priority to build additional premises - living room and disciplinary cells for convicted persons.

Renovate bathrooms and toilets in the prison for convicted persons and maintain hygiene.

Provide adequate space for prisoners to take walks, with a shelter from inclement weather, especially bearing in mind that prisoners do not have a living room.

5. HEALTH-CARE SERVICE

The obligation of the state to care for ill inmates consists of three parts:

- 1) the state must first determine whether the convicted person is able to serve a prison sentence;
- 2) the state must provide necessary health care for all persons deprived of their liberty;
- 3) the state must tailor general prison conditions to specific needs of inmates who are ill.²⁹²

The provision of health care to detained and sentenced persons at the Remand Prison and the Institution for sentenced prisoners in Podgorica is ensured by the Health-care Service.

The Report of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT), after its visit to Montenegro in September 2008, at paragraph 61 states that the provision of health care to prisoners at the Remand Prison and the Institution for sentenced prisoners in Podgorica was ensured by the *Special Prison Hospital* located on the top floor of the building occupied by the *Remand Prison*, which opened in January 2006.²⁹³

Special Prison Hospital, as one of the institutions within the prison system of Montenegro, is no longer operating.²⁹⁴ In April 2012 it was announced that the Special Hospital within ZIKS Podgorica is to be "rebuilt". Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners informed the monitors that the Master Plan envisaging opening of the Hospital in 2014 has been adopted.

Medical staff with the Health-care Service includes:

- 3 doctors working eight-hour shifts: Head of the Health-care Service is a specialist in internal medicine and two newly recruited physicians are also specialists - internal medicine and general practice. Doctors work from 7 am - 3 pm, and after completing a shift one of them is on standby,
- 8 medical technicians (qualified nurses) working from 7 am - 7 pm during day shifts and 7 pm - 7 am during night shifts, of whom one is a woman,
- head medical technician (7 am - 3 pm),

²⁹² European Court of Human Rights, *Xiros v. Greece*, 2010, p. 73.

²⁹³ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro.

²⁹⁴ "The penitentiary system of Montenegro, which is run by the State Administration for the Execution of Penal Sanctions, comprises four establishments, all of which were visited by the CPT's delegation during the 2008 visit. Three of them - the Institution for sentenced prisoners, the Remand Prison and the Special Prison Hospital - are located on the outskirts of Podgorica, in Spuž, and were previously visited by the CPT in 2004. The fourth, Bijelo Polje Prison, was visited for the first time by a CPT delegation in 2008." CPT, Report on the visit to Montenegro in 2008, p. 41.

- pharmaceutical technician (7 am - 3 pm),
- physiotherapist (7 am - 3 pm),
- lab technician (7 am - 3 pm),
- dentist and dental nurse (7 am - 3 pm).

Most employees in the Health-care Service work under a fixed-term contract extended on a monthly basis. Head of the Health-care Service has been retired and works under a contract. Two new doctors have been employed for a limited time period. The dentist and dental nurse also work on a fixed-term contract. Of nine medical technicians, only three have been employed under unlimited contract, while five works under a fixed-term contract and one has been retired and works under the contract. Other medical staff is also working on temporary basis. For commentary on recruitment of prison staff under a fixed-term contract, see section Prison staff.

Prison is visited by a psychiatrist twice a week, a specialist in physical medicine once a week, radiologists twice a week and X-ray technician three times a week.

Nursing staff is present 24 hours a day.

In a survey²⁹⁵ conducted in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in March and April 2012, to question no. 56: *Do you regularly receive the treatment your doctor has prescribed to you?* 66.4% of the convicts responded affirmatively, and 33.6% negatively.

To question no. 57: *Does the doctor respond in time to your call?* 64.3% of prisoners responded negatively, and 35.7% affirmatively. In the Prison for short sentences 87.5% responded negatively and only 12.5% affirmatively. In an open form, prisoners were offered to state how long they had waited in cases when a doctor had not responded in time. The shortest period was 2-3 hours, the longest half a year.

To question no. 58: *Has the situation improved after new doctors were hired?* 61.7% of the prison population gave a positive response, while 38.3% responded with no.

Hiring of two new doctors in February 2012 significantly increased the possibilities for providing adequate health care for inmates.

The situation that existed until recently, when the Health-care Service had only one doctor for a population of over 1100, was unacceptable. It is necessary to make an effort to maintain the existing number of doctors, and preferably increase it. Sufficient staffing levels

²⁹⁵ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica.

*in the Health-care Service is a prerequisite for enabling that **any request** of a person deprived of liberty to consult a doctor be met without undue delay.*²⁹⁶

Number of medical technicians is still below the optimal level and needs to be *doubled*. This would, among other things, allow inmates to receive their treatment prescribed by a doctor in a timely manner.

In order to stimulate health professionals to work in prison conditions, it is necessary to offer them a contract of indefinite duration and other benefits (higher salary coefficient for work in difficult conditions, longer vacations, etc).

None of the psychologists employed in ZIKS within the Treatment Sector is engaged in the Health-care Service. Given the capacity of the prison, the fact that a psychiatrist visits the institution twice a week for a limited duration and large number of people in need of psychological support, *it is advisable to hire another psychologist who would be a part of the Health-care Service and whose primary task would imply psychotherapeutic work with detainees and prisoners.*

The work is performed in seven examination rooms located in different ZIKS units (Remand Prison, Institution for Sentenced Prisoners - 2 examination rooms in units A and D, Prison for short sentences, Semi-open unit, Women's prison, examination room for units F and E), of which three are equipped with ECG machine. Health-care Service is not equipped with a defibrillator, which should be obligatory in prison as a means of first aid.²⁹⁷

It is advisable to provide at least two more ECG machines, one defibrillator and equip a mini laboratory.

Health-care Service has a modernly equipped ambulance vehicle. It is used to transfer the so-called "recumbent patients" (patients whose medical condition requires transport in such vehicle). Monitors have been informed that a medical technician always accompanies a patient on the way to the hospital, which is extremely important.

Medical examinations of newly arrived prisoners are usually held on the day of admission to prison or the following day (24 hour deadline is respected in practice), which is in line with the CPT recommendations.²⁹⁸

²⁹⁶ "The health care service should be so organised as to enable requests to consult a doctor to be met without undue delay." CPT, 3rd General Report, 1992, p. 34.

²⁹⁷ Defibrillator is electromedical instrument, i.e. equipment for defibrillation of heart which uses electric shock to normalize heart rate. It is used as a means of first aid in heart attacks and other heart rhythm disorders.

²⁹⁸ "The CPT reiterates its recommendation that all newly arrived prisoners be examined by a qualified person within 24 hours of admission." CPT, Report on the visit to Moldova, 2011, p. 81.

Medical record is opened for each newly admitted person, containing medical history and description of the health condition of that person at admission. The results of all subsequent medical consultations are entered in the record. Confidentiality of medical records is respected, the records are kept in doctors' offices and made unavailable to non-medical personnel. However, convicted and detained persons are not allowed access to their medical records. Monitors have been informed that only the court is granted access.

Such practice should be changed and relevant regulations amended so as to explicitly stipulate the right of inmates to access their medical record.

*Personal health record is not prison's property. Access can be denied only in extremely rare situations, when contraindicated for therapeutic reasons. Also, every person deprived of liberty should be allowed to inform family members or a lawyer on their health condition.*²⁹⁹

On the other hand, monitors have received complaints from detained/sentenced persons regarding the presence of a member of the Security Service during medical examination.

- *Existing practice should be changed immediately.*
- *The presence of security officers during a medical examination undermines the relationship of trust between patient and doctor. Undoubtedly, there will always be information that a person deprived of liberty will not want to share with his doctor if a member of the security service is present during the examination. This practice is usually unnecessary from a security point of view.*
- *As an alternative, we suggest installing security alarms in doctors' offices, which would enable health professionals to call for help if the patient becomes violent or tries to escape.*³⁰⁰

²⁹⁹ "The prisoner should be allowed to consult his medical file, unless this is contraindicated for therapeutic reasons, and to ask for the information contained therein to be communicated to his family or lawyer. In the event of a transfer, the file should be forwarded to the doctors of the receiving establishment." CPT, Report on the visit to Georgia, 2009, p. 35

³⁰⁰ "There can be no justification for custodial staff being systematically present during such examinations; their presence is detrimental to the establishment of a proper doctor-patient relationship and usually unnecessary from a security point of view. Alternative solutions can and should be found to reconcile legitimate security requirements with the principle of medical confidentiality. One possibility might be the installation of a call system, whereby a doctor would be in a position to rapidly alert prison officers in those exceptional cases when a prisoner becomes agitated or threatening during a medical examination." CPT, Report on the visit to Armenia, 2006, p. 91.

- *Medical examination should always be carried out so that the security officer can not hear the conversation between patient and doctor. It is desirable that the examination be performed out of the sight of a prison guard. In exceptional situations a doctor should be allowed to require that a member of the security service be present in the room where the examination is performed. If necessary, relevant legislation should be amended.*³⁰¹

Doctor is required to examine all persons sent to solitary confinement for disciplinary offenses and decide whether a person is "capable" of isolation, under Art. 56 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.³⁰² Indeed, in line with the earlier version of the European Prison Rules, there was an obligation of a doctor to examine all persons **before** being sent to solitary confinement. Please note that this rule is **deleted** from the European Prison Rules currently in force.

The relationship of trust between doctor and patient is an important element for the detection of abuse and involvement of doctors in disciplinary action violates this trust. After the medical examination carried out prior to solitary confinement, inmates/patients often get the impression that the doctor contributed to implementation of this disciplinary measure. This is the main reason why the current, revised version of the European Prison Rules does not impose an obligation for a doctor to state whether a person is "capable" of referral to a disciplinary cell.

In order to establish and maintain the trust relationship between prison doctor and a person deprived of liberty, it is very important that the medical service in a penal institution be perceived by inmates as "independent". This **cannot** be achieved if a prison doctor is asked to provide his opinion on "capacity" of a person to undergo disciplinary punishment of solitary confinement. On the other hand, persons in solitary confinement or isolation require special attention of the health service and the prison doctor would **have to immediately notify the director of the institution to which extent the stay in solitary confinement / isolation endangers their health.**³⁰³ Art. 57 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions obliges a doctor to pay daily visits to persons placed in isolation.³⁰⁴

³⁰¹ "The Committee recommends that steps be taken to ensure that medical examinations of prisoners are conducted out of the hearing and – unless the doctor concerned expressly requests otherwise in a given case – out of the sight of non-medical staff. If necessary, the relevant legal provisions should be amended." CPT, Report on the visit to Hungary, 2007, p. 22.

³⁰² Art. 56, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions: "Before imposing disciplinary sanction, it is mandatory to hear a prisoner, verify his defense, obtain a report on his work and behaviour and, if needed, obtain a medical opinion".

³⁰³ "Prison doctors continued to be obliged to certify that inmates are fit for punishment prior to a decision on solitary confinement being taken. On this issue, the CPT wishes to stress that ensuring there is a positive relationship between medical practitioners working in prisons and prisoners is a major factor in safeguarding the health and well-being of the latter. Obliging prison doctors to certify that prisoners are fit to undergo punishment is scarcely likely to promote that relationship. This point was recognised in the Committee of

*The role of a prison doctor in relation to disciplinary matters should be consistent with Rule 43.3 of the European Prison Rules.*³⁰⁵

All injuries to **persons admitted to ZIKS** are thoroughly described and recorded in their medical records. Prison doctor keeps detailed records of objective medical evidence of a prisoner, including a brief reference to the allegations of that person, in most cases.³⁰⁶ However, there is no conclusion as to whether the observed injuries are consistent with the allegations (i.e. whether they have occurred in the manner described by the injured person).

In its Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, the CPT stressed that *“the procedure as regards the recording of injuries is still not satisfactory. Prison doctors recorded the objective medical findings, in a more or less detailed manner, in the personal medical record of the prisoner concerned, and sometimes included a brief reference to allegations made by the person (e.g. “beaten by police officers in Podgorica”). However, there was no conclusion as to whether the injuries observed were consistent with the person’s allegations (i.e. whether they could have been caused in the manner described). It is also noteworthy that the absence of specific registers of traumatic injuries observed on prisoners made it difficult to gain an overview of the situation. Moreover, notwithstanding the legal obligation to report criminal offences pursuant to Sections 227 and 228 of the CCP, it appeared from conversations with prison doctors that they did not have a formal role in notifying a prosecutor of injuries observed on persons arriving from a police establishment”*.

Ministers’ Recommendation Rec (2006)2 on the revised European Prison Rules; indeed, the rule in the previous version of the Rules, stipulating that prison doctors must certify that a prisoner is fit to sustain the punishment of disciplinary confinement, has now been deleted. On the other hand, prison doctors should be very attentive to the situation of prisoners placed in disciplinary isolation/segregation cells, and should report to the prison director whenever a prisoner’s health is being put seriously at risk by being held in disciplinary isolation/segregation. The CPT calls upon the Serbian authorities to review the relevant regulations in line with the recommendation made by the CPT in paragraph 132 of the report on its 2004 visit. As regards the role of prison doctors in relation to disciplinary matters, regard should be had to the revised European Prison Rules (in particular, Rule 43) and the comments made by the CPT in its 15th General Report (see paragraph 53 of CPT/Inf (2005) 17).” CPT, Report on the visit to Serbia, 2007, p. 104.

³⁰⁴ Art. 57, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions: “While serving this disciplinary sanction, a doctor and educator shall visit convicted person at least once per day.”

³⁰⁵ “The medical practitioner shall report to the director whenever it is considered that a prisoner’s physical or mental health is being put seriously at risk by continued imprisonment or by any condition of imprisonment, including conditions of solitary confinement.” European Prison Rules, 43.3.

³⁰⁶ After visiting Montenegro in September 2008, in its Report the CPT described a case of abuse, where the injuries were recorded, but not the inmate’s statement about the cause of the injuries: “Prison medical record contained a detailed description of the injuries observed by the prison doctor who had examined the inmate on 5 September 2008; however, there was no reference to the prisoner’s allegations concerning the cause of the injuries.” (p. 46).

In the same paragraph, the CPT reiterated its recommendation from 2004: *"The CPT reiterates its recommendation that the record drawn up following the medical examination of newly-arrived prisoners contain:*

- (i) a full account of statements made by the person concerned which are relevant to the examination (including his description of his state of health and any allegations of ill-treatment),*
- (ii) a full account of objective medical findings based on a thorough examination, and*
- (iii) the doctor's conclusions in the light of (i) and (ii), indicating the degree of consistency between any allegations made and the objective medical findings.*

Whenever injuries are recorded by a doctor which are consistent with allegations of ill-treatment made by a detained person, the record should be systematically brought to the attention of the relevant prosecutor. Further, the results of the examination, including the above-mentioned statements and the doctor's conclusions, should be made available to the detained person and his lawyer at their request.

It is also important that no barriers should be placed between persons who allege ill-treatment and doctors who can provide forensic reports recognised by the prosecutorial and judicial authorities. It would appear from the information received during the visit that, at present, only courts may ask for a forensic medical examination. The CPT recommends that persons who are or have been detained be formally entitled to directly request a medical examination/certificate from a doctor who has received recognised training in forensic medicine."

We appeal that **all** recommendations outlined in the CPT Report after its visit to Montenegro in September 2008 be fully met. After the recording of injuries, medical record should include **all** the above information. Such report should be submitted to a competent prosecutor systematically. Also, the injured person should be able to seek medical examination from a doctor who has received recognised training in forensic medicine. This can make a positive impact on the overall quality of life in the establishment.³⁰⁷

Recommendations relate to the newly admitted persons and **persons examined after the incident in prison.**³⁰⁸

In the research conducted among inmates, monitors received **unsatisfactory** answers regarding the issues related to the engagement of the Health-care Service after incidents in prison (injuries sustained by force).

³⁰⁷ "Health care service in a given establishment can potentially play an important role in combatting the infliction of ill-treatment, both in that establishment and elsewhere (in particular in police establishments). Moreover, it is well placed to make a positive impact on the overall quality of life in the establishment within which it operates." CPT, 3rd General Report, 1992, p. 30.

³⁰⁸ CPT, Report on the visit to Latvia, 1999, p. 141.

To question no. 9: *If injured by the use of force, were you examined by a doctor?*, 70.6% of prisoners responded with no, and only 29.4% with yes. The respondents who replied to this questions said they had been harmed by the use of force by an officer and/or another prisoner (questions no. 2 and 6).

To question no. 10: *Were you satisfied with the provided medical help?*, of 37 respondents, 43.2% expressed satisfaction, while 56.8% affirmed dissatisfaction with the provided medical help.

Respondents not satisfied with the medical help have been offered an opportunity to explain their reasons for dissatisfaction.

Comments made in open form can be divided into:

Very negative comments on the professional work of doctors,

Very negative comments on the relation of doctors and other medical staff towards the inmates,

Inefficiency and inexpediency of this Service.

To question no. 11: *If harmed by the use of force in ZIKS, did you or your family seek medical opinion from another doctor outside of ZIKS?*, of 114 respondents, 82.5% answered negatively and only 17.5% affirmatively.

Responses the monitors received to question no. 12: *Were you provided an opportunity to see another doctor?*, showed that the required medical examination had been provided only in 15.8% of cases, and rejected in 84.2% of cases.

Prison health-care service has a substantially large impact on the prevention of abuse through systematic recording of injuries to the newly admitted persons and to binmates during their stay in prison, in cases of violence among prisoners or injuries sustained by the use of force. This information should be systematically submitted to competent investigating authorities. The injured person should be allowed a second medical examination if requested. By building a relationship of trust with the persons deprived of liberty, they should be encouraged to without fear turn for help to a prison doctor whenever any kind of force has been applied against them.

It has been noticed that there is no separate register for recording traumatic injuries *observed on prisoners (upon arrival and/or during their stay in prison); it is advisable to set up such register.*

Also, please note that in recent months the press media published articles about the complaints of sentenced persons (or their families) of inadequate medical care. Some of these

cases, unfortunately, we could not evaluate ourselves. In some cases, there was an impression that the problem does not lie with the institution (ZIKS), but the public health institutions that delay the receipt of persons in need of treatment/intervention, due to the lack of capacities and the like. Such situation is unacceptable. It is the duty of the state to provide the persons deprived of liberty as a minimum the same level of health care as to free persons. All deviations from this principle can be considered inhumane and degrading treatment.³⁰⁹

Psychiatric care

A psychiatrist visits the prison twice a week, examining on average ten people per every visit.

According to official information obtained from ZIKS, convicted person who, while serving a sentence, becomes mentally ill or starts showing signs of severe mental disability or a person admitted to prison in such condition, while the establishment has no medical facilities, shall be placed in the appropriate medical institution for treatment and care. Decision on placement of the convicted person under paragraph 1 of this Article shall be made by the head of the establishment at the proposal of medical commission appointed by the Minister of Health. Medical expenses until the end of the sentence of the convicted person shall be borne by the establishment. Convicted person whose sentence ends during the course of treatment in the medical institution shall be recorded as discharged, and the competent social welfare institutions shall be informed of the place of his residence or stay, for further action in order to continue treatment or admission.

If the psychiatrist believes that a person needs consultative psychiatric examination (usually for a decision on possible transfer to civil psychiatric facility), that person is referred for examination to the above-mentioned commission appointed by the Ministry of Health, consisting of two psychiatrists and the prison doctor.

On 27 January 2012, after examination of nine persons, a decision was reached to transfer one of them from the prison to Special Psychiatric Hospital *Dobrota* in Kotor for hospitalization, because it was estimated that the mental state of that person required hospital treatment. Chief of the Health-care Service informed the monitors that the person had been transferred from ZIKS to Special Psychiatric Hospital in Kotor the following day, 28 January.

Capacity of the Judicial Department in the Special Psychiatric Hospital *Dobrota* in Kotor is limited. In addition, the protocol on the work of this department still does not exist.³¹⁰ ZIKS Health-care Service staff informed us that it happens in practice that patients who require hospitalization are placed on a waiting list.

³⁰⁹ “The CPT recalls that obliging prisoners to stay in an establishment where they cannot receive appropriate treatment due to a lack of suitable facilities or because such facilities refuse to admit them, is an unacceptable state of affairs which could amount to inhuman and degrading treatment.” CPT, Visit to Ukraine, 2005, p. 115.

*All persons deprived of their liberty who have serious psychiatric problems must be placed in appropriate institutions, whether civil psychiatric facilities or special psychiatric departments within the prison system. Whichever course is chosen, it should provide an emergency transfer, without waiting lists.*³¹¹

We support the announced construction of the Special Hospital in ZIKS and consider it the matter of the highest priority. However, since its opening is expected in 2014, it is necessary to find an interim solution. One possibility could imply temporary use of the accommodation capacity of the former Special Hospital on the top floor of the Remand Prison building for accommodating inmates with mental health problems. Of course, the Institution should hire an adequate number of trained medical staff, because it is necessary that such persons are placed in the "medical" environment. In this way, optimum security conditions would also be met.

The Prison, i.e. the solitary confinement cell in the Remand Prison, currently accommodates M.Z., who was ordered by the court in late 2007 the measure of mandatory psychiatric treatment and care in a medical institution (Art. 69 of the Criminal Code of Montenegro. This is a person mentioned by the CPT in its Report after the 2008 visit to Montenegro in paragraph 68³¹²: "...the delegation came across a mentally ill prisoner at the Remand Prison in Podgorica who had been sentenced to compulsory treatment but who nevertheless remained at the prison, reportedly because of the lack of a secure forensic psychiatric unit to which he could be transferred.

This person had been held in conditions of solitary confinement since January 2006; during the first 3 months, he had allegedly been handcuffed to his bed with both hands, and for the following 8 months, with one hand. After complaining to the Administration, the prisoner had eventually been allowed to go out into the yard for some 15-20 minutes on certain days.

³¹⁰ Report on respect for human rights in psychiatric institutions in Montenegro, November 2011, available at: http://www.hraction.org/wp-content/uploads/Postovanje_ljudskih_prava_u_psihijatrijskim_ustanovama_nov2011.pdf.

³¹¹ CPT, 3rd General Report, 1992, p. 43: "A mentally ill prisoner should be kept and cared for in a hospital facility which is adequately equipped and possesses appropriately trained staff. That facility could be a civil mental hospital or a specially equipped psychiatric facility within the prison system. On the one hand, it is often advanced that, from an ethical standpoint, it is appropriate for mentally ill prisoners to be hospitalised outside the prison system, in institutions for which the public health service is responsible. On the other hand, it can be argued that the provision of psychiatric facilities within the prison system enables care to be administered in optimum conditions of security, and the activities of medical and social services intensified within that system. Whichever course is chosen, the accommodation capacity of the psychiatric facility in question should be adequate; too often there is a prolonged waiting period before a necessary transfer is effected. The transfer of the person concerned to a psychiatric facility should be treated as a matter of the highest priority."

³¹² CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro.

It is axiomatic that prisoners in need of hospital treatment should be promptly transferred to appropriate medical facilities. To keep a mentally ill person in a prison setting, in conditions of solitary confinement and without appropriate human contact and nursing support, may aggravate his illness and could easily constitute inhuman and degrading treatment. Moreover, handcuffing a prisoner to his bed or other immovable objects for such a prolonged period of time is totally unacceptable.”

Although the CPT strongly urged that the Montenegrin authorities take immediate steps to resolve the situation of M.Z. in terms of accommodation in a special psychiatric hospital, because the conditions in Podgorica Prison are not adequate for mentally ill inmates, this person is **still** in the solitary confinement cell in the Remand Prison.

More than four years have passed since the court ordered a measure of mandatory treatment of the convicted person M.Z. The fact that all that time M.Z. has been residing in prison conditions, without **constant** supervision of a psychiatrist³¹³, represents a form of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment prohibited by the Constitution of Montenegro and international agreements binding Montenegro.

In an official letter no. 04-7777/11 of 11 November 2011, the Ministry of Justice informed the Human Rights Action that this Ministry had submitted an appeal for transfer to Serbia of convicted M.Z. to the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Serbia, taking into account the request of the above person to be transferred to Serbia for treatment. However, until the conclusion of this Report, on 8 June 2012, M.Z. was still held in ZIKS in the same conditions.

It is necessary to intensify efforts to urgently transfer this person to an appropriate institution for treatment and care. His further stay in ZIKS is absolutely unacceptable.

In the monitoring Report on respect for human rights in psychiatric institutions,³¹⁴ paragraph 6.1 describes a case of a mentally ill person F.S., who spent 18 bedridden after being admitted to the Remand Prison, without a psychiatric examination. The Report recommends the Police Directorate, Ministry of Justice and courts to ensure that in every case of suspected mental condition of an offender, that person be examined by a psychiatrist and/or referred to an appropriate expertise in a psychiatric institution, while also noting that the practice of continuous fixation to bed is unacceptable.

However, despite this recommendation, on 23 February 2012 daily newspaper *Dnevne novine* issued the information that detainee A.Ž. stated before the investigating judge that he

³¹³ Please note that a psychiatrist, who comes to prison twice a week, occasionally visits this person. Commission established by the Ministry of Health does not visit this person during their visits to ZIKS.

³¹⁴ Report on respect for human rights in psychiatric institutions in Montenegro, November 2011, available at: http://www.hracion.org/wp-content/uploads/Postovanje_ljudskih_prava_u_psihijatrijskim_ustanovama_nov2011.pdf.

had been „fixated there“ during the first two months of his stay in the Remand Prison. Expert witness gave an opinion that A.Ž. had a chronic mental illness - schizophrenia, and that at the time of the murder he had been unable to comprehend the importance of his act (daily *Vijesti*, 19 April 2012).

The monitoring team expresses its concern that the practice of prolonged mechanical restriction of freedom of movement of mentally ill persons in ZIKS is repeating. Decision criterion remains unknown, since the Prison does not have a register for recording the circumstances that led to the implementation of this measure. Are these the severity and type of the committed crime? Or person's behaviour on admission to prison, which indicates that a person is mentally ill? Whatever the background, this practice constitutes a violation of international human rights standards.

Prolonged fixation (18 days in the first case and even two months in the second case) is unacceptable. Duration of fixation should be as short as possible and that time should be measured in minutes, rather than hours.³¹⁵

*It is necessary to prevent the recurrence of the prolonged fixation of mentally ill persons in ZIKS, as this represents an example of **ill-treatment**.*

Agitated patients should be treated in a different environment, preferably hospital, and their freedom of movement in prison conditions should not be hindered.³¹⁶

Furthermore, a special register should be introduced for accurately recording all cases of resorting to measures of physical (mechanical) restricting of freedom of movement.³¹⁷

³¹⁵ The duration of fixation should be for the shortest possible time (usually minutes rather than hours). The exceptional prolongation of restraint should warrant a further review by a doctor. Restraint for periods of days at a time cannot have any justification and would amount to ill-treatment. CPT, Visit to Denmark, 2008, p. 71.

³¹⁶ Regarding its appropriate use, immobilisation should only be used as a last resort to prevent the risk of harm to the individual or others and only when all other reasonable options would fail satisfactorily to contain those risks; it should never be used as a punishment or to compensate for shortages of trained staff; it should not be used in a non-medical setting when hospitalisation would be a more appropriate intervention. CPT, Visit to Denmark, 2008, p. 71.

³¹⁷ A special register should be kept to record all cases in which recourse is had to means of restraint; the entry should include the times at which the measure began and ended, the circumstances of the case, the reasons for resorting to the measure, the name of the doctor who ordered or approved it, and an account of any injuries sustained by the person or staff. CPT, Visit to Liechtenstein, 2007, p. 47.

Prison hunger strike

The last "mass" hunger strike in ZIKS took place in February 2012. According to the Health-care Service staff, it lasted a couple of days. Total of 136 people from the Remand Prison and 119 from the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners reported to the Health-care Service staff they were on a hunger strike.

Reportedly, those days the health-care service was in a state of "readiness." All inmates who wanted so were examined at the beginning of the strike, and their body weight recorded. Most of the prisoners reportedly refused medical examination. Infusion therapy was given to all those who asked. There was no need for emergency interventions and "no one's health was jeopardized". No guidelines on action in the case of hunger strike has been provided by the Ministry (there is no protocol), nor have the employees considered that there was a need for such document, since they were familiar with the Malta Declaration³¹⁸ and the position the health-care service needed to take during the hunger strike.

As for "**sporadic**" hunger strikes, 67.7% of prisoners confirmed the hunger strike during the past month, while 32.3% of the surveyed population denied this (question no. 60). It may be concluded that prisoners go on hunger strike voluntarily. Of 239 people who responded to this question, only 1.2% joined the strike involuntarily (question no. 61: *Did you voluntarily agree to the hunger strike?*)

Answers to question no. 62: *Were you examined by a doctor during the hunger strike?* provided a different picture than that presented by the staff in the Health-care Service. Only 8.8% of inmates who responded to this question (239) confirmed that they had been examined by a doctor, while as many as 91.2% of respondents denied contact with the Health-care Service. Six convicts did not answer this question.

Malta Declaration requires the performance of a detailed physical examination at the beginning of a hunger strike, but also further daily contact with the strikers. Full respect needs to be paid to all aspects of the Malta Declaration.

Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions of Montenegro does not contain an explicit regulation providing for an adoption of a protocol that specifies actions of the health-care service in the event of a hunger strike, as well as of other ZIKS services, to ensure respect for human rights in this situation.

In order to adopt more precise regulation on a hunger strike of prisoners/detainees, it is necessary to amend the Law and introduce a provision that contains an explicit authority

³¹⁸ Declaration of Malta on Hunger Strikers was adopted in November 1991 by the 43rd World Medical Assembly in Malta and editorially revised at the 44th World Medical Assembly, Marbella, Spain, in September 1992, and revised again in October 2006 at the General Assembly of the World Medical Association held in South Africa.

*of the competent ministry to adopt a protocol which closely regulates the actions of the health care service in the event of a hunger strike of prisoners/detainees. The protocol should apply to prisoners and detainees, who have a different position and rights under the criminal law, but are in a similar position during a hunger strike. **It is particularly important to define the scope of doctor's examination to estimate somatic functions.** Precisely defined provision would ensure a detailed examination and uniform treatment in each individual case of a hunger striker in a penal institution. The protocol should emphasize the importance of keeping medical records, especially from the forensic point of view, in case of an unfavourable outcome (death of a striker).*

Hepatitis C

According to information received from employees in ZIKS Health-care Service, a large percentage of convicted/detained persons is addicted to psychoactive substances. Some of these persons reported that they had been diagnosed with hepatitis C upon the admission to the prison. During their stay in prison these persons have not been referred to further diagnostic evaluation, or consequently the treatment that they needed. Also, a number of persons reasonably suspected to be the carriers of hepatitis C virus have not been tested. Reportedly, the reason for such situation was of financial nature. However, it has not been clearly established who would bear the costs of diagnostic evaluation and possible treatment.

Taking into account all financial difficulties Montenegro is facing, it is still the country's duty to provide the necessary care which calls for effective methods of prevention, screening, and treatment to all persons deprived of liberty.³¹⁹

Such unacceptable situation began to change for the better in March 2012 when the Institute of Public Health conducted a study on the presence of HIV and viral hepatitis B and C among inmates.

The study involved 309 volunteer prisoners.

„The main goal was to test a representative sample of the prison population to obtain data on the prevalence of HIV, viral hepatitis B and viral hepatitis C, as well as socio-demographic and behavioural characteristics, as a basis to develop targeted and data-based programs for the prevention and control of these infections among inmates. This was an anonymous behavioural-biological study which has so far been conducted in many countries

³¹⁹ The CPT is aware that in periods of economic difficulties - such as those encountered today in many countries visited by the CPT - sacrifices have to be made, including in penitentiary establishments. However, regardless of the difficulties faced at any given time, the act of depriving a person of his liberty always entails a duty of care which calls for effective methods of prevention, screening, and treatment. Compliance with this duty by public authorities is all the more important when it is a question of care required to treat life-threatening diseases. CPT, 11th General Report, 2001, p. 31.

in epidemiological studies of HIV in the inmate population“, stated the representative of the Institute of Public Health.³²⁰

Monitors have been informed that the Ministry of Health and ZIKS established an agreement that all the prisoners that report positive test results to the prison doctor shall be subjected to appropriate treatment.

Also, we have been informed that one prisoner is soon to start treatment for hepatitis C at the Clinic for Infectious Diseases of the Clinical Centre of Montenegro, after a biopsy of the liver.

Treatment costs will be borne by the Health Insurance Fund of Montenegro.

We commend the efforts of the relevant institutions in overcoming the recent unacceptable situation and appeal that all persons suffering from Hepatitis C are provided the necessary treatment.

Treatment of psychoactive substance abusers

The presence of a large and growing number of prisoners with addiction brings more difficulties for the prison Administration, from the health, but also the security standpoint.³²¹ Availability of drugs in prisons undermines the overall quality of life in prison, and may adversely affect the work motivation of individual guards. The problem can not be solved solely by applying security measures that reduce the supply of drugs into prison. Efforts must be directed towards reducing the demand for drugs, which can be achieved only by offering different treatment options.

³²⁰ Daily *Vijesti*, 23 May 2012.

³²¹ See the Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 150, for information that every year the number of drug addicts in ZIKS increases.

It is not easy to provide a simple single answer to a question of how to adequately help prisoners with addiction. Admission to prison is a good opportunity to begin addressing this issue, thus adequate medical care must be available. Offered treatments should include detoxification programs, as well as substitute programs for those in need. They must be accompanied by intensive psycho-social and educational programs. Of course, the staff should be trained on topics regarding psychoactive substance abuse.

With therapeutic programs, prisoners should be offered an opportunity to acquire skills that would help them lead socially adapted life after their release from prison.

It is very important that the prison administration make efforts to enable the persons who do not have a problem with drugs, as well as those who have established abstinence from drugs, the stay in the environment "clean" of drugs.³²² This reduces the risk with the first category to develop addiction while serving a sentence and helps prisoners from the second category to maintain the established drug abstinence. Stay in drug free units is regulated by special agreements specifying the obligations of both parties (consent to testing for psychoactive substances and, on the other hand, certain privileges as long as the convict respects the prescribed rules).

Inmates who enter prison with the problem of drug addiction are offered only the symptomatic treatment (medications for pain, diarrhea, insomnia). Prisoners who had already been on the methadone program in one of the three methadone centres in Montenegro are enabled to continue the treatment, which is commendable³²³ and this practice should continue, with the need to consider the possibility of introducing substitution therapy in the very prison.

As of February 2010, NGO 4Life has been implementing the program of rehabilitation and social reintegration of substance abusers. Group therapy is conducted twice a week and led by former addicts, a psychologist and a social worker. For more than a year, this program takes place on a voluntary basis.

³²² "It is important that the prison authorities make efforts to provide an environment in which prisoners without drug problems do not develop them and those who have such problems are helped to overcome them." CPT, Report on the visit to Ireland 1998, p. 82. "The setting up of a drug-free wing in prisons for certain categories of prisoners, inter alia those having completed treatment programmes prior to or during imprisonment, might also be considered." CPT, Report on the visit to Greece 2009, p.139.

³²³ "The CPT considers that the practice of stopping methadone maintenance from one day to another is neither humane nor best medical practice." CPT, Report on the visit to Bosnia and Herzegovina 2009, p. 31.

Recommendations

Provide continued education of prison staff on topics related to drugs, as a precondition for constructive and supportive relations with the addicted population.

Maintain the continuity of education and counselling programs for prison population.

Establish a Drug-free unit.

Expand therapeutic offer for those who enter the prison with the dependence.

Provide state financial support to NGO projects related to education, rehabilitation and re-socialization of psychoactive substance abusers.

Bijelo Polje Prison

Medical staff consists of 2 medical technicians who work full-time in day and night shifts. There is also a doctor, available for urgent cases. Medical examination of newly admitted persons is performed on the day of admission to prison.

Office where examinations are performed does not have an ECG machine.

We suggest that the medical office be equipped with ECG machine.

Dental office started operating and equipment is satisfactory.

Specialist examinations are conducted in the local Health-care centre.

Medical record is opened upon admission. Confidentiality of medical records is respected.

In case of recording injuries at admission to the prison, these are entered in the personal health record. Register for recording traumatic injuries has not been established.

We propose the introduction of a special register for recording traumatic injuries, both on admission to prison and during the stay in prison.

The physician is required to declare whether a person is "capable" of undergoing the enforcement of a disciplinary measure of solitary confinement. *We recommend that this practice be changed in accordance with the revised European Prison Rules, as specified.*

Monitors have not received complaints of detainees about the medical service treatment.

Recommendations

Number of medical technicians is still below the optimal level and needs to be doubled.

In order to stimulate health professionals to work in prison conditions, it is necessary to offer them a contract of indefinite duration and other benefits (higher salary coefficient for work in difficult conditions, longer vacations, etc).

Hire a psychologist who would be a part of the Health-care Service and whose primary task would imply psychotherapeutic work with detainees and prisoners.

Increase the number of psychiatrist's working hours, since the existing engagement twice a week for several hours is insufficient.

Provide at least two additional ECG machine, a device for defibrillation and equip a mini laboratory.

Expressly prescribe the right of prisoners to access their medical records, which may be restricted only in exceptional cases, when contraindicated for therapeutic reasons.

The existing practice where a guard attends medical examination of prisoners should be changed immediately. It would be advisable to install security alarms in examination rooms, which would enable health professionals to call for help if a patient becomes violent or tries to escape.

Medical findings after recording injuries should contain all necessary information, as recommended by the CPT. Such records should systematically be brought to the attention of the competent prosecutor. Also, the injured person should be entitled to request a medical examination from a doctor who has received recognized training in forensic medicine.

Establish a separate register for recording traumatic injuries observed on prisoners (upon the admission and/or during their stay in prison).

Prevent recurrence of cases of prolonged fixation of the mentally ill in ZIKS, as this represents an example of abuse.

Urgently refer M.Z. to treatment and care in an appropriate institution in the country or abroad.

Consistently comply with all aspects of the Malta Declaration. Amend the law and specifically authorize the competent ministry to adopt the protocol on a manner of conduct of the Health-care Service in case of a hunger strike of inmates (both detained and convicted persons). It is particularly important to define the scope of doctor's examination in assessing somatic functions.

It is advisable to equip the medical office in Bijelo Polje Prison with ECG machine.

It is advisable to introduce a special register to record traumatic injuries, both on admission to prison and during the stay in prison.

Make sure that the doctor in charge of the prisoner does not declare whether that person is capable of serving the disciplinary measure of solitary confinement.

Without further delay, enable the treatment in the hospital for infectious diseases to all persons suffering from Hepatitis C, in accordance with doctor's recommendations.

Provide the training for prison staff on topics related to drugs.

Maintain the continuity of educational and counselling programs for prison population on the problem of substance abuse.

Establish a Drug-free unit.

Expand therapeutic offer for those who enter the prison with the dependence.

Provide state financial support to NGO projects related to education, rehabilitation and resocialization of substance abusers.

6. TREATMENT

Treatment of detained persons

According to the CPT standard, one should aim at ensuring that prisoners in remand establishments are able to spend a reasonable part of the day (8 hours or more) outside their cells, engaged in purposeful activity of a varied nature.³²⁴ "Prisoners cannot simply be left to languish for weeks, possibly months, locked up in their cells, and this regardless of how good material conditions might be within the cells."³²⁵

In remand prisons in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje treatment of detainees is extremely poor, in addition to bad material conditions in the cells.³²⁶ Detainees are subjected to far less favourable regime compared to sentenced persons, they are not offered employment or educational trainings, there are no organized activities outside the closed cells in which they reside. The only activity practiced outside their cells is a stay in the fresh air twice a day for 30 minutes, which is in accordance with minimum international standard.³²⁷ However, the Criminal Procedure Code guarantees at least two hours a day in the fresh air, within the prison (Art. 182, para. 2), but this provision is not applied in practice. *It is necessary to provide the conditions for the consistent application of this right of detained persons guaranteed by law in the shortest time possible.*

The said activity is not carried out on Fridays, because, according to the staff, "it is physically impossible to organize and incorporate both the visits and walks of detainees."³²⁸ It should be noted that the visits are always organized in a closed room. In case of bad weather conditions, a large number of detainees do not use this right, because the paths for this purpose are not covered. The same situation was found during the 2008 visit of the CPT.³²⁹ On this occasion, the CPT noted that, if necessary, the legislation should be amended in order to review the regime of remand prisoners and ensure that all remand prisoners are offered the possibility to spend a significant portion of time out of their cells engaged in purposeful activities of different types (work, education, sport, recreation/socializing, etc).³³⁰

³²⁴ The CPT standards, p. 47.

³²⁵ Ibid.

³²⁶ More on accommodation conditions in detention in the section Accommodation conditions.

³²⁷ According to the CPT standards, p. 48 and European Prison Rules, p. 27.1.

³²⁸ Monitoring visit to the Remand Prison conducted in January 2012, Podgorica.

³²⁹ "The only out-of-cell activity available to them was outdoor exercise taken in two 30-minute periods (however, exercise was apparently not available on Fridays)." CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 57.

³³⁰ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 58.

Remand prisons in Podgorica and Bijelo Polje do not have common areas; detainees are forced to spend their days in the cells which are not spacious enough. Unfortunately, detainees spend most of their free time doing nothing, watching TV or playing card or board games (monopoly). For comparison, in detention at the prison Koper in Slovenia 12 detainees were employed and all detainees had the opportunity to spend 4 hours every day outside of their cells, in the gym or yard, practicing team sports.³³¹ There is no gym in the Remand Prison in Podgorica; detained persons have fitness equipment in their rooms (dumbbells and bars brought from home), where they exercise.

Work engagement of detainees is not common in practice, although envisaged in the European Prison Rules and national legislation.³³² At the time of the monitoring visit to Podgorica Remand Prison, only two persons have been engaged as assistant cooks, delivering meals to detainees. *It is necessary to revise the overall treatment of detainees, provide them with the possibility of work engagement and spending a portion of their free time in purposeful activities, in accordance with international standards.*

Treatment of sentenced persons

European Prison Rules emphasize that imprisonment is a punishment in itself by the deprivation of liberty and therefore the regime for sentenced prisoners must not aggravate the suffering inherent in imprisonment.³³³

The regime for sentenced prisoners includes: work, education, other activities and preparation for release.³³⁴ In addition, the national Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions stipulates that the purpose of imprisonment is to "deter the offender from future crimes, strengthen morale and influence the development of social responsibility, i.e. teach persons to live in freedom in accordance with the law and generally accepted rules".³³⁵ During the

³³¹ "As regards remand prisoners, 12 of them had a job. The remainder could spend up to four hours a day outside their cells (from 8 to noon), using the fitness rooms, taking outside exercise or associating with other remand prisoners. The CPT welcomes this approach and hopes that efforts will continue to be made to develop the regime of activities for remand prisoners at Koper Prison." CPT, Report on the visit to Slovenia, 2006, p. 65.

³³² "Untried prisoners shall be offered the opportunity to work but shall not be required to work." European Prison Rules, p. 100.1. According to Art. 182, para 5 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions: "Detained person may be required to perform the work necessary to maintain the cleanliness of the room in which he resides. If so required by a detainee, the investigating judge or the presiding judge, in agreement with the prison administration, may allow him to work within the prison on jobs appropriate to his mental and physical characteristics, provided that it is not detrimental to the proceedings. For such work a detained person is entitled to compensation as determined by the person managing the prison."

³³³ European Prison Rules, p. 102. 2.

³³⁴ European Prison Rules, p. 103. 4.

³³⁵ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 14.

monitoring, the team gained the impression that in ZIKS insufficient attention is paid to the achievement of the above purpose of serving a prison sentence, as explained below in more detail.

One of the major issues regarding the implementation of quality treatment of sentenced persons in ZIKS is the lack of sufficient number of qualified staff in the Treatment Sector. Educational groups are extremely numerous. Section for the treatment implementation³³⁶ employs eight educators, the Prison for short sentences two educators³³⁷, of the following professional backgrounds: special education teachers (defectologists), social workers, psychologists and pedagogues. According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, the optimal number of sentenced persons in one educational group that one educator is responsible for should be 35-40. However, educational groups in Podgorica Prison have 60 to 100 prisoners. In Bijelo Polje Prison situation is even worse - educational group has about 150 prisoners. This definitely has a negative impact on the quality of their treatment; it is directly reflected in the number and duration of individual interviews, as well as the organization of group work with prisoners. According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, due to that very fact, the work of educators mostly entails helping sentenced persons solve a variety of everyday issues and problems. *It is necessary to employ a minimum of five more educators in the Section for the treatment implementation in Podgorica Prison and three educators in Bijelo Polje Prison.* Former sentenced persons, as well as those currently in prison, informed the monitors that the role of an educator usually implies helping prisoners in the writing of appeals and requests: "The professors are just postmen, it all comes down to submission of appeals..."³³⁸

In the survey³³⁹ conducted among the sentenced persons in Podgorica, to question no. 45: *When you ask to speak with the professor, do you wait a long time?*, 39.3% of respondents answered affirmatively and 60.7% negatively. Opinions of the former interviewed convicts on this issue are also divided – 5 of them said they had waited for a long time to have a conversation with the professor, while 6 answered negatively. In an open form, prisoners express very different experiences regarding their contact with the professor.

Most sentenced persons interviewed by monitors in the presence of ZIKS staff stated that their relationship with educators is good, that they are able to discuss various issues with them, that educators support them and help them within their mandate. Results of research conducted among sentenced persons in the Podgorica Prison show that more than

³³⁶ Section for the treatment implementation and Section for personality examination operate within the Department for Treatment (Institution for Sentenced Prisoners).

³³⁷ In ZIKS educators are commonly referred to as "professors".

³³⁸ Interview with the former convicts Ž.Š., S.M. and B.B. in March 2012.

³³⁹ Research "Respect for human rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions – views of inmates", March/April 2012, Podgorica. Statistical analysis of the data was carried out by Dr. Olivera Komar and Radmila Bogojević from the Faculty of Political Science.

one quarter (26.3%) of respondents assessed their relationship with educators as poor, half (51%) as good and 22.7% as excellent. In the Prison for short sentences, only 8.3% rated their relationship with educators as excellent, 51.4% rated the relationship as good, and as many as 40.3% as poor. In an open form, inmates provided different answers - some were very satisfied and expressed their compliments while others were rather unhappy and critical. Of 11 former convicts the monitors interviewed, five of them believe that their relationship with educators was bad, four that it was good, while only two assessed the relationship as excellent. Answers to this question are obviously based on a subjective judgment, but in interviews with current and former sentenced persons it has been noticed that certain educators enjoy exceptional respect, unlike others who are much less favoured.

Complaint of a prisoner from the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, with whom the monitors spoke, refers to the fact that "some professors do not submit letters to the Director". The prisoner claims that he does not receive responses from those that he addresses his appeals, complaints or requests to. However, these claims may not be accurate, because of the possible tardiness of persons the requests have been addressed to. The practice of educators submitting letters of sentenced persons to the prison authorities should be abolished, as it can lead to distortion of the trust relationship which must exist between the professor and convicted persons. ***It is advisable to set up mailboxes in each prison unit or establish a service where prisoners could submit their letters, appeals and requests for the Administration and receive a confirmation with the filing number.***

We believe that a major problem in the functioning of the Section for the treatment implementation is the lack of clear division of work in accordance with the occupational profile of each educator. Work of an educator is performed by special education teachers, psychologists, pedagogues and social workers, while each educator has their own educational group. There is no initial training and educators rely solely on the knowledge gained during their studies. Based on interviews with persons serving a prison sentence and former prisoners, as well as the results of anonymous survey, it has been concluded that prison educators also provide legal advice. One of the comments on the work of educators was: "He was poorly informed about law and could not answer anything with certainty". Providing legal advice should in no case be educators' job. In the German prison system each expert carries out a portion of work he/she is specialized for, while there is also team work of all those involved in the treatment of prisoners. "Pedagogues-teachers lead trainings and manage prison library, conduct courses and seminars, coordinate and plan leisure time. Pedagogues also participate in additional education of prison staff. Psychologists have an important role in the treatment of prisoners through applying specific therapeutic methods (discursive therapy, behavioural therapy, counselling, etc.). Essentially, psychologists perform individual and group therapy treatment, psycho-diagnostic and prognostic activities and the like. Social workers and social pedagogues are the most numerous professions in the social service, they provide social aid to inmates while in the institution, as well as after their release from prison."³⁴⁰ It is necessary to develop a similar treatment implementation system in ZIKS, ***with***

³⁴⁰ Basic Characteristics of the Penitentiary System in Germany, Zoran Stevanović, p. 175, udk: 343. 291 (430),

integrated and functional treatment of prisoners and clearly defined scope of work for all employees, where everyone would perform duties they are qualified for. Also, it is necessary to organize continuous training for employees in the Section for the treatment implementation on new methods of work, because the personality profile of prisoners is changing, as well as training for early detection of mental disorders and suicide risk in inmates. During an interview with the educators, monitors concluded and wish to herein emphasize that they are very willing and open to all kinds of training and study visits that would contribute to their professional development.

A particular problem is the treatment, and, in general, the position of foreign prisoners who serve their sentences in ZIKS. Because of the language barrier and the fact that ZIKS does not provide an interpreter, or even translation of the House Rules, people who speak foreign languages are not included in the otherwise poor activities, making the position and imprisonment of these individuals extremely difficult. An especially difficult circumstance is their inability to communicate with the group, causing these persons to become lonely, isolated and left to themselves. These facts have been established during an interview with a Romanian citizen serving her time in prison Podgorica. *It is necessary to offer foreign prisoners the same activities and work engagement as to other prisoners by engaging an interpreter. It is necessary to provide additional support to overcome isolation and improve difficult position of these persons which are the result of language and cultural barriers. Provide for translation of the House Rules in several languages.*

During an interview with staff of the Treatment Sector, monitors learned that due to the scope, complexity and difficulty of their work, they are exposed to professional stress on a daily basis. At the same time, not one professional supervision program has been implemented so far,³⁴¹ nor the program for preventing burn-out syndrome, which occurs as a result of professional stress. There is no evaluation of the treatment of sentenced persons, necessary to determine the appropriateness of planned activities and their impact on social rehabilitation of sentenced person and reduction of recidivism. *Organization of such professional programs would raise the level of professionalism and improve the treatment of persons deprived of their liberty.*

Admission of sentenced persons

According to the European Prison Rules, as soon as possible after the admission, reports shall be drawn up for sentenced prisoners about their personal situations, the proposed sentence plans for each of them and the strategy for preparation for their release.³⁴²

Institute for Criminological and Sociological researches, Belgrade, 2008.

³⁴¹ Supervision is a professional intervention necessary in the professional development of those who work directly with people and one of the key methods for ensuring development and providing quality service in the field of helping professions ("helpers"- experts in humanities who work directly with people).

³⁴² European Prison Rules, p. 103.2.

Persons sentenced to imprisonment for more than six months are referred to the Section for personality examination, which is a part of the Treatment Sector, and consists of: Section Head, psychologist, social worker and criminologist. According to the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, personality examination of sentenced persons may last up to 30 days.³⁴³ Persons serving a sentence of less than six months are immediately referred to the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica or Bijelo Polje Prison, where the process of personality examination involves only a special education teacher who is the only person employed in the Treatment Sector in this Prison.

In the Section for personality examination, the above experts conduct criminological, psychological, pedagogical and sociological examination of personality. According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, this part of the treatment is conducted "professionally and adequately", despite the fact that during the past year the expert team composition changed even three times due to the difficulty of work and low wages.³⁴⁴

In an interview with a female prisoner, the monitors learned that the experts involved in examining personality visited the said prisoner only on 28th and 29th day of her stay in the admission department and that interviews with each of the experts lasted from 30 minutes to one hour. Similar responses on the duration and number of interviews in the admissions department were received from other interviewed convicts and former convicts.³⁴⁵ One of the former prisoners specifically stated: "The sessions are never individual, but always in a group of five to six people. Afterwards we are referred to one of the three units (A, B, D)."³⁴⁶ Individual reports developed in the process of psychosocial diagnostics of sentenced persons³⁴⁷ are forwarded to the Section for the treatment implementation. The report that monitors had access to satisfied the intended form, but it is questionable whether such a complicated process as personality examination can be carried out successfully based on one or two interviews with each expert, especially given the psychological state of persons who have just arrived at prison. Unduly long stay at the admissions department and small number of interviews is justified by the Treatment Sector with insufficient number of employees in the Section for personality examination, who, due to the large inflow of convicts, cannot physically achieve a higher level of work efficiency. ***To achieve higher quality and efficiency of the personality examination process, it is necessary to employ three more persons of appropriate professional profile in the Section for personality examination.***

³⁴³ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 32.

³⁴⁴ Interview with the Head of the Treatment Department, November 2011.

³⁴⁵ Mentioned information was confirmed by a number of convicts and 11 former convicts of Podgorica Prison.

³⁴⁶ "One in four prisoners in Spuž are recidivists", Aida Sadiković, *Vijesti*, 15 April 2012.

³⁴⁷ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 71.

Classification groups

House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences stipulate that convicted persons shall be classified into one of the five classification groups (Art. 16-22). However, there are only four classification groups in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners:

- I classification group – the Semi-open unit,
- II and III classification group – persons from these two groups are placed in the closed part of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, the so-called "circle". However, both the prisoners and staff in the Treatment Sector confirmed that there is no difference in treatment between these two groups, except that "the second group is a step closer to the Semi-open unit", and
- IV classification group – persons repeatedly punished for disciplinary offences.

Open unit does not exist in ZIKS practice, although the House Rules provide that convicted persons in I classification group are placed in this unit.³⁴⁸ The lack of open unit, where the prisoners would be prepared for their release from prison, contributes to their more difficult position in society after leaving ZIKS.³⁴⁹

Convicted persons are referred to one of the four classification groups based on the results of personality examination, length of sentence, type of offense, prior convictions, personal characteristics and manner of arrival to prison.

Transition from one classification group to another depends on the Treatment Sector decision, which is based on the behaviour and conduct of a convicted person, commitment to work, achieved degree of treatment implementation and length of time served, with the prior opinion of the Security Sector and the Sector for employment of prisoners.³⁵⁰ Several convicts complained about educators' objectivity when making decisions on re-categorization or delay in making a decision. In mid-April 2012, a prisoner went on hunger strike because he had not been referred to a more favourable classification group.³⁵¹ However, an inmate has the right to file an appeal or complaint to the Chief of the organizational unit if he finds that his rights have been violated, or because of irregularities committed against him³⁵²; he also has the right to address an authorized official of the Ministry of Justice, who supervises the

³⁴⁸ Art. 17, *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences* in ZIKS, Podgorica, August 2011.

³⁴⁹ See Postpenal treatment below.

³⁵⁰ Art. 22, *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences* in ZIKS, Podgorica, August 2011.

³⁵¹ *Daily Vijesti*, 17 April 2012, p. 15.

³⁵² Art. 26, *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences* in ZIKS, Podgorica, August 2011.

legality of the enforcement of a prison sentence.³⁵³ The Report of the Government states that the complaints of inmates referred to "unequal position of certain convicts with regard to non-compliance with the criteria for classification and reclassification of inmates into one of the four classification groups, as well as to changes to the treatment of inmates from the closed to semi-open unit of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners".³⁵⁴ Convicted person may institute an administrative dispute before the Administrative Court against the decision of the Ministry of Justice. To our knowledge, as of early 2010 there was only one case of a prisoner addressing the Administrative Court.

In Serbia, this problem has been addressed following the example of the practice in European prisons, by preparing questionnaires with clearly established criteria, each one of which is scored. Transfer from one to another classification group depends on the number of points "won". The questionnaire is public and available to all prisoners, so that they can calculate how many points they have earned, i.e. which classification group they should be referred to.³⁵⁵ *We believe that the introduction of such a system of objectification of the criteria would be motivating for prisoners.*

Work engagement

European Prison Rules emphasize that prison authorities should strive to provide sufficient work of a useful nature.³⁵⁶

During the stay in the admissions department, convicted persons declare whether they wish to be employed. Convict can change this decision during the stay in prison. According to information received from ZIKS Administration and staff, inmates who want employment wait no longer than a month or two upon the admission for vacant position. However, this information has not been confirmed by convicts.

During one of the visits to units B and D, monitors had a chance to visit most of the rooms, which accommodated a large number of unemployed prisoners. One inmate stated that he had been "there only about 6 months," knowing that he would have to wait longer for a job vacancy. Information obtained from other prisoners also suggests that they usually wait long time for employment. On average, one to two inmates per room are employed (rooms in these units accommodate four to six prisoners) and they were not in their rooms during the visit. When asked whether they want to work, about 2/3 of the total number of prisoners who

³⁵³ Art. 31, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Podgorica, August 2011.

³⁵⁴ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 34.

³⁵⁵ Interview with Žarko Marković, Belgrade Centre for Human Rights monitoring team coordinator, April 2012.

³⁵⁶ European Prison Rules, p. 26.2.

were in the rooms provided affirmative answer, stating that they have no options, while 1/3 was not interested in employment.

The results of an anonymous survey among sentenced persons in Podgorica show that 88.9% of respondents want to be employed, while 11.1% do not. Respondents who answered affirmatively were asked whether they had been provided an opportunity to work, and 61.6% of them responded yes, while 38.4% respondents said no. In the Prison for short sentences in Podgorica, 78.4% of prisoners said that they had been provided an opportunity to work and 21.4% not, even though they had been interested in work. All eleven former prisoners interviewed by monitors said that they wanted employment, but that only five of them had been engaged. One of them said: "Getting a job in ZIKS is the same as on the outside: if you know someone, you work...".

According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, 300-320 convicts are employed and the percentage of employed convicts is constantly around 50%.³⁵⁷ Convicted persons are engaged in one of the workshops (tinsmith, locksmith, carving, carpentry, hairdressing)³⁵⁸, as construction workers on the reconstruction of Podgorica Prison, in prison units and administrative building as watchpersons, gardeners, assistants in the kitchen and on farms within "economy".³⁵⁹ The issue of insufficient capacity for work engagement of sentenced persons has also been confirmed by employees of the Treatment Sector.

Based on interviews with prisoners and staff in Podgorica Prison, it has been concluded that many inmates in fact work on improvised and temporary jobs. For comparison, an international study has shown that in Croatia as many as 83.7% of prisoners are employed, in England 68.6%, in Denmark 68.5%.³⁶⁰

In an interview, ZIKS Director informed the monitors about the plan to modernize and expand workshops within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners. However, ZIKS Administration made the same promise to the CPT delegation in September 2008: "The delegation was informed of plans to refurbish more workshops (the aim being to engage up to 80% of inmates in work activities), extend the farm within the establishment's perimeter and build a greenhouse for growing vegetables, set up a computer room and construct a new gym."³⁶¹ It has been three years since the promise, and the farm within the institution's

³⁵⁷ This information was confirmed by ZIKS Administration in the letter of 25 May 2012.

³⁵⁸ See section Accommodation conditions, workshops description.

³⁵⁹ See section Accommodation conditions, farms description.

³⁶⁰ "Long-term Imprisonment and Human Rights – Findings of an International Study", p. 16, Author: *Kirstin Drenkhahn*, Project Leaders: *Frieder Dünkel*, *Kirstin Drenkhahn* and *Manuela Dudeck* (*University of Greifswald, DE*), with financial support by the AGIS Program European Commission – Directorate General Justice, Freedom and Security, 2006.

³⁶¹ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 52.

perimeter has not been expanded, but, on the contrary, significantly reduced. During the visit to "economy"³⁶² monitors were told that at present there are 1500 laying hens, and that this number varies from 1500 to 1700. Earlier, there used to be between 10 000 and 12 000 laying hens on the farm, and town markets had separate stalls for the sale of eggs from this farm. According to the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, the farm was not economically viable. ZIKS has a greenhouse, but small one, although there is plenty of unused outdoor space for this purpose, as well as for the expansion of farms. The Government should order the development of a ***business plan for the expansion of production in ZIKS***, if ZIKS itself cannot set aside funds for this purpose. A team of professors and students from the faculties of economics could be engaged for this purpose. ***Expansion of existing farms would have multiple benefits – it would contribute to better treatment of inmates through the possibility of employment of all interested inmates, and the products could be used to supply ZIKS and other public institutions, for example Public Institution "Komanski most" etc.***

In prison in Bijelo Polje, where prisoners serve sentences of up to 6 months, the number of employed sentenced persons ranges from 20 to 30, and this possibility has only been provided to persons placed in the Semi-open unit, about 50 of them. Overall number of convicts in this prison is around 80, indicating that the percentage of employed persons is even lower than in Podgorica. According to educators, during the implementation of the treatment of sentenced persons, the aim is to employ as many sentenced persons as possible, even if only periodically. Prisoners are employed at the following positions: watchperson, gardener, assistant in the kitchen and the cafeteria, locksmith, plumber and painter. There is a high demand for construction material in the northern part of Montenegro, especially for concrete elements, ***so it would be advisable to buy a machine for the production of concrete blocks to increase the possibility of engaging prisoners through profitable activity***, as suggested by employees. ***Also, it is necessary, in accordance with law, to enter into an agreement with a company that would buy derived products.*** This idea existed in the past, but was abandoned because of the pending Prison reconstruction plans.

Prisoners have the right to compensation for their work, which, according to the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, amounts to at least 50% of the guaranteed earnings in the state.³⁶³ However, the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS provide for an illicit and less favourable solution, under which the compensation must amount to at least 30% of the minimum wage.³⁶⁴ In a written response from ZIKS it has been explained that the level of compensation for employed prisoners is 50% of the minimum wage if the conditions are met, as well as the standards and number of hours spent at work. However, such a definition regarding the compensation for work does not exist in the House Rules.

³⁶² Monitoring visit conducted in December 2011.

³⁶³ Art. 38, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

³⁶⁴ Art. 64, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

Bylaw can not diminish the rights guaranteed by the law, so it is necessary to amend the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in line with the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

According to a written reply of ZIKS Administration, salaries of employed sentenced persons range from 30% to 70% of the minimum wage.³⁶⁵ Monitors have received complaints from former sentenced persons that the amount of compensation for their work in Podgorica Prison had not been in accordance with the law. Former female convict noted: "My salary was so small, maybe about 20 Euros a month, I do not know. I never knew when the payday was. My mother would give me money to spend in the canteen."³⁶⁶ Another former prisoner said: "Employees in the cafeteria, who work almost 12 hours a day, receive the highest salary, between 50 and 70 Euros a month. Others earn about 20 Euros a month, and electricians and other craftsmen around 50 Euros."³⁶⁷ According to ZIKS Director, convicted persons who are occasionally engaged as watchpersons earn 36.5 Euros per month, while those working in construction, such as carpenters, locksmiths, receive compensation of up to 100 Euros per month.³⁶⁸ It is necessary to ensure that the engaged prisoners are paid for their work in accordance with the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences provide for employment of convicted persons outside the Institution, if they are placed in an open unit.³⁶⁹ Since there is no such unit in ZIKS,³⁷⁰ no prisoner is employed outside ZIKS, although such practice had previously existed, according to the Head of the Treatment Sector. For example, in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina, in this way, 18 prisoners were engaged outside the prison. After an approval from the relevant institutions, a convicted person enters into a contract with a company outside the Institution, with the possibility of extending the contract after the execution of the sentence.³⁷¹ ***It is necessary to re-introduce this practice in ZIKS, establish cooperation with some companies, in order to employ convicted persons outside the prison as well. Employment outside the prison would have multiple benefits, both during incarceration and after release.***

³⁶⁵ Official letter from ZIKS of 25 May 2012.

³⁶⁶ Interview with former female convict, April 2012.

³⁶⁷ "One in four prisoners in Spuž are recidivists", Aida Sadiković, *Vijesti*, 15 April 2012.

³⁶⁸ Monitoring team visit, February 2012.

³⁶⁹ Art. 57, *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS*, August 2011.

³⁷⁰ See section 5.2.1.1., *Classification groups*.

³⁷¹ Special Report on the state of human rights in institutions for execution of criminal sanctions in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Institution of Ombudsman for Human Rights in Bosnia and Herzegovina, September 2009, p. 33.

Work engagement of female prisoners

CPT Standards (p. 25) state that "women deprived of their liberty should enjoy access to meaningful activities (work, training, education, sport etc.) on an equal footing with their male counterparts. It is also pointed out that CPT delegations all too often encounter women inmates being offered activities which have been deemed "appropriate" for them (such as sewing or handicrafts), whilst male prisoners are offered training of a far more vocational nature. In the view of the CPT, such a discriminatory approach can only serve to reinforce outmoded stereotypes of the social role of women. Moreover, depending upon the circumstances, denying women equal access to regime activities could be qualified as degrading treatment."³⁷²

Complaints of female inmates in Podgorica Prison mostly relate to the lack of opportunities for employment. In its report on the 2008 visit, the CPT concluded that work opportunities for female prisoners remained the same as described in the report on the visit in 2004, and included workshops for sorting eggs and a sewing machine.³⁷³ Unfortunately, three years after the CPT visit the situation in this area worsened, so instead of being extended, there are no more workshops for sorting eggs or sewing. Female convicts have far less employment opportunities than men. On this occasion, ZIKS Administration explained that the number of women in prison is much smaller than the number of men (around 30), so it is difficult to provide conditions for diverse employment opportunities for women. According to ZIKS staff, all women in I classification group are engaged. During the monitoring visits, seven women were engaged outside the unit: in the laundry room, storage room or cafeteria, making coffee, while three others maintained hygiene in the unit accommodating women. Only 10 out of 32 female prisoners work. In addition, the choice of work engagement is extremely limited. ***It is necessary to provide more diverse work activities for women, at least as many as for men,*** because the current situation can be characterized as a gender discrimination, and not just due to the fact that women perform only traditional female activities, but also due to the small number of employed women. ZIKS Director³⁷⁴ made a promise to monitors that all women who wish to be employed will be provided the opportunity to work at the ongoing reconstruction and relocation of the kitchen, since the plan was to employ female prisoners there. This action is commendable, but ***the Administration should also consider opening other vacancies for women so that they can have the same choice of engagement as men with the same status.***

³⁷² CPT standards, p. 25.

³⁷³ CPT, Report on the visit to Montenegro, 2008, p. 53.

³⁷⁴ The then Director was Milan Radović.

Education and training

According to the European Prison Rules, each prison shall seek to enable all prisoners access to educational programs that are as comprehensive as possible and meet their individual needs, while taking into account their aspirations. It is emphasized that a systematic programme of education, including skills training, with the objective of improving prisoners' overall level of education as well as their prospects of leading a responsible and crime-free life, should be a key part of regimes for sentenced prisoners.³⁷⁵

Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions stipulates that prisoners, especially juveniles and young adults who have not completed primary school, shall be provided primary education classes, with the possibility to organize vocational education classes as well. Convicted person may be allowed to take exams outside the premises of the organization.³⁷⁶ The Rules narrow the statutory right of all sentenced persons to primary education, guaranteeing this right to minors only.³⁷⁷ Also, the Rules prescribe that a convicted person may be allowed to take exams outside the premises of the Institution, if determined in the treatment program as useful for achieving the purpose of imprisonment,³⁷⁸ characterizing thus the right to study as exceptional. Every prisoner should be entitled to the right to vocational education, whose restriction must require objective reasoning. ***It is necessary to amend the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences to ensure primary education classes for all prisoners who have not completed primary school, as well as to specify the right to take exams. It is necessary to define whether examination outside the premises of the Institution refers to part-time primary, secondary or high education. The current definition could create confusion and arbitrary interpretation of the applicable regulations.***

For example, in Bosnia and Herzegovina, in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Kula, there is the possibility to complete one's education and to this end this Institution has concluded agreements with primary and secondary schools.³⁷⁹ Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Zenica has a contract with vocational schools³⁸⁰ and in Foča, for example, one of the prisoners at the time of the visit completed seventh grade of the primary school, another prisoner went to law school, and all school-related expenses were borne by the Institution.³⁸¹

³⁷⁵ European Prison Rules, p. 106.1.

³⁷⁶ Art. 17, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

³⁷⁷ Art. 69, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

³⁷⁸ Art. 70, para 1, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

³⁷⁹ Special Report on the state of human rights in institutions for execution of criminal sanctions in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Institution of Ombudsman for Human Rights in Bosnia and Herzegovina, September 2009, p. 65.

³⁸⁰ Special Report on the state of human rights in institutions for execution of criminal sanctions in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Institution of Ombudsman for Human Rights in Bosnia and Herzegovina, September 2009, p. 50.

³⁸¹ Special Report on the state of human rights in institutions for execution of criminal sanctions in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Institution of Ombudsman for Human Rights in Bosnia and Herzegovina, September 2009, p. 63.

In Serbia, all sentenced persons in institutions for execution of criminal sanctions who are students are allowed to leave the institution in order to take exams.³⁸²

At the end of 2011 there were 26 illiterate convicts (2.6%) in ZIKS.³⁸³ Most of these persons are in Bijelo Polje Prison and come from rural areas. Organization of a literacy course for them would not require major investment. On the other hand, one person employed in the Sector for treatment cannot meet to all the expectations that imply quality treatment of prisoners. ***It is necessary to organize a literacy course for illiterate prisoners, which could also include literate inmates who could have the role of educators or assistants, which would certainly contribute to their sense of usefulness.***

A total of 68 (6.8%) inmates have not completed primary school.³⁸⁴ According to staff in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners in Podgorica, sentenced persons are rarely allowed to start or continue their education due to the limited number of people at the escort service. ZIKS Administration informed monitors that in the period from 2009 to 2011 neither convicts nor detainees submitted requests for attending primary or vocational education classes.³⁸⁵ Anonymous survey showed that 19.3% of sentenced persons sought permission to continue education. One convict from the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners commented: "I did not ask, because nothing had been offered in any kind of education program". Of the total number of those who asked to be allowed to continue their education, even 85.5% stated that their request had not been met. Of the total of 11 former inmates, three said that they had asked to be allowed access to the exercise of the right to education, while others had no such requirements. However, neither one of them had been allowed to continue education.³⁸⁶

There is an impression that insufficient attention is paid to the educational segment of the treatment of prisoners in ZIKS. Moreover, apart from training through work in one of the workshops, there is no specific theoretical knowledge training. According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, a number of sentenced persons opened their own workshops after release from prison thanks to the practical training in ZIKS. The practice of transferring practical knowledge through prison workshops should be continued, as it provides good results. However, bearing in mind the limited capacity of workshops and the fact that not all prisoners are interested in acquiring such skills, ***it is necessary to provide other types of training.*** For comparison, in English prisons as many as 75.2% of inmates completed some

³⁸² "Treatment of persons deprived of their liberty", Report of the Belgrade Centre for Human Rights, Belgrade, 2010, p. 49.

³⁸³ Prison population structure according to education level on 31 December 2011, Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 80.

³⁸⁴ Ibid.

³⁸⁵ Official reply from ZIKS, 25 May 2012.

³⁸⁶ Interview with former convicts Ž. Š., V.K. and M.P. in March 2012.

sort of training program, 65.5% in France, 38.2% in Croatia.³⁸⁷ According to the same research in Lithuania, 24.6% of the respondents attended vocational training.

The CPT recommendation to Montenegro relating to the provision of educational programs and vocational training courses has not been met.³⁸⁸ According to the Head of the Treatment Sector, all attempts to organize trainings and courses for prisoners have ultimately failed, either due to the lack of financial resources for their implementation or lack of interest of inmates.

In the Semi-open unit there is a small computer room with four computers, one of which was not operating during the monitoring visit. In this way, the promise given to the CPT delegation in 2008 has been fulfilled.³⁸⁹ During the visit no one was in this room. ZIKS employees informed us that the prisoners were not interested in training to work on computers and that it is therefore unnecessary to increase the number of computers in the room. However, the results of an anonymous survey oppose this claim. To question no. 40: *Would you like to obtain some sort of training, for example computer skills training?*, more than three-quarters (77.9%) of respondents said yes, while only 22.1% said they were not interested. ***We believe that it is necessary to equip all the units with computer rooms and provide computer training to all prisoners, following recommendation 106.2. under the European Prison Rules that all prisoners should be encouraged to participate in educational programs.***³⁹⁰

Two prisoners complained about being denied the opportunity to continue their professional development. Convicted person N.B., with whom the monitors spoke, required ZIKS Administration to enable him to take exams via the Internet and use a laptop. According to the staff, this was due to security reasons. On 26 January 2012, at the meeting between the monitoring team and Mrs. Anka Cerović, senior advisors in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, monitors were told that ZIKS official will discuss this issue with the said inmate and examine the conditions in order to allow the inmate to take an exam using the computer in ZIKS administration building. In a written response from ZIKS of 19 March 2012, we were told that the sentenced person submitted another appeal and that upon the confirmation from IT Academy in Belgrade "ZIKS shall take all steps necessary to facilitate Internet access for the purpose of taking an exam."³⁹¹ At the time of the monitoring

387 "Long-term Imprisonment and Human Rights – Findings of an International Study", p. 16, Author: *Kirstin Drenkhahn*, Project Leaders: *Frieder Dünkel*, *Kirstin Drenkhahn* and *Manuela Dudeck* (*University of Greifswald, DE*), with financial support by the AGIS Program European Commission – Directorate General Justice, Freedom and Security, 2006.

388 CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 54.

389 CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 31.

390 European Prison Rules, p. 106.2.

391 Response of ZIKS to an official letter of 15 March 2012, Z-KD-br.63/12, Podgorica, 19 March 2012.

visit conducted on 11 May 2012 the situation remained unchanged. In the second case, the prisoner asked for the permission to use portable computer (*laptop*) to write fiction. According to the prisoner, after submitting a request he was allowed to use the laptop, but after a certain period it was taken away, on the grounds that the use of a laptop is not in accordance with the House Rules. We believe that the absolute prohibition is not an appropriate measure and that the risk of Internet abuse can be significantly reduced if a computer passed appropriate control. ***It is necessary to clearly define the right to use a laptop in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions in the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences.***

Educational workshops for prisoners, as well as for ZIKS staff, are organized in cooperation with NGO Juventas as part of the project "Open with prisoners" and include information on HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases, proper use of condoms, risks of transmission of hepatitis A, B and C, as well as on proper drug injection and overdose risk.³⁹² Employees in Podgorica Prison, as well as a number of sentenced persons, informed us that they were not interested in this kind of training. Employees explained that convicted persons who wish to attend these workshops have a fear of labelling by other prisoners. ***It is necessary to run such educational workshops as part of the treatment of all persons deprived of their liberty.***

It is necessary to ***develop training programs and vocational trainings for sentenced persons*** as soon as possible. ***These programs should be designed in collaboration with ZIKS educators, who would be engaged as expert consultants in preparation phase for individual training plans, not only in their implementation, as they know the strengths and interests of sentenced persons.***

Leisure activities

Stay in prison should not stop prisoners from living. They need to have access to organized activities aimed at their development and progress, in order to prevent criminal behaviour. Prison regime must provide for a balanced program of activities for all prisoners.³⁹³ Recreational opportunities, which include sport, games, cultural activities, hobbies and other leisure pursuits, should be provided and, as far as possible, prisoners should be allowed to organise them.³⁹⁴ According to the *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences*, prisoners can edit and publish their own newspaper at the expense of the Institution³⁹⁵ and prepare and perform drama, music and other performances.³⁹⁶

³⁹² Retrieved from NGO Juventas website, available at:

http://www.juventas.co.me/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=174&Itemid=188&lang=sr

³⁹³ European Prison Rules, p. 25.1.

³⁹⁴ European Prison Rules, p. 27.6.

³⁹⁵ Art. 74, para 3, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

However, based on the visits and interviews with prisoners and ZIKS employees, the monitoring team came to a conclusion that most of the above activities provided for in the Rules are not being implemented. For example, in Norway convicted persons have regular access to the gym, music room, library.³⁹⁷ During its visit to Norway, CPT delegation was particularly impressed by a regime offered to vulnerable categories of prisoners with psychological needs (in groups of seven persons at a time) who were offered a wide range of occupational activities (e.g. handicrafts, painting) and had access to a separate fitness room and a billiard room. There was also a special unit accommodating drug-addicted prisoners who benefited from a wide range of activities, including outside the prison. ***Activities like these can be implemented in ZIKS as well. It is necessary to provide the conditions for consistent application of Articles 73 and 74 of the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences (cultural and artistic activities, lectures, workshops).*** Cultural events and performances are organized rarely. A positive example is the New Year's event, traditionally organized by Dragan Koprivica. Organization of such events should not depend on the interests of individuals; events of this type should be organized by ZIKS staff in cooperation with prisoners. It is understandable that the organization of certain events depends on material resources, but it is certain that some activities do not require great financial investments and can be organized, for example, in collaboration with civil societies. However, due to a large number of sentenced persons in educational groups and lack of incentive for employees, no activities have been organized.

Activity practiced by prisoners at the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions is stay in the fresh air for a period of 2 hours per day. Convicted persons informed monitors that the right to walks is generally respected. Most respondents (84.7%) stated that they spend 2 hours outside every day, and others, i.e. 15.3% provided negative answer to this question. Majority of former prisoners confirmed that this right is respected. Complaint of one prisoner, located in unit F, referred to the fact that "he spends only 1 hour or 1 hour and 30 minutes a day in the fresh air, never 2 hours." Security Service employee informed monitors that this is due to the current reconstruction of the facility. ***We believe that sentenced persons must be allowed to use this right, despite the reconstruction within the unit, especially given the lack of other organized activities.*** In Bijelo Polje Prison, prisoners' stay in the fresh air is not limited, compensating, according to educators, for the lack of a living room.

The gym, whose equipping has been funded by prisoners as well, is available for use to men during the time for walk. It has a shelter, so that prisoners can also use it in the case of inclement weather. On the other hand, this type of recreation is not available to women, since there is no gym or a fitness room in their unit, although they had repeatedly expressed a wish to have access to these activities in the same manner as men. This is also an example of

³⁹⁶ Art 74, para 2, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

³⁹⁷ CPT, Report on the visit to Norway, 2011, p. 49.

gender discrimination, and *it is necessary to provide women the same conditions for recreation that are available to men as soon as possible.*

Nevertheless, physical activity should not be the only activity the prisoners engage in.

During the visits, monitors noticed that prisoners spend most of their time in living rooms, talking, preparing food and watching TV. Situation was similar in 2008, when the CPT delegation visited ZIKS.³⁹⁸ The lack of organized activities or general idleness is present in all the units and contributes to the formation of informal groups, interpersonal conflicts and incidents. In this sense, one female convict complained about the problem of being unable to fit into an informal group formed prior to her admission to prison. The survey showed that the majority of sentenced persons (78.2%) wanted to be engaged in different creative activities during leisure time, while 21.8% did not. In the Prison for short sentences, 80.3% of prisoners responded to this question affirmatively, and 19.7% responded negatively. Of 11 former prisoners who participated in the survey, all 10 of them stated that they would like to have practiced in creative activities during their stay in ZIKS. *Organizing meaningful activities would have multiple benefits, both in terms of social reintegration of sentenced persons as well as the prevention of the creation of subcultures within ZIKS.*

In an interview with educators, monitors were informed that they maintain individual notes about every sentenced person, which help them in their work. *It is necessary to develop individual plans for treatment of sentenced persons in ZIKS which will include a multi-dimensional approach and different work methods in the process of their resocialization, and establish standards for the assessment of progress.*

These programs require significant time investment in observing and talking to convicted persons, consultation with experts from other fields as well as time for developing the plans. Given the ratio of the number of educators and convicted persons, the quality and appropriateness of the existing individual plans must be called into question. *It is also necessary to review individual plans in order to evaluate their success and provide recommendations for their improvement.*

In terms of the treatment of sentenced persons, illustrative is the example of Norway, where the CPT noted that the majority of prisoners (including remand prisoners) had a job, opportunity for education and other activities such as vocational training in workshops, on the basis of structured individual plans.³⁹⁹ No leisure activities have been organized in the high security unit, apart from the mandatory stay in fresh air for 2 hours per day in a small enclosed courtyard. Not even the gym is available. Such treatment of persons placed in unit C is contrary to the CPT standard, according to which the existence of a satisfactory program of

³⁹⁸ CPT, Report on 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 57.

³⁹⁹ CPT, Report on the visit to Norway, 2011, p. 49.

activities is just as important - if not more so - in a high security unit than on normal location, since it can do much to counter the deleterious effects upon a prisoner's personality of living in the bubble-like atmosphere of such a unit. The activities provided should be as diverse as possible (education, sport, work of vocational value, etc.). As regards, in particular, work activities, it is clear that security considerations may preclude many types of work which are found on normal prison location. Nevertheless, this should not mean that only work of a tedious nature is provided for prisoners.⁴⁰⁰ ***It is necessary to develop leisure activities for prisoners located in the unit C as soon as possible, as well as for those in other units.***

Better situation as regards the way in which prisoners spend their free time, was observed in the Semi-open unit. Almost all the convicts work and have greater opportunities for recreation and exercising team sports.

Post-penal treatment

Release on parole is a powerful instrument of motivation of sentenced persons for positive behavioural change during the process of imprisonment. The act of trust, expressed by granting of a parole, also expresses a belief that the convicted person has changed significantly and expectation that he would not commit new crimes, which is the purpose of imposing and executing a prison sentence.

According to staff from the Treatment Sector, behaviour of a convicted person during incarceration, carrying out of duties with regard to his/her work capacity, as well as other circumstances indicating that the purpose of imprisonment has been achieved are taken into account when deciding on one's parole. The same source informed us that there is no special treatment for those preparing for the release from prison, and that the stay in the Semi-open unit, with its regime, is actually considered the preparation for the release. Convicted persons were asked whether their relation with the educator-professor helps them prepare for the release from prison and 41.3% of respondents said yes, while 58.7% responded with no. More than 2/3 of sentenced persons from the Prison for short sentences (71.2%) said that their relationship with the professor is not helpful in the preparation for the release from prison, while 28.8% think the opposite. One of the comments of a convicted person placed in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners was: "Professors do not have time. I thought that this was what they were here for, but now I am convinced of the opposite." When asked the same question, 2 former convicts responded that their relationship with the educator helped them prepare for the release from prison, while 8 of them had a different opinion. **Given the uncertainty of convicts regarding the anticipation of the future after the release, they need to be provided assistance which includes support, encouragement and counselling.**

House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS in Article 182 state that "if a convicted person needs help after the release from the Institution, the Social Work Centre

⁴⁰⁰ CPT Standards, p. 32.

located in the place of residence of that person shall be notified prior to the release".⁴⁰¹ Educator in Bijelo Polje Prison stated that he regularly informs competent social work centres on the social status of prisoners upon their release, but the centres do not provide adequate support to prisoners upon their release from ZIKS. "In the German prison system post-penal treatment is very developed and for that reason more than 1200 social workers and social pedagogues are employed in this system."⁴⁰² Post-penal treatment in Montenegro is practically non-existent. According to a social worker employed in the Social Work Centre in Podgorica, there is no organized post-penal treatment for adults released from ZIKS. These persons are treated sporadically, only when necessary to instruct a welfare beneficiary, because of the social and/or health condition, about exercising one of the rights in the area of social welfare. In interviews with several persons recently released from prison, monitors learned that they felt lost: "I do not know what to do with myself, in prison at least I had some sort of a regime, you understand? This is hell". In an interview for daily newspaper *Vijesti*, one of the former prisoners said: "I am tempted in so many ways. Neither the family nor society believes me. I doubt I will ever find a job. Montenegro is very small, everyone knows everything about everyone. What do I have left, what can I do except smuggling, dealing, stealing - said M.J."⁴⁰³ It is unrealistic to expect former convicts to be able to deal with all the negative influences of the environment that originally motivated them to commit crimes without the help of society through probation institutions. ***Competent authorities should work on developing the post-penal treatment system, which would include various social institutions, humanitarian organizations, associations and individuals who can help prisoners integrate into society.*** Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions stipulates that the enforcement of conditional release, supervision and support to a sentenced person also include "providing of social and other help which encourages adaptation of a conditionally discharged prisoner to the community."⁴⁰⁴ In an interview with prisoners recently released on a parole, monitors have been informed that this provision of the Law is not respected in practice. The fact that no one in Montenegro systematically deals with persons who finished serving their sentences in ZIKS results in an alarming number of returnees⁴⁰⁵:

23.72% in 2009,
26.16% in 2010,
16.80% in 2011.

Part of the responsibility for this situation lies with ZIKS, because in spite of the efforts of staff in the Treatment Sector, resocialization programs are not implemented to the

⁴⁰¹ Art. 182, House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, August 2011.

⁴⁰² Basic Characteristics of the Penitentiary System in Germany, Zoran Stevanović, p. 175, udk: 343. 291 (430), Institute for Criminological and Sociological researches, Belgrade, 2008.

⁴⁰³ "Convicts return to crime: After ZIKS they can be thrown away", Aida Sadiković, *Vijesti*, 16 April 2012.

⁴⁰⁴ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 66 v, para 3.

⁴⁰⁵ Official letter from ZIKS Administration, 28 February 2012, Podgorica.

necessary extent and society itself is reluctant to accept former convicts. *In order to diminish the problem of recidivism, it is necessary to introduce probation services in ZIKS, which would cooperate with all other state and social institutions to ensure more successful reintegration of prisoners.*

In order to achieve the purpose of imprisonment, it is necessary to introduce a full and meaningful treatment of convicted persons during their stay in prison, as well as post-penal reception after the imprisonment. Otherwise, at the end of serving their sentence, prisoners will be less able to cope in the community and even more dependent on the criminal subculture.

Deciding on parole release

The right to decide on conditional release of sentenced persons has been granted to the Parole Commission of the Ministry of Justice, and this discretionary authority under certain legal requirements also belongs to the Director of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions.⁴⁰⁶ If the Parole Commission or ZIKS Director refuse an application for parole, the convicted person has the right to initiate an administrative dispute before the Administrative Court of Montenegro.

Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions stipulates that the Parole Commission is established by the Minister of Justice⁴⁰⁷ (Art. 65, para 1), that it consists of a chairman and six members, the Minister of Justice, the head of the organization (ZIKS) and four members of the Supreme Court of Montenegro, State Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Ministry of Health.⁴⁰⁸

According to prisoners interviewed by the monitoring team and the Conclusion on the situation in ZIKS of the Committee on Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro, *"a prisoner must decide which institution to address, as he does not have the right to seek parole from prison Administration if he has already appealed to the Parole Commission."*⁴⁰⁹ Such solution is not envisaged under the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, which regulates the area of parole, causing concern that prisoners have been denied the right to address both bodies, even though this right is guaranteed by the law.

⁴⁰⁶ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions (*Sl. list RCG*, 25/94, 29/94, 69/2003 and 65/2004 and *Sl. list CG*, 32/2011), Art. 65, 66a, 66b, 66v, 66g, 66d, 66đ, 67, 67a and 68.

⁴⁰⁷ Art. 65, para 1, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

⁴⁰⁸ Art. 65, para 2, Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

⁴⁰⁹ Conclusion of the Committee on Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro of 21 March 2012, available at:

http://www.skupstina.me/cms/site_data/SKUPSTINA_CRNE_GORE/OSTALO/ZAKLJUCAK%20O%20INFO_RMACIJI%20O%20STANJU%20U%20ZIKS-u.pdf

A convicted person who has served two-third and exceptionally half of the prison sentence or half of the forty-year prison sentence may be released on parole "if in the course of serving the prison sentence s/he has improved so that it is reasonable to expect that s/he will behave well while at liberty and, particularly that s/he will refrain from committing criminal offences until the end of the imposed prison sentence." In assessing whether to release a convicted person on parole, the following shall be taken into consideration: his/her conduct during the period of serving the sentence, performance of work tasks appropriated to his/her working abilities, *as well as other circumstances indicating that the purpose of punishment has been achieved.*⁴¹⁰ Such wording leaves a wide margin of appreciation in deciding on probation.

Convicts have been dissatisfied with the work of the Parole Commission and, according to data of the Ministry of Justice and ZIKS, submitted a hundred times as many parole appeals to ZIKS Director as to the Parole Commission. In 2011 ZIKS Director released as many as 1116 people on a parole, while in the same period the Commission approved parole for only 10 persons, acting on only 35 submitted appeals. In 2012 there was an increase in the number of submitted appeals, of which the Parole Commission adopted more than half: until 17 May 2012 the Ministry received 219 applications for parole and the Commission decided to conditionally release 124 prisoners.⁴¹¹

In addressing international organizations, the media, and in contact with members of the monitoring team, prisoners pointed out that the Parole Commission applies the criteria selectively or applies the criteria which, as such, are not standardized in the Law. They also complained that the process of deciding on parole is not sufficiently transparent and fair and that in some cases convicts are granted release even though they do not even nearly meet all the criteria, while for others the right to parole is made difficult to achieve.⁴¹²

Dissatisfaction of prisoner culminated in a massive hunger strike in February 2012. The Minister of Justice then criticized the actions of the Commission, but also the fact that "in most cases, the opinions of ZIKS submitted to the Parole Commission have been negative" and concluded that "these opinions cannot be the key factor influencing the decision of the Parole Commission; behaviour of sentenced persons during their imprisonment should also be taken into account, establishing thus a new approach and a new standard in the work of the Commission".⁴¹³ It is a fact that from 2011 through February 2012 the Technical service in ZIKS processed and forwarded a total of 146 appeals and opinions

⁴¹⁰ Art. 37, para 1, Criminal Code (*Sl. list RCG*, 70/04, 13/04 and *Sl. list CG*, 40/2008, 25/2010 and 32/2011).

⁴¹¹ "Prisoners in ZIKS: those who claim to be innocent are discriminate against," *Vijesti*, 30 May 2012.

⁴¹² Information obtained in interviews with prisoners conducted over several visits to the prison in Spuž.

⁴¹³ Minister of Justice and Human Rights, Duško Marković, at the 64 session of the Committee for Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro, 1 March 2012.

on parole to the Parole Commission, of which ZIKS provided only 22 positive suggestions, and 124 negative.⁴¹⁴ On the other hand, the very Director of ZIKS approved manyfold more applications for parole in this period than the Commission, which relied on the negative opinions provided by ZIKS. Such actions indicate a policy of directing the prisoners to address the Director, rather than the Commission.

Bearing in mind the described national experience, as well as comparative experiences of European countries, where *the court decides on the release on parole* (France, Germany, Croatia, Serbia), *or any other body independent of the prison administration and ministry of justice* (Latvia,⁴¹⁵ Slovenia⁴¹⁶ and the UK⁴¹⁷), we propose the following:

abolish the right of the Director of ZIKS to decide on parole;

profile the membership of the Parole Commission so that it consists of various experts (judges or other legal experts, psychologists, doctors, social scientists), who are not civil servants or employees of the Government or ministries;

in perspective, consider the possibility of a court deciding on parole.

As regards the Commission's decision-making criteria, the Ministry of Justice has partially met the demands of sentenced persons, so that in future: a) the Parole Commission shall not take as an aggravating circumstance the fact that a new criminal procedure was initiated against a convict, but has not yet ended in a final court decision - in order to respect the presumption of innocence; b) the decision will depend on behaviour during imprisonment only, not on the opinion of the police,⁴¹⁸ type of criminal offense and length of the sentence.

With the purpose of achieving legal certainty and avoiding misunderstandings in the future, it would be useful to further specify by the law or a by-law the criteria upon which the Parole Commission shall decide whether the purpose of punishment has been achieved.

⁴¹⁴ Notice from the session of the Committee of Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro, 2 March 2012, <http://www.skupstina.me/index.php?strana=saopstenja&id=4166>, ZIKS statement addressed to the Ombudsman.

⁴¹⁵ Sentence Enforcement Code, ("LV", 117 (4515)), Section 50.

⁴¹⁶ Enforcement of Penal Sentences Act (EPSA - Official Journal of RS No. 102/2000, 127/06, 112/07), Section 103.

⁴¹⁷ More information on the Parole Commission available at: <http://www.justice.gov.uk/about/parole-board>.

⁴¹⁸ In interpreting "other circumstances", the Parole Commission took into account the security assessment of the police Directorate, the court, the Social Work Centre, in cases where the injured family joined the criminal prosecution of the convicted person and set legal property requirement, as the Minister explained at the 64 session of the Committee for Human Rights and Freedoms of the Parliament of Montenegro, 1 March 2012.

Recommendations

- *Provide conditions for respecting the rights of detainees to spend minimum 2 hours a day outdoors.*
- *Urgently improve the regime in the Remand Prison, in order to allow detainees to spend more hours outside their cells and engage in meaningful activities of various natures (work, education, group games, sports).*
- *Employ more persons of appropriate professional profile in the Treatment Sector - three persons in the Section for personality examination, five educators in the Section for treatment implementation in Podgorica Prison and three educators in Bijelo Polje Prison.*
- *Develop a system for treatment implementation, which will clearly define the scope of work for all employees.*
- *Provide ongoing training for staff in the Treatment Sector on new methods of work, as well as training for the early detection of mental disorders and suicide risk in inmates.*
- *Set up mailboxes in each prison unit or establish a service where prisoners could submit their letters, appeals and requests for the Administration and receive a confirmation with the filing number.*
- *Offer foreign prisoners the same activities and work engagement as to other prisoners by engaging an interpreter when needed. It is necessary to provide additional support to overcome isolation and improve difficult position of these persons which are the result of language and cultural barriers. Provide a translation of the House Rules in several languages.*
- *Introduce a program of evaluation of the treatment of prisoners, and for the employees of the Treatment Sector provide professional supervision programs and programs to prevent the burnout syndrome.*
- *Introduce a system of objectification of the criteria for transfer from one classification group to another by developing questionnaires with precisely defined criteria that are scored.*
- *Ensure the development of a business plan for expanding the production in ZIKS.*
- *In Bijelo Polje Prison, buy a machine for the production of concrete elements and organize a workshop for employment of prisoners.*
- *Align the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences with Art. 57 of the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions in the part concerning the wages for employed prisoners and ensure that employed prisoners be paid for their work in accordance with the Law.*
- *It is necessary to establish cooperation with certain companies in order to employ convicted persons outside the prison as well. Employment outside the prison would have multiple benefits, both during incarceration and after the release.*
- *Provide employment for 80% of female inmates and broaden the choice of work activities, including the "economy", so that they could have the same choice of engagement as men with the same status.*

- *Adopt amendments to the House Rules for the Enforcement of Prison Sentences (Art. 69 and Art. 70) stipulating that primary education shall be organized for all prisoners who have not completed primary school. Regulate the right to take exams more precisely, so as to ensure the part-time completion of primary, secondary or high education.*
- *Organize literacy courses for illiterate prisoners (particularly in Bijelo Polje Prison).*
- *Equip all ZIKS units with computer rooms and organize training for the work on computers for all persons deprived of liberty.*
- *Clearly define the right to use portable computers (laptops) in ZIKS in the House Rules for the Enforcement of Prison Sentences and define objective conditions for the exceptional limitation of this right.*
- *Include educational workshops on substance abuse, HIV/AIDS, sexually transmitted diseases, risk of transmission of hepatitis A, B and C, in the treatment program of all prisoners.*
- *Develop educational programs and vocational training courses for all prisoners.*
- *Provide conditions for the consistent application of provisions of the House Rules for the Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, which provide for cultural and art performances, workshops, lectures, etc.*
- *Modernize and expand all the workshops in the Institution for sentenced prisoners as soon as possible, in order to engage in work 80% of the inmates.*
- *Organize more cultural activities, in cooperation with prisoners.*
- *Ensure same conditions for recreation for women serving their sentences as for male prisoners.*
- *Develop individual plans for the treatment of sentenced persons in ZIKS which will include a multi-dimensional approach and different work methods in the process of their resocialization. Establish standards in order to assess their success and provide recommendations for further improvement of individual plans. This particularly for prisoners serving long sentences.*
- *Develop a post-penal system which would include various social institutions, humanitarian organizations, associations and individuals who can help prisoners integrate into society.*
- *Abolish the right of ZIKS Director to decide on a parole.*
- *Profile the membership of the Parole Commission so that it consists of various experts (judges or other legal experts, psychologists, doctors, social scientists), who are not civil servants or employees of the Government or ministries.*
- *In perspective, consider the possibility of a court deciding on prisoners' parole.*
- *Further specify the criteria upon which the Parole Commission decides on a parole by the law or by-law. Inform convicts about the process of deciding on a parole and the criteria in a way accessible to them.*

7. CONTACT WITH THE OUTSIDE WORLD

Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison

According to the European Prison Rules, prisoners shall be allowed to communicate as often as possible by letter, telephone or other forms of communication with their families, other persons and representatives of outside organisations and to receive visits from these persons.⁴¹⁹ Communication and visits may be subject to restrictions and monitoring necessary for the requirements of continuing criminal investigations, maintenance of good order, safety and security, prevention of criminal offences and protection of victims of crime, but such restrictions, including specific restrictions ordered by a judicial authority, shall nevertheless allow an acceptable minimum level of contact.⁴²⁰

House Rules provide that a convicted person, no matter in which classification group, is entitled to two visits per month from the immediate family for a period of 60 minutes.⁴²¹ The right to emergency visit may be granted once a month, if there are reasonable grounds.⁴²² Employees say that in most cases sentenced persons are allowed to receive emergency visits. Sentenced persons residing in Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison, interviewed in the presence of ZIKS officers, confirmed that this right is respected. According to the *House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences* in ZIKS, the right to visits may be restricted only to a person sent to solitary confinement for committing a disciplinary offense.⁴²³ In contrast, according to the European Prison Rules and CPT standards, contact with the family cannot be completely ruled out even in that case, unless it is a disciplinary offense committed in connection with the contact.⁴²⁴ The results of the conducted research show that there were cases when ZIKS officers threatened sentenced persons with the prohibition of family contact and denial of visits.⁴²⁵ Also, prisoners emphasize that the denial of contact with their family has occasionally been used as a disciplinary measure. ***It is necessary to harmonize the House Rules with the European Prison Rules and CPT standards, and discontinue the practice of using the prohibition of contact with the outside world as a disciplinary measure***, as the improvement of contact with the outside world should be the guiding principle, and it is

⁴¹⁹ European Prison Rules, 24.1.

⁴²⁰ European Prison Rules, 24.2.

⁴²¹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 99 and 100, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴²² House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 96, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴²³ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 96, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴²⁴ European Prison Rules, 24.2.

⁴²⁵ In the survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Have you ever been threatened by the prohibition of contact with your family or denial of visits?*, 21.7% of convicts responded with 'yes', and 78.3% responded 'no'; in the Prison for short sentences 16.4% said 'yes', 83.6% responded 'no'.

important to ensure the convicted persons' contact with family and close friends.⁴²⁶

Married sentenced persons shall have the right to conjugal visits once a month for three hours, regardless of their classification group.⁴²⁷ Female prisoners serving their sentences rarely exercise the right to conjugal visits, because, as explained by Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, their spouses are not interested in this type of visit. Convicted persons from I classification group, who use awards⁴²⁸ outside the premises of the Institution, have the right to conjugal visits in the month during which the award has not been used.⁴²⁹ Complaints of sentenced persons to an authorized official of the Ministry of Justice were related to the unequal criteria for obtaining these awards.⁴³⁰

Under the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, convicted persons are entitled to receive visits from their family members, but also from others with the approval of the head of the organization.⁴³¹ CPT delegation was informed that the prison director can extend the right to visit to unmarried partners.⁴³² The CPT stressed that such a right should exist by law, rather than being left to the discretion of the prison management.⁴³³ However, three years later the situation remained the same. *It is necessary to amend the Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions so as to provide for the right to visits from unmarried partners, in accordance with the recommendation of the CPT.* The right to conjugal visits specified in national legislation does not include the right to visits from unmarried partners, and thereby homosexual partners, who do not have the legal possibility of marriage in Montenegro.⁴³⁴

⁴²⁶ CPT Standards, p. 51.

⁴²⁷ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 111, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴²⁸ For their good behaviour and commitment to work, as well as for other rehabilitation reasons, prisoners can be awarded: 1) extended right to receive deliveries and visits, 2) unsupervised visits, 3) visits outside the premises of the organization, 4) free visit to town, 5) weekend with the family, 6) seven-day leave during a year, 7) partial or complete annual leave outside the premises of the organization. Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 52, *Sl. list RCG*, 25/94, 29/94, 69/2003 and 65/2004 and *Sl. list CG*, 32/2011.

⁴²⁹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 111, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴³⁰ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 34.

⁴³¹ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 48, *Sl. list RCG*, 25/94, 29/94, 69/2003 and 65/2004 and *Sl. list CG*, 32/2011.

⁴³² CPT Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p.70.

⁴³³ Ibid.

⁴³⁴ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions of Montenegro clearly stipulates: Married prisoners are entitled to a sex life with their spouse, in accordance with the House Rules (Art. 50). Also, *the House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS* stipulate: Married prisoners are entitled to a marital life with their spouse. Right to marital life is exercised through the visits of a prisoner's spouse (Art.110), excluding thus heterosexual unmarried partners and homosexual partners from legal regulations.

Bearing in mind that the law on same-sex relationships does not exist in Montenegro, *it is necessary to allow homosexuals the right to conjugal visits, under the same conditions as for heterosexual inmates.* Current situation can be characterized as discrimination based on sexual orientation. In Serbia, both the Law⁴³⁵ and the House Rules stipulate that "prisoners have the right to a visit from their spouse, children or other close person once every three months for three hours in special premises of the institution. Special room must be spacious enough, heated, lighted, with necessary furniture, bathroom and adapted for children. Close persons include persons listed by a convict as visitors".⁴³⁶ Pursuant to this Article, convicted persons are also allowed to receive visits from unmarried partners. *It is necessary to grant sentenced persons the right to a visit from their spouse, children or other close person once every three months for three hours in separate rooms. In this way this right would also include unmarried partners.*

Persons located in the Semi-open unit can receive visits from children within a small playground for children, equipped with modest inventory.⁴³⁷

As regards Bijelo Polje Prison, the CPT report states that in this prison, "there was one visiting room which was too small to meet the requirements of the establishment. The CPT trusts that this failing will be addressed in the new prison building." During the visit to Bijelo Polje Prison, monitoring team saw the newly refurbished visiting room, measuring some 20 m². Physical contact between prisoners and visitors has been disabled by setting up plexiglass boards. Convicted persons and visitors communicate by phone. The room has two surveillance cameras, for separate monitoring of inmates and visitors.⁴³⁸ New prison in Bijelo Polje has not been constructed yet, so this CPT recommendation is not fully met. During the first monitoring visit to Bijelo Polje Prison, monitors also saw the visiting room for children. On this occasion, monitors gave suggestions to the Administration to refurbish this room and adjust it so that the children feel more comfortable. During the following visits, it was noticed that the Administration took our proposals into consideration and, in accordance with their capabilities, furnished the visiting room for children.

Sentenced person shall have the right to religious life and contacts with the clergyperson within his/her religion in accordance with the Rules.⁴³⁹ Results of the research show that the majority of sentenced persons are allowed contact with a representative of their

⁴³⁵ Law on Execution of Criminal Sanctions, Art. 82.

⁴³⁶ House Rules of correctional institutions and district prisons (*Sl. glasnik RS*, 72/2010 of 8 October 2010), Article 55.

⁴³⁷ Monitoring visit conducted on 13 March 2012.

⁴³⁸ Monitoring visit to Bijelo Polje Prison conducted on 9 December 2012.

⁴³⁹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 114, Podgorica, August 2011.

religious community.⁴⁴⁰ In the Prison for short sentences over 80% of respondents said that they are not allowed contact with a representative of their religious community. ***It is necessary to provide conditions for the respect of religious rights of sentenced persons in the Prison for short sentences.*** In Bijelo Polje Prison, inmates interviewed in the presence of staff stated that the right to visits by representatives of religious community has been respected.⁴⁴¹ However, Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison do not have specially adapted rooms for religious practice, but, if necessary, inmates use hallways, living rooms, cafeterias, etc. ***It is necessary to provide adequate facilities for the exercise of religious rights in both Podgorica Prison and Bijelo Polje Prison.***

House Rules define the right to use a phone. Prisoners in the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners are allowed to use pay phones in a certain time period.⁴⁴² When asked if they had ever been denied the right to use a phone, 32.4% of surveyed sentenced persons responded affirmatively and 67.6% negatively. In the Prison for short sentences, 17.4% respondents said that they had been denied this right, while 82.6% of prisoners who participated in this study gave a negative answer. ***It is necessary to provide conditions for sentenced persons to exercise this right in accordance with the Rules.***

In Bijelo Polje Prison there are no phones, so the sentenced persons are allowed the use mobile phones (not in the Remand), in accordance with the Rules and only between 3 pm and 10 pm.⁴⁴³ According to the Rules, owning a mobile phone is qualified as a serious disciplinary violation.⁴⁴⁴ ***It is necessary to align the existing practice of allowing the use of mobile phones in Bijelo Polje Prison with the House Rules.***

It is prohibited to use electronic communication in ZIKS under supervision, e.g. Skype, which would significantly reduce costs and enable further contact with family and friends. Such form of communication is suitable for families who do not have financial means to visit their family members serving a prison sentence. ***It is necessary to provide conditions for electronic communication with the outside world (via the Internet or Skype), under supervision, and amend the House Rules so as to provide for the possibility of electronic communication.***

⁴⁴⁰ In the survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Are you able to maintain contact with a representative of your religious community*, 67.2% of convicts responded with 'yes', and 32.8% responded 'no'; in the Prison for short sentences 19.6% said 'yes', 80.4% responded 'no'.

⁴⁴¹ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 114, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴⁴² House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 95, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴⁴³ Interview with prisoners in Bijelo Polje Prison, December 2011.

⁴⁴⁴ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 136, para 12, Podgorica, August 2011.

The European Prison Rules state that prisoners shall be allowed to communicate with the media unless there are compelling reasons to forbid this for the maintenance of safety and security, in the public interest or in order to protect the integrity of victims, other prisoners or staff.⁴⁴⁵ *House Rules* stipulate that convicted persons may purchase printed media and books or receive them from home and other persons who come to visit them, with the prior approval of the Head of the Treatment Sector.⁴⁴⁶ During the visits, monitors noticed that newspapers were made available to prisoners. In most living rooms there was a copy of one or more daily newspapers. Sentenced persons have not complained of the violation of this right. In 2011, the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners was not subscribed to any magazines; prisoners received copies of a daily newspaper "Pobjeda" in prison libraries on a daily basis. Some prisoners were subscribed to one or two newspapers ("Vijesti", "Dan", "Večernje novosti", "Blic") and received them daily.⁴⁴⁷

European Prison Rules emphasize that conditions of detention and the treatment of prisoners shall be monitored by an independent body or bodies whose findings shall be made public.⁴⁴⁸ In its report the CPT recommended that the Montenegrin authorities develop the system of monitoring of prisons by independent outside bodies. In this context, to be fully effective, monitoring visits should be both frequent and unannounced. Further, the monitoring bodies should be empowered to interview prisoners in private and examine all issues related to their treatment (conditions of detention; medical records and other detention-related documentation; the exercise of prisoners' rights, etc.).⁴⁴⁹ This CPT recommendation has been partially met. Unannounced visits and interviews with sentenced persons are granted to the Ombudsman representatives and authorized representatives of the Ministry of Justice, but not to representatives of NGOs involved in the protection of human rights. ***It is necessary to allow representatives of NGOs dealing with human rights to conduct unannounced visits and interviews with prisoners without the presence of ZIKS officials.***

REMAND PRISON

The European Prison Rules state that unless there is a specific prohibition for a specified period by a judicial authority in an individual case, untried prisoners shall receive visits and be allowed to communicate with family and other persons in the same way as convicted prisoners.⁴⁵⁰ Remand prisoners receive 30-minute visits once a week,⁴⁵¹ even in

⁴⁴⁵ European Prison Rule, p. 24.12.

⁴⁴⁶ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 73, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴⁴⁷ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012.

⁴⁴⁸ European Prison Rules, p. 93.1.

⁴⁴⁹ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 82.

⁴⁵⁰ European Prison Rules, p. 99.

case of foreign detainees and detainees whose families live far away. On the other hand, the CPT standards emphasise that when there is a need for some flexibility as regards the application of rules on visits and telephone contacts vis-à-vis prisoners whose families live far away (thereby rendering regular visits impracticable), such prisoners should be allowed to accumulate visiting time and/or be offered improved possibilities for telephone contacts with their families.⁴⁵²

In Podgorica Remand Prison detainees use pay phones, although access to a telephone requires authorisation by the competent investigation judge. This is not in accordance with the CPT recommendation *to improve the situation of persons in Podgorica Remand Prison in terms of their access to a telephone, with the possibility of monitoring those calls that carry a risk of collusion.*⁴⁵³

There is no option of electronic communication under the supervision, e.g. via Skype. *It is necessary to provide conditions for electronic communication with the outside world (via the Internet or Skype), under supervision, and regulate the right to electronic communication in the Criminal Procedure Code.*

Visiting rooms are still booth-type facilities.⁴⁵⁴ Despite recommending changes to this end in 2008,⁴⁵⁵ the CPT has accepted the fact that it is justified in certain cases, for security reasons or to protect the legitimate interests of an investigation, to have visits which take place in booths and/or are monitored. However, the Committee once again urged the Montenegrin authorities to move towards more open visiting arrangements for remand prisoners in general. During the visits to the Remand Prison, monitoring team noticed that the booth-type room is still used for receiving visitors. *It is necessary to organize visiting premises in the Remand Prison in accordance with the CPT recommendation.*

Visits from relatives are conducted in the room divided by a thick glass wall, of poor hygiene, and conversation is carried out over the phone. There is also a separate room for visits from children, equipped with children's toys. Attorney visits also take place in a separate room, over a short glass partition that allows direct, confidential conversation, without using a phone. Officers monitor these visits only visually. Attorney visits take place

⁴⁵¹ House Rules for Detention, Art 42, page 234, no. 10, 22 May 1987.

⁴⁵² CPT Standards, p. 51, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3.

⁴⁵³ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 74.

⁴⁵⁴ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 73: “The CPT accepts that in certain cases it will be justified, for security-related reasons or to protect the legitimate interests of an investigation, to have visits which take place in booths and/or are monitored. However, the Committee would like once again to invite the Montenegrin authorities to move towards more open visiting arrangements for remand prisoners in general.”

⁴⁵⁵ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 73, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3.

on weekdays and last 60 minutes.⁴⁵⁶ Some visits may be prohibited in case they interfere with the proceedings.⁴⁵⁷ Article 184 of the Criminal Procedure Code stipulates that in case of a disciplinary offense of a detainee, person managing the prison or person authorized by him may impose disciplinary punishment of restriction of visits or solitary confinement to 15 days,⁴⁵⁸ although in its recommendation⁴⁵⁹ the CPT stressed that disciplinary punishment of prisoners and detainees should not include a total prohibition of family contacts and that any restrictions on family contacts as a form of punishment should be used only where the offence relates to such contacts. **It is necessary to harmonize the Criminal Procedure Code with the CPT standards that disciplinary punishment of detainees should not include the prohibition of family contact.**

Upon the approval of the investigative judge, and when necessary under the judge's supervision or the supervision of a person designated by the judge, in accordance with the House Rules, detainees may receive visits from their spouse or unmarried partner and close relatives and, upon their request – from a physician, attorney and others.⁴⁶⁰ This section of the Criminal Procedure Code⁴⁶¹ fulfils the CPT recommendations⁴⁶² to extend the list of persons who may come to visit detainees to unmarried partners and other loved ones. Detainees informed the monitoring team that this right is respected in practice.

Detainees may exchange letters with persons outside of prison, pursuant to knowledge and under supervision of the investigative judge. Investigative judges may prohibit sending and receiving of letters and other mail detrimental to the conduct of proceedings. The prohibition shall not relate to the letters submitted by a detainee to international courts and domestic legislative, judicial and executive authorities or received from them. Submission of a request, complaint or appeal shall never be denied.⁴⁶³ During its visit, the CPT delegation was informed that prisoners need to submit a request to the investigating judge in order to send and receive correspondence and access books. It is recommended that the Montenegrin authorities improve the situation of detained persons in terms of their access to correspondence and literature. The CPT considers that the involvement of a judge in this

⁴⁵⁶ House Rules for Enforcement of Prison Sentences in ZIKS, Art. 97, Podgorica, August 2011.

⁴⁵⁷ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 1, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁵⁸ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 184, para 1, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁵⁹ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3.

⁴⁶⁰ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 1, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁶¹ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 1, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁶² CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 70.

⁴⁶³ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 4, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

respect is excessive and should be abolished.⁴⁶⁴ At the time of the monitoring visit, most often detainees did not submit requests to the investigating judge, although this right has not been precisely defined by the Criminal Procedure Code.⁴⁶⁵

With foreign detainees prison guards communicate in poor English, Russian and through pantomime. Documents submitted by foreign detainees to the court (e.g. requests for visits from relatives, pleas to foreign consular representatives, etc.) are drafted by an attorney in local language, because otherwise the prison authorities would have to engage interpreters (which appears to be a complicated task for the prison administration and would take long, considering poor promptness in handling documents submitted by inmates in local language). In most cases, foreign detainees learn about their rights in detention from lawyers or other "experienced" (local) detainees, with whom they speak in poor Russian or English. Currently, a female citizen of Romania resides in ZIKS. She has not been provided an interpreter and is therefore excluded from all the activities available to other women serving their sentence in ZIKS. Her family and children live in another state and the only way to communicate with them is over the telephone, making this inmate's position extremely difficult and imprisonment "unbearable".⁴⁶⁶

A detainee may be visited by representatives of international committees against torture, the International Committee of the Red Cross, as well as representatives of international organizations dealing with the protection of human rights, as laid down by ratified international agreement. Upon approval of the court president, a detainee may receive visits from representatives of national organizations concerned with the protection of human rights.⁴⁶⁷ If required so by a detainee and subject to the knowledge of the investigating judge, diplomatic and consular representatives of foreign states shall be entitled to visit and communicate with the detainee who is a national of their state, without supervision. The investigative judge shall inform the head of the detention facility where the detainee in question is held about the visit of a diplomatic or consular representative.⁴⁶⁸ According to prison staff, these visits take place regularly and without interference, in a special room where they are enabled direct contact. Nine mailboxes for complaints to the Ombudsman have been set up in ZIKS, and two will be set up in Bijelo Polje Prison. Mailboxes were placed in the corners that are not covered by security cameras and are checked every 15 days by representatives of the Office of Ombudsman, who are the only persons holding keys to the boxes.⁴⁶⁹ However, representatives of non-governmental organization concerned with human

⁴⁶⁴ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 75, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3.

⁴⁶⁵ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 182, para 2 and 3.

⁴⁶⁶ Interview with this female detainee, 11 May 2012.

⁴⁶⁷ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 4, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁶⁸ Criminal Procedure Code, Art. 183, para 2, *Sl. list CG*, 57/09 of 18 August 2009.

⁴⁶⁹ "Prisoners may secretly complain to the Ombudsman", Daily *Pobjeda*, 25 February 2012.

rights are not allowed to speak with detainees without the supervision of ZIKS officers. *It is necessary to allow representatives of NGOs dealing with human rights to pay unannounced visits and interview prisoners on remand as well, without the presence of ZIKS officials.*

Recommendations

- *Harmonize the House Rules with the European Prison Rules and CPT standards (disciplinary punishment should not include a total prohibition of family contact, even when a person is sent to solitary confinement, except in the case of a disciplinary offence committed in connection with such contact) and discontinue the practice of using the prohibition of contact with the outside world as a disciplinary measure.*
- *Amend the Law to provide for the right to visits from unmarried partners. Allow homosexual partners the right to conjugal visits. In the future, allow sentenced persons the right to receive three-hour visits from their spouse, children or other close persons once every three months, in separate rooms. This would expand the right to conjugal visits to unmarried partners.*
- *Change booth- type visit rooms at the Remand Prison.*
- *Provide conditions for the respect of religious rights of convicted persons in the Prison for short sentences.*
- *Amend the House Rules to provide for the possibility of supervised electronic communication (via internet or Skype).*
- *Allow unannounced visits and interviews with prisoners without the presence of ZIKS officials to representatives of NGOs dealing with human rights.*

8. PRISON STAFF

Employees of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions are responsible for the respect of human rights of persons deprived of their liberty. They are required to ensure that persons deprived of liberty enjoy all human rights, other than those restrictions that are unavoidable in a closed environment.⁴⁷⁰

Prison security, order, atmosphere and success of resocialization treatment depend on the prison staff. It is therefore important that all prison employees be qualified, continuously advance professionally and have adequate motivation for an extremely demanding job in prison conditions.

Monitoring team members did not receive ZIKS Director's permission to interview ZIKS employees, or survey them. Nevertheless, monitors used moments spent with the staff during prison visits to speak of their dissatisfaction with the employment conditions in ZIKS. This report is based on these open discussions, as well as available official reports of ZIKS and the Ministry of Justice.

Staffing levels and service requirements

According to official data on ZIKS employees⁴⁷¹, the staffing levels are as follows:

<u>SERVICE</u>	<u>EMPLOYEES</u>
CABINET	5
TREATMENT	27
EDUCATION	4
GENERAL AFFAIRS	49
HEALTH	19
LABOUR	40
SECURITY	374
TOTAL	519

⁴⁷⁰ UN Human Rights Committee (HRC), *CCPR General Comment No. 21*: Art. 10, p. 3: "Persons deprived of their liberty enjoy all the rights set forth in the Covenant, subject to the restrictions that are unavoidable in a closed environment".

⁴⁷¹ ZIKS Administration response to the questionnaire of Human Rights Action, Z-KD br.355/11, Podgorica, 15 December 2011. These data differ from the data published in the Report of the Ministry of Justice on the number of employees in 2011, according to which a total number of employees was 504, so the difference is 15 officers, whose employment may have been terminated in 2011.

Within the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, in unit B, on the second floor monitors noticed that only one security officer was responsible for 72 prisoners. In unit F, in the part accommodating men, two security officers supervised 68 inmates. In unit F, in the part accommodating women, there were three female officers on duty supervising 30 female inmates. In unit C, implementing only the closed-door regime, there were 21 prisoners and one security officer. In unit A, one security officer was responsible for 115 prisoners, and in unit D, two security officers for 144 prisoners.⁴⁷²

It is clear that ZIKS staffing levels are insufficient and that more officers need to be employed for everything to function properly. **At the time of the visit conducted on 29 November 2011, only 7 officer were on duty and responsible for 476 prisoners (total number of inmates in units A, B, C, D and disciplinary unit according to prison staff).**⁴⁷³

For more detail on insufficient number of experts in the Treatment Sector, see section Treatment.

Employment conditions - salary and vacation

During the monitoring of ZIKS, unofficial information⁴⁷⁴ was received that the staff working hours have been organized in shifts as follows: 12 h on duty, 24 h off duty, 12 h on duty, 48 h off duty. This means that working hours are from 7 am - 7 pm, followed by a day off, and again 7 am - 7 pm followed by two days off. However, as noted by ZIKS staff members, in practice it often happens that they are called to report for duty during their days off. *The possibility of being called when off-duty makes them tense even during the free time.* Also, it is important to note that *during the working hours* prison employees do not have a specified daily break time, adding to the tension and stress, which can lead to increased tensions in the discharge of duties.⁴⁷⁵

Work in night shifts is common and exhausting, with the same number of officer working in day shifts as in night shifts. They state that they are unhappy with their income. Monitors were informed that the head of the security service receives 450 Euros monthly salary, security officers 350 Euros, and employees at the watchtower 280 Euros.⁴⁷⁶ The

⁴⁷² Monitoring team members first visited ZIKS on 3 November 2011 and received this information from officers who took them around prison units, which was confirmed later during the visit by monitors themselves.

⁴⁷³ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff, during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads that were on duty.

⁴⁷⁴ Ibid.

⁴⁷⁵ CPT Standards, p. 26, Extract from the 2nd General Report [CPT/Inf (92)3].

⁴⁷⁶ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff, during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads that were on duty. Twelve-hour shifts without breaks and low income demotivate employees to perform their duties effectively.

average wage in Montenegro in April 2012 was (net) 491 Euros.⁴⁷⁷ Thus, the wage of the security service head in ZIKS is lower than the average wage in the Republic. In addition, there were complaints about night work being underpaid and overtime (work that does not fall between 10 pm and 6 am the following day) not being paid.⁴⁷⁸ According to the general collective agreement, employees are guaranteed wage increase by at least 40% an hour for working night shifts, 100% for work during religious and national holidays, and 40% for overtime.⁴⁷⁹ In addition, the European Prison Rules require that employees' salaries be adequate to motivate employees to perform their duties effectively, i.e. attract and retain suitable staff,⁴⁸⁰ which is not the case in ZIKS.

Some staff members who work under the contract for an indefinite period of time received an apartment from the employer (about 40 m², where they live with their families), which, in the case of termination of employment, they must return.⁴⁸¹ This prevents them from quitting, as they would lose the roof over their heads, while their earnings do not provide them with the opportunity to otherwise resolve the housing problem. Therefore, they are subject to manipulation and accept the denial of daily, weekly and annual leave, sick leave, overtime compensation, etc.

Health Insurance Law stipulates that in the event of temporary disability, an employee shall receive minimum 70% of his/her current salary.⁴⁸² However, ZIKS officials provided different information during an interview without the presence of chiefs and heads of the security service – that salary is reduced by 45% during sick leave.⁴⁸³ As for early retirement benefits for work in difficult conditions, these are not taken into account during sick leave.⁴⁸⁴ According to ZIKS employees, it often happens that a person has to come to work, even if sick or in the process of recovery, because there is no one to replace them.⁴⁸⁵

⁴⁷⁷ Statistical Office of Montenegro, MONSTAT, 17 May 2012, <http://www.monstat.org/cg/novosti.php?id=595>.

⁴⁷⁸ Interview during the visit conducted on 14 March 2012 with officers on duty.

⁴⁷⁹ General collective agreement of Montenegro, Art.10, *Sl. list CG*, 49/08.

⁴⁸⁰ European Prison Rules, p. 79.1.

⁴⁸¹ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff, during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads on duty who said that in the event of dismissal, they would be required to return the keys to the apartments where they reside with their families, as they can use them only while employed at ZIKS. They did not state other conditions that would deprive them of the right to apartments.

⁴⁸² Health Insurance Law, Art. 28, para1 (*Sl. list RCG*, 39/04 and *Sl. list CG*, 14/2012).

⁴⁸³ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff during the visit on 27 December 2011.

⁴⁸⁴ Law on Pension and Disability Insurance, Art. 71, para 2 (*Sl. list RCG*, 54/03 ... *Sl. list CG*, 34/11).

⁴⁸⁵ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff, during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads on duty.

ZIKS employees have also addressed the Ministry of Justice⁴⁸⁶ with the complaints about having to work up to 80 hours a week, not being paid for overtime, i.e. not getting time off for that work. Complaints also referred to work in confined spaces while performing guard duties, exposure to extreme heat or cold without the possibility of having warm or cold beverages, poor working conditions in watchtowers, which lack shelter from rain or wind, causing many health problems in employees, as well as superiors' autocratic behaviour and lack of understanding for the above issues.

Fixed-term employment contract and employment with no contract

Pursuant to the Rules on internal organization and staff systematization from 2006, 405 servants and employees in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions were systematized. In 2011, 504 (519)⁴⁸⁷ servants or employees were employed, 250 under an unlimited contract and 254 under a fixed-term contract.⁴⁸⁸

ZIKS employees first addressed the Union of Free Trade Unions of Montenegro (UFTUM) and then the Ministry of Justice, complaining about their working conditions, especially due to the fact that more than 100 employees work illegally for years, without an employment contract, i.e. on the basis of a fixed-term contract which ended in 2006 or 2007.⁴⁸⁹ Such situation (lack of employment contracts as a necessary legal document) puts them at a disadvantage, as it deprives them of labour rights they are otherwise granted under the law,⁴⁹⁰ such as the right to fair remuneration, safety and protection of life and health at work, professional training, right to special protection during pregnancy and childbirth, right to special protection due to child care and other rights in accordance with the law and collective agreement.⁴⁹¹

⁴⁸⁶ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 37.

⁴⁸⁷ According to ZIKS Administration data of 15 December 2011 (Z-KD br355/119).

⁴⁸⁸ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012.

⁴⁸⁹ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, p. 37.

⁴⁹⁰ Labour Law of Montenegro, Art.11 (*Sl. list CG*, 49/2008 of 15 August 2008).

⁴⁹¹ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012, states that ZIKS employees complain about working 80 hours per week without overtime compensation, working during time off and in difficult conditions (especially guards who endure bad weather conditions in the watchtower), about autocratic prison management etc.

Failing to conclude employment contracts, the employer (ZIKS) is not only violating Article 172, para 1, item 5 of the Labour Law, which can be fined with 5,000 to 20,000 Euros, but also Article 172, para 1, item 7 of the same Law, under which an employer is required to transform a fixed-term contract into a contract of indefinite duration. Specifically, Article 26 of the Labour Law stipulates that "if an employee continues to work for an employer after the expiry of a fixed-term contract, it is considered that the employment contract is concluded for an indefinite period, if the employee agrees to such employment." Therefore, *employees who continued to work after the expiration of their fixed-term employment contract not only have the right to regulate their legal status by the employment contract, but also to conclude a contract for an indefinite period of time with ZIKS.*

Although UFTUM required the Administrative Inspection of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Labour Inspection of the Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare to carry out necessary controls due to a number of anonymous complaints about employment status and working conditions in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions of Montenegro,⁴⁹² in its response Administrative Inspection explained that it is impossible to carry out the inspection because a new act on systematization is in the process of drafting (?!), and that during the previous inspections in 2010 and 2011, the head of the organization had been instructed to rectify the established irregularities related to recruitment for a limited time without a vacancy announcement and working conditions. Bearing in mind the subsequent findings of the Ministry of Justice in respect of violations of labour rights by ZIKS Administration, *there is a question of responsibility of the Administrative Inspection for untimely protection of the rights of ZIKS staff that should be urgently examined.*

According to the European prison rules, *professional prison staff shall normally be appointed on a permanent basis and have public service status with security of employment, subject only to good conduct, efficiency, good physical and mental health and an adequate standard of education.*⁴⁹³ Health care professionals are also engaged under a fixed-term contract extended on a monthly basis. In order to stimulate health care professionals to work in penal conditions, it is necessary to offer them a contract of indefinite duration and other benefits (higher wage coefficient for work in harsh conditions, longer vacations etc.). As for the benefits, the European Prison Rules stipulate that benefits and conditions of employment should reflect the exacting nature of the work as part of a law enforcement agency.⁴⁹⁴

Only the employees in direct contact with detainees and prisoners are entitled to early retirement benefits. Such benefits have been discontinued for other employees in ZIKS in

⁴⁹² Official letter to the Ministry of Labour and Social Welfare and Ministry of Internal Affairs regarding the violation of employees' rights in the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions, 8 October 2011.

⁴⁹³ European Prison Rules, p. 78.

⁴⁹⁴ European Prison Rules, p. 79.2.

December 2010,⁴⁹⁵ including the Chief of the Institution for Sentenced Prisoners, although he has regular contact with prisoners. This measure does not motivate people to work at the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions.

The right to vacation is usually exercised only owing to collegiality and collaboration among ZIKS staff members (because of the low staffing levels, only one or two employees at a time can take a vacation).

Staff expertise and competency

Of the total number of officers in ZIKS, 97 persons do not meet the conditions in terms of the education level for the position they are holding, of which 69 persons have been appointed on a permanent basis, and 28 under a fixed-term contract.⁴⁹⁶ Particular attention should be paid to the fact that **42 security officers completed III level of secondary education and are employed in the Security Sector, with inadequate education level.**⁴⁹⁷

Safety equipment and uniforms

Security officer's equipment consists of: communication equipment, vehicles and special equipment devices. Special equipment includes: rubber truncheon, helmet, binoculars, whistle, compass, flashlight, safety goggles, safety mask, handcuffs, topographic map and first aid kit.⁴⁹⁸ Monitors were told that employees in this sector do not have work coveralls or other winter equipment necessary for comfortable work, especially in 12-hour shifts. Employees also noted the lack of new communication equipment, means of restraint, pepper sprays, gloves, electric batons. Security officers complained of their new truncheons being worse than the previous ones (as noticed during one of the visits), since they are completely rigid and do not flex on impact as the old ones. These truncheons can cause serious injuries to prisoners in the event of their use.⁴⁹⁹ During one of the final visits, officers complained of not receiving any uniforms for years and wearing used police uniforms, combined with their own sweater or worn work sweater, and uncomfortable shoes intended for manual workers, which

⁴⁹⁵ Regulation on jobs or tasks in public administration bodies with early retirement plan, Art. 3, para 5, *Sl. list RCG*, 54/03, 39/04, 61/04, 79/04, 14/07 and 47/07 and *Sl. list CG*, 79/08 and 14/10), the Government of Montenegro, adopted on 23 December 2010.

⁴⁹⁶ Ibid, p. 37.

⁴⁹⁷ Ibid, p. 37.

⁴⁹⁸ Rules on the Performance of Security Service, *Sl. list RCG*, 68/2006, Art. 69. However, from all the aforementioned special equipment, at the time of monitoring visits ZIKS security officers carried only rubber truncheons.

⁴⁹⁹ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads on duty. Working conditions motivate or demotivate staff. In addition to being well-rested, well paid and having proper uniforms, employees will perform their duties more effectively if they have all the necessary equipment for comfortable work.

they receive only once or twice in 6 years. Their uniforms looked worn out.⁵⁰⁰ It is extremely important that officers be appropriately dressed, as this affects the work efficiency, corresponds to the seriousness of their job and contributes to the establishment of their authority.

Application of force

In informal interview with ZIKS employees, monitors mainly received unanimous answers, that force is applied only when necessary and that security officers are generally trained on the use of force. However, none of the officers commented on the conduction of trainings, i.e. educational courses on human rights.⁵⁰¹ On the other hand, surveyed prisoners stated that ZIKS officers apply force against them.⁵⁰² They further noted that they witnessed the use of force by officers against other inmates,⁵⁰³ and that ZIKS officers insult⁵⁰⁴ and threaten them.⁵⁰⁵

According to the CPT standards, staff resources should be adequate in terms of numbers, categories of staff, as well as experience and training.⁵⁰⁶ There is arguably no better guarantee against the ill-treatment of a person deprived of his liberty than a properly trained police or prison officer.⁵⁰⁷

In its Report on 2008 visit to ZIKS,⁵⁰⁸ the CPT recommended that prison staff be reminded that the force used to control violent and/or recalcitrant prisoners should be no

⁵⁰⁰ Information obtained through informal interview with ZIKS staff during the fifth visit to ZIKS on 29 November 2011. Monitors spoke to the security service heads on duty.

⁵⁰¹ Ibid.

⁵⁰² In a survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Have the prison officials ever used force against you?*, 25.9% of respondent replied 'yes', 74.1% said 'no'.

⁵⁰³ In a survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Have you ever witnessed the use of force against another prisoner?*, 36.3% of respondent replied 'yes', 63.7% said 'no'. In the Prison for short sentences 13.3% of respondent replied 'yes', 86.7% said 'no'.

⁵⁰⁴ In a survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Do officials insult prisoners, i.e. use derogatory words when addressing them?*, 43.6% of respondent replied 'yes', 56.4% said 'no'. In the Prison for short sentences 26.4 % of respondent replied 'yes', 73.6% said 'no'.

⁵⁰⁵ In a survey conducted among inmates on 12 and 13 March 2012, when asked: *Have you ever been seriously threatened by ZIKS officer?*, 25.1% of respondent replied 'yes' and 74.9% 'no'. In the Prison for short sentences 14.9 % of respondent replied 'yes', 85.1% said 'no'.

⁵⁰⁶ CPT Standards, p. 42.

⁵⁰⁷ CPT Standards, p. 59, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3.

⁵⁰⁸ CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 47.

more than necessary and that once prisoners have been brought under control, there can be no justification for their being struck. During the visits, monitors understood that ZIKS employees do not have a clear picture of the reasonable use of force.

ZIKS officers did not complete a specific training on the prevention of ill-treatment and prohibition of the use of excessive force in suppressing resistance.⁵⁰⁹ Trainings organized for the purpose of achieving better treatment of prisoners were not attended by all staff members. The main reason is insufficient staff. If more than one or two officers went to the training, there would be no one to perform their official duties.⁵¹⁰ It appears that the main problem with the application of force is that the officers do not recognize the degree of force sufficient to restrain a prisoner.

As clearly stipulated in the CPT standards, ill-treatment can take numerous forms, many of which may not be deliberate but rather the result of organisational failings or inadequate resources. The overall quality of life in an establishment is therefore of considerable importance. That quality of life will depend to a very large extent upon the activities offered to prisoners and the general state of relations between prisoners and staff.⁵¹¹

CPT standards also point out that in any prison system, prison officials may occasionally be forced to use force to control violent and/or recalcitrant prisoners. These are clearly high risk situations in terms of potential ill-treatment of prisoners, and therefore require special protection measures.⁵¹²

Bijelo Polje Prison staff

As for the prison in Bijelo Polje, the fact that the prison building is rather old, with a number of faults that affect not only the living conditions of persons deprived of their liberty, but also the safety of the institution (prison is located in the town centre and has a low fence), is an aggravating factor for the work of prison staff.

Inspection through direct insight into the personal records in relation to employment status and the right to annual leave has been carried out by the line of duty in respect of 29 persons employed in Bijelo Polje Prison under the contract of employment. All these persons have been employed in violation of Article 17 of the Law on Civil Servants and State

⁵⁰⁹ In response to the Human Rights Action questionnaire Z-KD br 355/11 of 15 December 2011, ZIKS Administration listed all the courses and trainings attended by ZIKS staff. By obtaining insight into the list, monitors came to the conclusion that ZIKS officials failed to attend this necessary training on the prevention of abuse and prohibition of the use of excessive force in suppressing resistance.

⁵¹⁰ Interview with ZIKS staff during the visit conducted on 14 March 2012.

⁵¹¹ CPT Standards, Extract from the 2nd General Report CPT/inf (92)3, p. 44.

⁵¹² CPT, Report on the 2008 visit to Montenegro, p. 47.

Employees, i.e. without prior decisions about recruitment for positions and public vacancy announcement, which violated the provisions of Art. 8, para 2 and Art. 19 and 20 of the Law on Civil Servants and State Employees in effect at the time of the adoption of decisions on employment depending on the time of recruitment or adoption of a decision.⁵¹³

Bijelo Polje Prison employs 59 persons, 31 of which are employed under a fixed-term contract, and 28 on a permanent basis.⁵¹⁴ Staffing levels in this prison as well appear to be insufficient, since the monitoring team members during the visit found only a couple of security officers, chiefs and educators. In addition, the employees themselves mentioned the lack of security staff, as well as educators and medical staff. Working is organized in shifts, same as in the prison in Podgorica.

Recommendations

- *Increase staffing levels, especially in the security and treatment sectors.*
- *Provide time for rest (break) to all employees during the day.*
- *Regulate the legal status of employees hired under a fixed-term contract by concluding a contract of indefinite duration, since their contracts are considered as such in accordance with Article 26 of the Labour Law.*
- *Ensure the payment of benefits owed based on overtime, work during religious and national holidays and night work, in order to prevent court proceedings and further costs of these proceedings.*
- *Ensure regular payment of compensation for overtime, work during religious and national holidays and work in night shifts.*
- *Increase the number of trainings and courses for employees in accordance with a schedule that allows employees to participate in them.*
- *Ensure that competent persons with an appropriate education degree be in leadership positions in all organizational units and sectors within ZIKS.*
- *Provide by law accelerated retirement plan for heads of ZIKS.*
- *Increase the employees' salaries taking into account the work under difficult conditions.*
- *Provide adequate uniforms and other necessary equipment for ZIKS officers.*
- *Establish the responsibility of the Director, as well as the Administrative Inspection for violation or untimely protection of the rights of ZIKS employees.*

⁵¹³ Report on operations in the administrative field of the Ministry of Justice with the report of the Institution for Execution of Criminal Sanctions for 2011. Ministry of Justice, May 2012.

⁵¹⁴ Ibid.